

## CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

### Indicator

#### Child Abuse and Neglect Reports

Total reported incidents of suspected child abuse and neglect through the ChildLine Registry. (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)

	2012			2013			2014			2015			2016			County % Change 2012 to 2016
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
	49	2,590	26,664	60	2,575	26,944	75	2,892	29,273	129	3,995	40,590	120	4,671	44,359	144.9%
	7.4	12.2	9.5	9.0	12.1	9.6	11.3	13.6	10.5	19.4	18.8	14.5	18.0	22.0	15.9	

#### Child Abuse and Neglect Substantiations



Founded or indicated reports of child abuse and/or neglect.

	8.2%	17.5%	13.4%	23.3%	16.1%	12.7%	14.7%	14.7%	11.4%	12.4%	12.6%	10.4%	15.8%	13.2%	10.4%	94.0%
--	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

#### Repeated Child Abuse and Neglect

Children with another substantiated incident of abuse and/or neglect following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)

	0.0%	10.4%	7.9%	7.1%	10.1%	8.8%	9.1%	6.6%	7.2%	0.0%	11.2%	6.5%	5.3%	6.7%	5.7%	-
	0	1 in 10	1 in 13	1 in 14	1 in 10	1 in 11	1 in 11	1 in 15	1 in 14	0	1 in 9	1 in 15	1 in 19	1 in 15	1 in 18	



## GENERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES (GPS)

Reports not alleging abuse of a child are categorized as general protective services (GPS) reports. Addressing concerns related to child safety and well-being before allegations of child abuse or severe neglect are made enables children to remain safely in their own homes while services are provided to the family to mitigate the risk of potential maltreatment.

### Indicator

#### GPS Reports

Total reported concerns of general neglect, not alleging child abuse.

	2012			2013			2014			2015			2016			County % Change 2012 to 2016
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	267	15,186	131,953	309	16,395	151,087	-

#### Valid GPS Reports

Reports in which the allegations were found to have merit. Includes reports accepted for in-home services and reports referred out to community agencies for services.

	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.6%	22.4%	18.4%	8.7%	25.6%	20.9%	-
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------	---

#### Children with Valid Allegations

Number of children with a valid GPS allegation by valid report. Children may be counted more than once if more than one report was found to have merit.

	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	4,569	32,364	37	5,807	43,702	-
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	-------	--------	----	-------	--------	---

#### Unduplicated Number of Children Served

Children who received in-home services along with parents to address concerns related to child safety and well-being.

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
	997	20,283	172,807	1,139	22,048	167,582	1,090	22,743	181,371	1,413	24,517	190,413	1,375	28,231	186,855	37.9%

## FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each federal fiscal year.

### Indicator

#### Unduplicated Number of Children Served

All Children in Foster Care During the Year  
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)

Indicator	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Unduplicated Number of Children Served	12	1,462	21,416	24	1,535	22,510	26	1,574	22,980	25	1,695	24,543	24	1,812	25,381	100.0%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	1.6	5.6	6.4	3.2	5.8	6.7	3.5	6.0	6.8	3.3	6.5	7.3	3.2	6.9	7.5	

#### By Age

Age Group	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	2014 County	2014 Rural	2014 State	2015 County	2015 Rural	2015 State	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	County % Change 2013 to 2017
0-2	8.3%	18.5%	19.2%	12.5%	20.6%	19.4%	11.5%	22.7%	19.9%	24.0%	22.0%	20.2%	25.0%	20.7%	20.3%	200.0%
3-5	0.0%	15.7%	15.9%	8.3%	15.0%	16.8%	15.4%	15.9%	17.1%	8.0%	16.1%	17.0%	20.8%	16.4%	17.4%	-
6-8	8.3%	11.7%	11.5%	20.8%	12.6%	12.3%	15.4%	11.5%	12.9%	12.0%	12.4%	13.0%	4.2%	11.9%	13.3%	-50.0%
9-11	8.3%	8.8%	8.9%	8.3%	8.9%	9.5%	26.9%	9.5%	10.0%	20.0%	11.2%	11.0%	4.2%	11.9%	11.4%	-50.0%
12-14	16.7%	13.2%	11.4%	20.8%	12.4%	10.9%	7.7%	12.7%	11.4%	16.0%	11.3%	11.2%	12.5%	12.9%	11.6%	-25.0%
15-17	33.3%	23.6%	22.3%	20.8%	24.0%	21.4%	19.2%	22.4%	20.4%	16.0%	22.7%	19.6%	29.2%	20.6%	18.6%	-12.5%
18-20	25.0%	8.5%	10.7%	8.3%	6.6%	9.8%	3.8%	5.2%	8.3%	4.0%	4.4%	7.9%	4.2%	5.6%	7.4%	-83.3%
Infants (age 0-1)	8.3%	12.4%	11.9%	12.5%	14.2%	12.5%	3.8%	15.9%	12.8%	16.0%	14.9%	12.8%	16.7%	13.5%	12.7%	100.0%
Youth (age 13+)	66.7%	42.2%	41.5%	45.8%	39.1%	38.9%	30.8%	36.7%	36.7%	32.0%	34.8%	35.5%	45.8%	34.8%	34.0%	-31.3%

#### By Race and Ethnicity

Race/Ethnicity	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	2014 County	2014 Rural	2014 State	2015 County	2015 Rural	2015 State	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	County % Change 2013 to 2017
Non-Hispanic White	75.0%	89.5%	41.8%	91.7%	89.9%	42.3%	96.2%	87.0%	40.9%	100.0%	88.0%	42.5%	100.0%	86.6%	42.9%	33.3%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	8.3%	3.7%	34.8%	4.2%	2.5%	35.2%	0.0%	3.6%	34.6%	0.0%	3.1%	35.3%	0.0%	3.5%	34.9%	-100.0%
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	0.8%	7.0%	0.0%	0.7%	4.4%	0.0%	1.1%	5.9%	0.0%	0.9%	3.3%	0.0%	1.2%	2.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	3.3%	3.9%	0.0%	4.0%	4.9%	0.0%	5.3%	5.3%	0.0%	4.9%	5.4%	0.0%	4.9%	6.2%	-
Hispanic or Latino	16.7%	2.8%	12.5%	4.2%	2.8%	13.1%	3.8%	3.0%	13.4%	0.0%	3.1%	13.4%	0.0%	3.8%	13.2%	-100.0%

#### By Gender

Gender	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	2014 County	2014 Rural	2014 State	2015 County	2015 Rural	2015 State	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	County % Change 2013 to 2017
Male	58.3%	52.9%	50.8%	54.2%	53.4%	50.8%	53.8%	53.4%	51.0%	56.0%	53.4%	51.2%	66.7%	52.2%	51.0%	14.3%
Female	41.7%	47.1%	49.2%	45.8%	46.6%	49.2%	46.2%	46.6%	49.0%	44.0%	46.6%	48.8%	33.3%	47.8%	49.0%	-20.0%



#### By First Placement Setting

Placement Setting	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	2014 County	2014 Rural	2014 State	2015 County	2015 Rural	2015 State	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	County % Change 2013 to 2017
Family Setting	50.0%	73.8%	73.4%	66.7%	75.2%	75.8%	84.6%	75.0%	77.1%	80.0%	75.6%	79.0%	83.3%	77.4%	80.5%	66.7%
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	4.2%	3.4%	0.0%	4.0%	3.5%	0.0%	4.3%	3.1%	0.0%	3.4%	4.5%	0.0%	2.3%	4.0%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	8.3%	22.0%	24.8%	29.2%	26.6%	29.6%	46.2%	26.9%	31.5%	36.0%	28.8%	34.1%	16.7%	30.0%	36.4%	100.0%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	41.7%	47.6%	45.2%	37.5%	44.7%	42.7%	38.5%	43.8%	42.5%	44.0%	43.4%	40.5%	66.7%	45.0%	40.1%	60.0%
Congregate Care Setting	41.7%	20.0%	19.6%	33.3%	18.6%	18.3%	15.4%	18.4%	17.1%	20.0%	18.4%	15.8%	16.7%	18.4%	14.9%	-60.0%
Group Home	16.7%	14.2%	12.1%	33.3%	13.9%	11.6%	11.5%	14.5%	10.5%	16.0%	14.5%	9.1%	12.5%	14.7%	8.8%	-25.0%
Institution	25.0%	5.7%	7.5%	0.0%	4.7%	6.8%	3.8%	3.9%	6.6%	4.0%	4.0%	6.8%	4.2%	3.7%	6.1%	-83.3%
Supervised Independent Living	8.3%	1.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	1.1%	1.7%	0.0%	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%	0.7%	1.5%	-100.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	4.6%	3.2%	0.0%	5.0%	2.8%	0.0%	5.5%	2.9%	0.0%	5.0%	2.2%	0.0%	3.4%	1.7%	-

#### Children Entering Foster Care

All Entries into Foster Care During the Year

Year	County	Rural	State	Year	County	Rural	State	Year	County	Rural	State	Year	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2013 to 2017				
2013	9	700	9,306	2014	15	811	10,364	2015	8	821	10,387	2016	11	945	10,567	2017	8	987	10,781	-11.1%



## FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past federal fiscal year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

### Indicator

#### Children Entering for the First Time

First Time Entries During the Year  
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)  
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries

Indicator	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Children Entering for the First Time	5	546	6,698	14	658	7,592	6	646	7,843	10	721	8,082	8	799	8,438	60.0%
First Time Entries During the Year (Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	0.7	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.5	2.3	0.8	2.5	2.3	1.3	2.7	2.4	1.1	3.0	2.5	
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries	55.6%	78.0%	72.0%	93.3%	81.1%	73.3%	75.0%	78.7%	75.5%	90.9%	76.3%	76.5%	100.0%	81.0%	78.3%	80.0%

#### By Age

0-2  
3-5  
6-8  
9-11  
12-14  
15-17  
18-20  
Infants (age 0-1)  
Youth (age 13+)

Age Group	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	% Change
0-2	20.0%	32.7%	16.7%	40.0%	37.5%	87.5%
3-5	0.0%	15.7%	33.3%	10.0%	37.5%	-
6-8	20.0%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-100.0%
9-11	20.0%	9.2%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-100.0%
12-14	20.0%	12.7%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%	-100.0%
15-17	20.0%	18.0%	0.0%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%
18-20	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	20.0%	26.3%	16.7%	30.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Youth (age 13+)	20.0%	27.6%	0.0%	40.0%	26.0%	25.0%

#### By Race and Ethnicity

Non-Hispanic White  
Non-Hispanic Black or African American  
Non-Hispanic Other Race  
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races  
Hispanic or Latino

Race/Ethnicity	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	% Change
Non-Hispanic White	100.0%	46.9%	100.0%	89.5%	87.1%	0.0%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	29.2%	0.0%	2.5%	3.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.8%	1.3%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	4.9%	0.0%	4.0%	4.1%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	13.9%	0.0%	3.2%	3.6%	-

#### By Gender



Male  
Female

Gender	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	% Change
Male	80.0%	51.0%	50.0%	70.0%	51.0%	-21.9%
Female	20.0%	49.0%	50.0%	30.0%	49.0%	87.5%

#### By First Placement Setting

**Family Setting**  
Pre-adoptive Home  
Foster Family Home – Relative  
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative  
**Congregate Care Setting**  
Group Home  
Institution  
**Supervised Independent Living**  
Runaway  
Trial Home Visit

Placement Setting	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	% Change
Family Setting	60.0%	79.9%	80.7%	80.0%	81.6%	66.7%
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	20.0%	33.6%	66.7%	35.0%	33.3%	-37.5%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	40.0%	47.5%	33.3%	46.0%	44.2%	118.8%
Congregate Care Setting	40.0%	17.5%	0.0%	20.0%	17.5%	-100.0%
Group Home	20.0%	12.1%	0.0%	12.8%	15.1%	-100.0%
Institution	20.0%	5.4%	0.0%	3.5%	2.4%	-100.0%
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	2.8%	0.8%	-



## FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in and out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who re-enter is typically older.

### Indicator

#### Children Re-Entering Foster Care

Re-Entries During the Year  
Re-Entries as Percent of All Entries

County	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State		
4	154	2,608	1	153	2,772	2	175	2,544	1	224	2,485	0	188	2,343	-100.0%	
44.4%	22.0%	28.0%	6.7%	18.9%	26.7%	25.0%	21.3%	24.5%	9.1%	23.7%	23.5%	0.0%	19.0%	21.7%	-100.0%	

### By Age

Age Group	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	2014 County	2014 Rural	2014 State	2015 County	2015 Rural	2015 State	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	% Change
0-2	0.0%	5.2%	6.6%	0.0%	9.2%	8.2%	50.0%	10.3%	10.8%	0.0%	9.4%	11.2%	0.0%	11.7%	11.4%	-
3-5	0.0%	9.1%	7.8%	0.0%	7.2%	9.2%	0.0%	16.0%	11.4%	0.0%	11.2%	9.7%	0.0%	12.8%	11.1%	-
6-8	0.0%	7.8%	7.4%	0.0%	8.5%	8.8%	0.0%	8.0%	9.0%	0.0%	10.3%	10.1%	0.0%	9.0%	11.7%	-
9-11	0.0%	5.8%	6.3%	0.0%	9.8%	7.5%	0.0%	5.7%	7.9%	0.0%	12.1%	9.6%	0.0%	12.2%	10.6%	-
12-14	0.0%	20.1%	18.4%	100.0%	19.6%	17.1%	0.0%	17.7%	16.5%	0.0%	15.2%	18.5%	0.0%	18.6%	17.2%	-
15-17	100.0%	45.5%	46.3%	0.0%	41.2%	43.7%	50.0%	38.3%	38.2%	100.0%	39.7%	36.4%	0.0%	33.0%	33.4%	-100.0%
18-20	0.0%	6.5%	7.1%	0.0%	4.6%	5.7%	0.0%	4.0%	6.3%	0.0%	2.2%	4.5%	0.0%	2.7%	4.7%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	0.0%	2.6%	3.8%	0.0%	5.9%	5.2%	0.0%	5.7%	6.5%	0.0%	6.3%	7.4%	0.0%	6.9%	6.6%	-
Youth (age 13+)	100.0%	68.2%	68.6%	100.0%	63.4%	63.5%	50.0%	55.4%	57.9%	100.0%	55.4%	55.3%	0.0%	47.9%	51.1%	-100.0%

### By Race and Ethnicity



Race/Ethnicity	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	2014 County	2014 Rural	2014 State	2015 County	2015 Rural	2015 State	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	% Change
Non-Hispanic White	50.0%	90.9%	34.3%	100.0%	94.1%	35.8%	100.0%	86.3%	34.7%	100.0%	87.5%	39.5%	0.0%	91.5%	40.6%	-100.0%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	25.0%	3.2%	44.2%	0.0%	2.0%	43.3%	0.0%	4.0%	40.4%	0.0%	4.0%	40.1%	0.0%	1.6%	39.4%	-100.0%
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.7%	4.1%	0.0%	2.3%	7.8%	0.0%	0.9%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	3.9%	3.4%	0.0%	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%	5.7%	4.9%	0.0%	4.9%	5.8%	0.0%	4.3%	6.3%	-
Hispanic or Latino	25.0%	1.9%	11.4%	0.0%	2.6%	12.2%	0.0%	1.7%	12.2%	0.0%	2.7%	11.6%	0.0%	2.7%	11.9%	-100.0%

### By Gender

Gender	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	2014 County	2014 Rural	2014 State	2015 County	2015 Rural	2015 State	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	% Change
Male	0.0%	50.0%	48.1%	100.0%	53.6%	50.6%	50.0%	57.7%	50.5%	100.0%	57.6%	50.3%	0.0%	56.4%	49.6%	-
Female	100.0%	50.0%	51.9%	0.0%	46.4%	49.4%	50.0%	42.3%	49.5%	0.0%	42.4%	49.7%	0.0%	43.6%	50.4%	-100.0%

### By Placement Setting at Re-Entry

Placement Setting	2013 County	2013 Rural	2013 State	2014 County	2014 Rural	2014 State	2015 County	2015 Rural	2015 State	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	% Change
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>53.7%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>58.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>58.5%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>62.8%</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	0.0%	11.0%	14.9%	0.0%	19.0%	20.2%	0.0%	16.0%	24.3%	0.0%	17.9%	26.3%	0.0%	25.0%	30.7%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	25.0%	41.6%	33.3%	0.0%	38.6%	33.3%	50.0%	41.7%	33.1%	0.0%	40.6%	34.6%	0.0%	37.8%	32.6%	-100.0%
<b>Congregate Care Setting</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>40.6%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>34.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>36.7%</b>	<b>31.2%</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>
Group Home	50.0%	35.7%	26.7%	100.0%	32.7%	24.8%	0.0%	31.4%	22.3%	100.0%	25.9%	19.3%	0.0%	30.3%	19.9%	-100.0%
Institution	25.0%	9.7%	17.7%	0.0%	7.8%	15.9%	50.0%	8.6%	14.8%	0.0%	13.4%	14.8%	0.0%	6.4%	11.3%	-100.0%
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>-</b>
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	2.3%	0.8%	0.0%	1.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	-





## FOSTER CARE - GOALS

All children in foster care have a court-ordered permanency goal, which directs the efforts of the child welfare agency toward a certain family outcome for each child. In addition to the court-ordered 'primary' goal, a 'concurrent' goal is put in place to ensure permanency is achieved if for some reason the primary goal cannot be met. This data provides information on the most recent primary goal for every child served in foster care during the federal fiscal year.

Indicator	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
<b>Percent of Children by Case Plan Goal</b>																
<b>Family-Based Permanency Goals</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>91.7%</b>	<b>92.8%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>94.9%</b>	<b>93.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>96.7%</b>	<b>95.2%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>97.7%</b>	<b>95.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>98.0%</b>	<b>94.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
Reunify With Parent(s) or Principal Caretaker(s)	75.0%	64.0%	70.5%	83.3%	69.5%	72.6%	65.4%	70.5%	73.1%	64.0%	72.6%	69.8%	62.5%	72.3%	66.7%	-16.7%
Adoption	0.0%	18.6%	16.7%	0.0%	17.0%	16.1%	23.1%	20.0%	17.4%	36.0%	18.3%	19.5%	29.2%	19.5%	21.2%	-
Guardianship	8.3%	1.9%	3.6%	16.7%	6.0%	3.1%	7.7%	4.3%	3.0%	0.0%	4.6%	4.3%	8.3%	5.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Live with Relative(s)	0.0%	7.1%	2.1%	0.0%	2.4%	1.8%	3.8%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	2.2%	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%	1.3%	-
<b>Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA)</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>
Long Term Foster Care	8.3%	3.3%	2.1%	0.0%	1.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.7%	-100.0%
Emancipation	8.3%	5.0%	5.1%	0.0%	3.8%	4.6%	0.0%	2.7%	3.6%	0.0%	2.0%	4.0%	0.0%	1.6%	5.3%	-100.0%

APPLA By Age as % of Age Group Served	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2013 to 2017
0-15	0.0%	2.7%	1.1%	0.0%	2.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	1.0%	-
16-20	28.6%	24.6%	23.6%	0.0%	25.8%	23.1%	0.0%	18.4%	19.4%	0.0%	14.7%	21.6%	0.0%	9.3%	25.1%	-100.0%

APPLA By Discharge Reason	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2013 to 2017
<b>Exit to Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>
Reunification	0.0%	6.8%	22.8%	0.0%	10.0%	14.5%	0.0%	16.7%	17.6%	0.0%	10.7%	27.0%	0.0%	9.1%	17.3%	-
(number of months until reunification)	0.0	16.5	27.8	0.0	33.4	36.2	0.0	46.8	27.5	0.0	50.0	30.4	0.0	17.3	11.8	-
Adoption	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	0.0	0.0	41.1	0.0	0.0	149.3	0.0	0.0	31.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
Guardianship	50.0%	16.9%	3.4%	0.0%	5.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	7.1%	4.2%	0.0%	9.1%	4.9%	-100.0%
(number of month until guardianship)	8.2	23.6	32.0	0.0	17.8	27.8	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	129.1	32.0	0.0	22.5	23.6	-100.0%
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	11.3	30.6	0.0	0.0	15.9	0.0	0.0	37.1	0.0	0.0	39.8	0.0	0.0	21.4	-
<b>Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>70.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>85.0%</b>	<b>80.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>76.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>81.8%</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>
Emancipation	50.0%	71.2%	64.2%	0.0%	82.5%	73.0%	0.0%	80.0%	71.7%	0.0%	100.0%	62.2%	0.0%	77.3%	66.7%	-100.0%
(number of months until emancipation)	25.3	43.5	33.1	0.0	44.3	33.5	0.0	40.6	34.3	0.0	29.8	30.6	0.0	27.2	26.2	-100.0%
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	3.4%	3.6%	0.0%	2.5%	5.5%	0.0%	3.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	41.6	46.4	0.0	20.2	13.0	0.0	84.3	40.7	0.0	0.0	27.6	0.0	0.0	3.7	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	4.5%	1.9%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	26.5	0.0	0.0	16.3	0.0	0.0	23.4	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	34.3	32.0	-
<b>Death of Child</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>-</b>
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	92.4	0.0	0.0	129.8	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	143.7	143.7	0.0	0.0	62.6	-



## FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the federal fiscal year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to ensure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.

### Indicator



#### Children Exiting Foster Care

Total Children Leaving Foster Care  
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care  
(number of months until leaving)

Indicator	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	5	751	9,123	6	752	9,769	11	807	9,471	10	874	10,635	6	803	10,276	20.0%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	20.8%	48.9%	42.6%	23.1%	47.8%	43.4%	44.0%	47.6%	41.2%	41.7%	48.2%	43.3%	25.0%	44.3%	40.5%	20.0%
(number of months until leaving)	8.2	10.6	11.9	9.2	9.1	10.7	9.0	11.1	11.4	26.8	12.1	11.7	8.7	8.2	11.9	6.4%

#### By Discharge Reason

Discharge Reason	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	County % Change 2013 to 2017										
<b>Exit to Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>89.6%</b>	<b>89.3%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>91.1%</b>	<b>86.9%</b>	<b>90.9%</b>	<b>92.9%</b>	<b>85.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>93.1%</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>93.5%</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>
Reunification	60.0%	52.9%	57.3%	66.7%	61.3%	57.2%	36.4%	60.7%	54.5%	20.0%	61.3%	54.5%	33.3%	62.9%	55.1%	-44.4%
(number of months until reunification)	7.9	4.8	6.0	4.6	5.6	5.5	10.1	7.0	5.7	9.2	5.6	6.7	4.0	4.8	6.6	-49.4%
Adoption	0.0%	20.0%	20.2%	0.0%	13.0%	18.6%	0.0%	21.2%	18.7%	80.0%	19.8%	18.7%	33.3%	16.8%	20.2%	-
(number of months until adoption)	0.0	28.2	26.7	0.0	27.3	27.4	0.0	26.3	28.3	26.8	29.6	28.7	22.1	21.1	29.2	-
Guardianship	20.0%	9.3%	6.4%	16.7%	9.4%	6.1%	0.0%	5.1%	6.5%	0.0%	7.1%	6.6%	33.3%	7.0%	6.7%	66.7%
(number of month until guardianship)	8.2	18.7	21.2	17.4	21.4	20.8	0.0	18.4	21.1	0.0	18.9	19.4	8.7	12.9	20.1	6.4%
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	7.5%	5.5%	0.0%	7.3%	5.0%	54.5%	5.9%	5.4%	0.0%	4.9%	4.7%	0.0%	6.8%	5.1%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	4.7	2.6	0.0	10.7	1.0	0.1	8.6	3.0	0.0	4.4	2.2	0.0	3.6	2.2	-
<b>Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>-100.0%</b>
Emancipation	20.0%	7.7%	8.1%	16.7%	7.3%	7.7%	9.1%	6.2%	7.8%	0.0%	6.1%	6.8%	0.0%	5.4%	7.9%	-100.0%
(number of months until emancipation)	25.3	36.2	31.4	17.0	31.4	29.6	32.4	21.6	28.1	0.0	24.9	23.4	0.0	20.8	22.0	-100.0%
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	2.1%	2.0%	0.0%	1.2%	4.3%	0.0%	0.7%	5.8%	0.0%	0.6%	7.9%	0.0%	0.6%	4.3%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	10.4	6.0	0.0	10.3	5.0	0.0	26.3	6.7	0.0	17.7	7.6	0.0	2.9	6.9	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	41.6	14.9	0.0	25.2	13.2	0.0	25.7	15.5	0.0	0.0	11.2	0.0	24.4	19.4	-
Death of Child	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>-</b>
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	5.1	11.9	0.0	13.7	30.9	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	76.1	13.2	0.0	6.5	18.8	-



## FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE

Data on children remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

### Indicator

#### Children Remaining in Foster Care

Children in Foster Care on Last Day  
(number of months children have been in care)

County	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State		
7	774	13,697	18	840	14,162	15	849	14,859	16	918	15,382	18	1,097	16,381	157.1%	
9.6	12.6	13.1	7.3	12.4	12.6	19.3	10.6	12.5	5.5	9.7	13.2	13.9	9.9	13.4	44.0%	

### By Age

Age Group	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	% Change
0-2	14.3%	20.0%	20.0%	21.7%	21.5%	94.4%
3-5	0.0%	13.8%	6.7%	16.4%	17.5%	-
6-8	14.3%	10.2%	26.7%	12.4%	13.7%	-61.1%
9-11	14.3%	9.7%	9.5%	12.0%	11.8%	-61.1%
12-14	14.3%	15.6%	6.7%	11.7%	12.1%	-22.2%
15-17	28.6%	23.6%	13.3%	23.4%	19.1%	16.7%
18-20	14.3%	7.0%	0.0%	2.4%	5.3%	-100.0%
Infants (age 0-1)	14.3%	14.3%	6.7%	15.3%	13.8%	16.7%
Youth (age 13+)	57.1%	41.7%	20.0%	33.9%	31.9%	-22.2%

### By Race and Ethnicity




Race/Ethnicity	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	% Change
Non-Hispanic White	71.4%	90.1%	100.0%	86.5%	42.1%	40.0%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	14.3%	3.5%	0.0%	3.3%	35.8%	-100.0%
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1.1%	2.7%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	5.0%	6.1%	-
Hispanic or Latino	14.3%	2.6%	0.0%	4.1%	13.2%	-100.0%

### By Gender

Gender	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	% Change
Male	57.1%	52.7%	46.7%	54.8%	51.2%	16.7%
Female	42.9%	47.3%	53.3%	45.2%	48.8%	-22.2%

### By Latest Placement Setting

Placement Setting	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	% Change
Family Setting	57.1%	77.8%	80.6%	68.8%	83.4%	36.1%
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%	4.1%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	14.3%	22.5%	32.8%	25.0%	37.9%	-61.1%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	42.9%	53.4%	44.5%	43.8%	41.4%	68.5%
Congregate Care Setting	42.9%	19.4%	16.0%	18.3%	13.7%	-48.1%
Group Home	14.3%	12.8%	9.0%	14.9%	8.2%	16.7%
Institution	28.6%	6.6%	6.3%	3.4%	5.5%	-80.6%
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.8%	1.5%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.4%	0.6%	-



## FOSTER CARE - STABILITY

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements struggles to build and maintain healthy relationships and confront academic challenges due to school changes.

### Indicator

#### Foster Care Placement Stability

Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.

2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
0.0%	37.4%	34.1%	60.0%	33.7%	32.7%	23.8%	34.0%	32.8%	25.0%	29.0%	33.6%	45.5%	30.8%	32.2%	-

## FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

### Indicator

#### Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives

Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.

2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
100.0%	52.1%	41.3%	42.9%	44.2%	39.7%	21.4%	48.4%	39.0%	33.3%	52.2%	36.0%	10.0%	48.3%	39.2%	-90.0%

## FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

### Indicator

#### Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry)

Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives.

2013			2014			2015			2016			2017			County % Change 2013 to 2017
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
42.9%	20.3%	26.4%	33.3%	20.9%	26.9%	33.3%	15.8%	24.1%	10.0%	19.5%	24.8%	0.0%	19.5%	23.0%	-100.0%

**KIDS  
COUNT**

PPC is part of a network coordinated and supported by the Annie E. Casey Foundation

We gratefully acknowledge the support of The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT Project, The Heinz Endowments and PNC Financial Services Group.



Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children  
116 Pine Street, Suite 430  
Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1244  
papartnerships.org  
717.236.5680 • 800.257.2030