

# State of Child Welfare 2021

# Pike (Rural)

## CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

### Indicator

#### Child Abuse and Neglect Reports

Total reported incidents of suspected child abuse and neglect through the ChildLine Registry. (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)

	2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
	167	4,671	44,359	152	4,692	47,485	149	4,454	44,063	190	4,403	42,252	159	3,705	32,919	-4.8%
	12.5	22.0	15.9	11.4	22.1	17.0	11.2	21.0	15.8	14.2	20.7	15.1	11.9	17.4	11.8	

#### Child Abuse and Neglect Substantiations



Founded or indicated reports of child abuse and/or neglect.

	8.4%	13.2%	10.4%	5.3%	12.0%	10.2%	13.4%	14.1%	11.6%	19.5%	14.3%	11.5%	14.5%	15.8%	14.0%	72.6%
--	------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

#### Repeated Child Abuse and Neglect

Children with another substantiated incident of abuse and/or neglect following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)

	7.1%	6.7%	5.7%	0.0%	5.9%	5.6%	0.0%	7.8%	5.2%	0.0%	8.7%	5.7%	0.0%	6.8%	6.2%	-100.0%
	1 in 14	1 in 15	1 in 18	0	1 in 17	1 in 18	0	1 in 13	1 in 19	0	1 in 11	1 in 18	0	1 in 15	1 in 16	



## GENERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES (GPS)

Reports not alleging abuse of a child are categorized as general protective services (GPS) reports. Addressing concerns related to child safety and well-being before allegations of child abuse or severe neglect are made enables children to remain safely in their own homes while services are provided to the family to mitigate the risk of potential maltreatment.

### Indicator

#### GPS Reports

Total reported concerns of general neglect, not alleging child abuse.

	2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
	644	16,395	151,087	549	17,303	163,852	687	18,611	169,723	780	19,862	178,124	669	17,537	152,478	3.9%

#### Valid GPS Reports

Reports in which the allegations were found to have merit. Includes reports accepted for in-home services and reports referred out to community agencies for services.

	18.8%	25.6%	20.9%	11.3%	27.2%	22.8%	19.2%	27.3%	23.6%	25.8%	29.2%	23.5%	34.7%	31.6%	25.6%	84.6%
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

#### Children with Valid Allegations

Number of children with a valid GPS allegation by valid report. Children may be counted more than once if more than one report was found to have merit.

	165	5,807	43,702	95	6,857	53,319	197	7,480	58,982	304	8,601	60,755	300	8,259	56,999	81.8%
--	-----	-------	--------	----	-------	--------	-----	-------	--------	-----	-------	--------	-----	-------	--------	-------

#### Unduplicated Number of Children Served

Children who received in-home services along with parents to address concerns related to child safety and well-being.

	2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
	744	24,517	190,413	739	28,231	186,855	588	28,544	194,761	924	24,606	187,280	933	25,330	>181,435	25.4%

# State of Child Welfare 2021

# Pike (Rural)

## FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each federal fiscal year.

### Indicator

#### Unduplicated Number of Children Served

All Children in Foster Care During the Year  
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)

Indicator	2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Unduplicated Number of Children Served	48	1,695	24,543	49	1,812	25,381	42	1,973	25,441	NA	2,074	24,665	51	1,918	21,689	6.3%
	3.1	6.5	7.3	3.2	6.9	7.5	2.7	7.5	7.5	2.9	7.9	7.3	3.3	7.3	6.4	

#### By Age

Age Group	Setting	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	County % Change 2016 to 2020										
0-2	S	22.0%	20.2%	20.7%	20.3%	25.0%	19.8%	20.2%	29.4%	20.6%	20.9%	-					
3-5	S	16.1%	17.0%	16.4%	17.4%	16.1%	17.9%	13.6%	16.8%	17.8%	17.8%	-					
6-8	S	12.4%	13.0%	11.9%	13.3%	12.7%	13.4%	13.6%	13.1%	13.8%	13.5%	-					
9-11	S	11.2%	11.0%	11.9%	11.4%	11.4%	11.8%	6.8%	12.3%	11.7%	11.7%	-					
12-14	S	11.3%	11.2%	12.9%	11.6%	13.5%	11.8%	13.6%	13.5%	12.3%	12.6%	-					
15-17	S	22.9%	22.7%	24.5%	20.6%	22.0%	18.7%	15.9%	20.9%	18.5%	23.5%	19.1%	17.9%	2.7%			
18-20	S	4.4%	7.9%	5.6%	7.4%	4.2%	6.3%	11.4%	3.6%	5.6%	5.6%	3.0%	5.6%	-			
Infants (age 0-1)	S	14.9%	12.8%	13.5%	12.7%	12.9%	12.7%	20.5%	13.0%	12.9%	23.5%	12.7%	13.2%	-			
Youth (age 13+)	S	37.5%	34.8%	35.5%	40.8%	34.8%	34.0%	35.7%	35.3%	33.1%	38.6%	34.4%	32.6%	31.4%	31.9%	32.3%	-16.3%

#### By Race and Ethnicity

Race/Ethnicity	Setting	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	County % Change 2016 to 2020											
Non-Hispanic White	S	58.3%	88.0%	42.5%	57.1%	86.6%	42.9%	52.4%	87.1%	43.7%	59.1%	88.5%	43.7%	66.7%	88.0%	44.2%	14.3%	
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	3.1%	35.3%	3.5%	34.9%	3.8%	33.9%	4.5%	2.5%	33.0%	3.1%	32.0%	3.1%	32.0%	3.1%	32.0%	-	
Non-Hispanic Other Race	S	0.9%	3.3%	1.2%	2.9%	1.5%	2.3%	4.5%	1.4%	2.6%	1.9%	3.6%	1.9%	3.6%	1.9%	3.6%	-	
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	4.9%	5.4%	4.9%	6.2%	4.1%	7.1%	11.4%	4.0%	7.7%	4.3%	8.0%	4.3%	8.0%	4.3%	8.0%	-	
Hispanic or Latino	S	3.1%	13.4%	24.5%	3.8%	13.2%	3.6%	13.0%	20.5%	3.6%	12.9%	2.7%	12.3%	2.7%	12.3%	2.7%	12.3%	-

#### By Gender

Gender	Setting	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	County % Change 2016 to 2020										
Male	S	41.7%	53.4%	51.2%	44.9%	52.2%	51.0%	40.5%	52.2%	50.8%	52.3%	52.4%	50.8%	54.9%	52.1%	50.6%	31.8%
Female	S	58.3%	46.6%	48.8%	55.1%	47.8%	49.0%	59.5%	47.8%	49.2%	47.7%	47.6%	49.2%	45.1%	47.9%	49.4%	-22.7%


#### By Latest Placement Setting

Placement Setting	Setting	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	County % Change 2016 to 2020										
<b>Family Setting</b>	S	>79.2%	75.6%	79.0%	79.6%	77.4%	80.5%	>61.9%	78.7%	81.6%	72.7%	79.8%	82.7%	72.5%	81.0%	83.8%	<-8.4%
Pre-adoptive Home	S	3.4%	4.5%	0.0%	2.3%	4.0%	0.0%	3.2%	4.5%	0.0%	2.7%	3.8%	0.0%	2.7%	2.9%	2.9%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	S	31.3%	28.8%	34.1%	28.6%	30.0%	36.4%	29.9%	37.1%	20.5%	32.4%	38.7%	23.5%	33.5%	40.8%	40.8%	-24.7%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	S	47.9%	43.4%	40.5%	51.0%	45.0%	40.1%	61.9%	45.6%	40.1%	52.3%	44.6%	40.3%	49.0%	44.8%	40.1%	2.3%
<b>Congregate Care Setting</b>	S	>18.7%	18.4%	15.8%	>18.3%	18.4%	14.9%	19.0%	18.6%	14.0%	20.5%	15.9%	12.7%	>25.4%	13.6%	11.5%	-
Group Home	S	14.5%	9.1%	14.7%	8.8%	13.4%	8.5%	4.5%	11.5%	7.7%	4.5%	11.5%	7.7%	10.3%	6.7%	6.7%	-
Institution	S	4.0%	6.8%	3.7%	6.1%	5.2%	5.6%	15.9%	4.3%	5.0%	4.3%	5.0%	3.3%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	-
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	S	0.0%	0.8%	1.6%	S	0.7%	1.5%	S	0.5%	1.5%	2.3%	0.5%	1.6%	0.0%	0.5%	1.3%	-
Runaway	S	0.0%	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.1%	1.9%	-
Trial Home Visit	S	0.0%	5.0%	2.2%	0.0%	3.4%	1.7%	S	2.2%	1.4%	4.5%	3.6%	1.5%	S	4.9%	1.6%	-

#### Children Entering Foster Care

All Entries into Foster Care During the Year

Indicator	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	County % Change 2016 to 2020										
Children Entering Foster Care	28	945	10,567	16	987	10,781	19	962	10,095	NA	1,009	9,448	29	834	7,286	3.6%



NA = Data not currently available  
S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons

## FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past federal fiscal year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

### Indicator

#### Children Entering for the First Time

First Time Entries During the Year  
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)  
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries

Indicator	2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Children Entering for the First Time	25	721	8,082	13	799	8,438	14	753	7,626	NA	789	7,266	26	665	5,540	4.0%
First Time Entries During the Year (Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	1.6	2.7	2.4	0.8	3.0	2.5	0.9	2.9	2.3	1.4	3.0	2.2	1.7	2.5	1.6	
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries	89.3%	76.3%	76.5%	81.3%	81.0%	78.3%	73.7%	78.3%	75.5%	81.5%	78.2%	76.9%	89.7%	79.7%	76.0%	

#### By Age

0-2  
3-5  
6-8  
9-11  
12-14  
15-20  
Infants (age 0-1)  
Youth (age 13+)

Age Group	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
0-2	S	29.5%	33.3%	S	29.8%	33.2%	S	29.9%	34.4%	45.5%	31.6%	35.1%	S	29.6%	38.2%	-
3-5	S	15.4%	14.7%	S	14.6%	15.3%	S	14.3%	15.4%	13.6%	16.5%	14.8%	S	15.9%	14.5%	-
6-8	S	13.9%	12.5%	S	11.1%	11.9%	S	11.6%	11.5%	13.6%	12.3%	11.8%	0.0%	13.4%	11.4%	-
9-11	S	11.2%	9.6%	0.0%	10.8%	10.1%	S	9.3%	10.3%	4.5%	10.8%	10.7%	S	11.1%	9.7%	-
12-14	S	11.5%	12.6%	S	14.6%	13.1%	S	16.2%	12.8%	9.1%	13.7%	12.9%	S	16.2%	12.5%	-
15-20	S	18.4%	17.4%	S	19.0%	16.5%	S	18.7%	15.6%	13.6%	15.2%	14.6%	S	13.7%	13.7%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	S	23.6%	26.3%	S	23.0%	26.5%	S	22.3%	28.0%	36.4%	25.7%	29.1%	S	22.3%	31.7%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	26.8%	26.6%	S	30.0%	26.0%	S	30.7%	24.7%	18.2%	20.7%	19.5%	S	25.6%	22.6%	-

#### By Race and Ethnicity

Non-Hispanic White  
Non-Hispanic Black or African American  
Non-Hispanic Other Race  
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races  
Hispanic or Latino

Race/Ethnicity	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
Non-Hispanic White	60.0%	89.5%	49.4%	<84.7%	87.1%	47.6%	<78.7%	87.6%	48.3%	45.5%	88.3%	47.6%	76.9%	86.2%	49.7%	28.2%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	2.5%	28.6%	0.0%	3.9%	29.7%	S	4.0%	29.3%	9.1%	1.9%	28.4%	S	3.0%	25.2%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	0.8%	4.1%	0.0%	1.3%	3.4%	0.0%	1.5%	2.7%	4.5%	1.5%	3.7%	S	3.5%	5.3%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	4.0%	5.4%	0.0%	4.1%	6.3%	0.0%	3.5%	7.7%	9.1%	4.2%	7.7%	0.0%	5.6%	8.2%	-
Hispanic or Latino	S	3.2%	12.6%	S	3.6%	13.0%	0.0%	3.5%	12.0%	31.8%	4.1%	12.6%	S	1.8%	11.6%	-

#### By Gender

Male  
Female



Gender	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
Male	S	53.5%	50.8%	S	49.8%	51.0%	S	50.5%	50.7%	63.6%	50.6%	50.8%	57.7%	51.6%	50.5%	-
Female	>59.9%	46.5%	49.2%	S	50.2%	49.0%	S	49.5%	49.3%	36.4%	49.4%	49.2%	42.3%	48.4%	49.5%	>-29.4%

#### By First Reported Placement Setting

**Family Setting**  
Pre-adoptive Home  
Foster Family Home – Relative  
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative  
**Congregate Care Setting**  
Group Home  
Institution  
**Supervised Independent Living**  
Runaway  
Trial Home Visit

Placement Setting	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
<b>Family Setting</b>	>52.0%	82.3%	82.3%	>84.5%	>81.4%	83.6%	>85.6%	>79.9%	83.8%	86.4%	>84.3%	85.7%	>61.4%	85.9%	>87.5%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.3%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	S	37.5%	37.5%	S	33.3%	39.1%	S	34.7%	39.9%	40.9%	39.8%	41.6%	S	39.5%	44.3%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	52.0%	44.6%	44.6%	S	48.1%	44.2%	S	45.3%	43.7%	45.5%	44.5%	43.7%	S	46.3%	43.1%	-
<b>Congregate Care Setting</b>	>15.9%	15.9%	15.9%	>0.0%	17.5%	15.0%	>0.0%	18.5%	14.3%	13.6%	14.3%	12.7%	34.6%	12.3%	10.6%	<117.7%
Group Home	S	9.7%	9.7%	0.0%	15.1%	9.1%	0.0%	13.7%	9.4%	0.0%	11.2%	8.5%	S	9.0%	7.2%	-
Institution	S	6.2%	6.2%	S	2.4%	5.9%	S	4.8%	4.9%	13.6%	3.2%	4.2%	S	3.3%	3.4%	-
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	S	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	<1.6%	1.1%	0.0%	S	0.6%	S	<1.8%	0.9%	-

NA = Data not currently available  
S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons



# State of Child Welfare 2021

# Pike (Rural)

## FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in and out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who re-enter is typically older.

### Indicator

#### Children Re-Entering Foster Care

Re-Entries During the Year  
Re-Entries as Percent of All Entries

County	2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		County % Change 2016 to 2020				
	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural		State			
S	224	2,485	S	188	2,343	S	209	2,469	NA	220	2,182	S	169	1,746	-
S	23.7%	23.5%	S	19.0%	21.7%	S	21.7%	24.5%	18.5%	21.8%	23.1%	S	20.3%	24.0%	-

#### By Age

Age Group	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	2018 County	2018 Rural	2018 State	2019 County	2019 Rural	2019 State	2020 County	2020 Rural	2020 State	% Change
0-2	0.0%	9.4%	11.2%	0.0%	11.7%	11.4%	0.0%	10.5%	10.8%	40.0%	11.4%	11.9%	S	14.8%	10.5%	-
3-5	0.0%	11.2%	9.7%	S	12.8%	11.1%	S	17.2%	12.6%	20.0%	12.7%	12.7%	0.0%	17.2%	10.9%	-
6-8	0.0%	10.3%	10.1%	0.0%	9.0%	11.7%	S	12.4%	12.1%	20.0%	14.1%	11.9%	0.0%	10.1%	11.0%	-
9-11	0.0%	12.1%	9.6%	0.0%	12.2%	10.6%	S	9.6%	11.5%	0.0%	13.2%	10.4%	S	8.9%	12.0%	-
12-14	S	15.2%	18.5%	0.0%	18.6%	17.2%	S	12.0%	17.7%	20.0%	20.9%	18.7%	S	21.9%	17.7%	-
15-20	S	42.0%	40.9%	S	35.6%	38.2%	0.0%	38.3%	35.4%	0.0%	27.7%	34.4%	0.0%	27.2%	37.9%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	0.0%	6.3%	7.4%	0.0%	6.9%	6.6%	0.0%	7.7%	6.4%	20.0%	4.5%	6.9%	S	10.7%	7.3%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	55.4%	55.3%	S	47.9%	51.1%	S	47.8%	48.6%	20.0%	45.5%	48.7%	S	45.0%	51.1%	-

#### By Race and Ethnicity



Race/Ethnicity	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	2018 County	2018 Rural	2018 State	2019 County	2019 Rural	2019 State	2020 County	2020 Rural	2020 State	% Change
Non-Hispanic White	S	87.5%	39.5%	S	91.5%	40.6%	S	89.0%	39.6%	100.0%	87.7%	40.6%	0.0%	88.2%	39.1%	-
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	4.0%	40.1%	0.0%	1.6%	39.4%	0.0%	3.8%	40.5%	0.0%	3.2%	37.7%	0.0%	4.1%	38.8%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	0.9%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	S	2.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	S	2.4%	3.4%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	4.9%	5.8%	0.0%	4.3%	6.3%	0.0%	2.9%	7.1%	0.0%	4.5%	8.6%	0.0%	2.4%	6.8%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	2.7%	11.6%	0.0%	2.7%	11.9%	0.0%	1.9%	11.1%	0.0%	4.5%	11.5%	S	3.0%	12.0%	-

#### By Gender

Gender	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	2018 County	2018 Rural	2018 State	2019 County	2019 Rural	2019 State	2020 County	2020 Rural	2020 State	% Change
Male	S	57.6%	50.3%	S	56.4%	49.6%	S	54.5%	49.1%	60.0%	56.8%	51.2%	S	54.4%	49.0%	-
Female	S	42.4%	49.7%	S	43.6%	50.4%	S	45.5%	50.9%	40.0%	43.2%	48.8%	S	45.6%	51.0%	-

#### By Placement Setting at Re-Entry

Placement Setting	2016 County	2016 Rural	2016 State	2017 County	2017 Rural	2017 State	2018 County	2018 Rural	2018 State	2019 County	2019 Rural	2019 State	2020 County	2020 Rural	2020 State	% Change
<b>Family Setting</b>	>0.0%	58.5%	61.7%	>0.0%	62.8%	63.9%	>0.0%	65.1%	66.7%	100.0%	69.1%	68.5%	>0.0%	67.5%	>65.0%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	S	17.9%	26.3%	0.0%	25.0%	30.7%	0.0%	22.5%	28.3%	20.0%	29.1%	32.5%	0.0%	28.4%	34.8%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	0.0%	40.6%	34.6%	S	37.8%	32.6%	S	42.6%	37.9%	80.0%	40.0%	35.3%	S	39.1%	30.1%	-
<b>Congregate Care Setting</b>	>0.0%	39.3%	34.0%	>0.0%	>36.6%	31.2%	0.0%	30.1%	28.0%	0.0%	28.2%	25.8%	>66.6%	>22.5%	27.1%	-
Group Home	0.0%	25.9%	19.3%	S	30.3%	19.9%	0.0%	24.4%	18.4%	0.0%	22.3%	16.6%	S	22.5%	16.5%	-
Institution	S	13.4%	14.8%	0.0%	>6.3%	11.3%	0.0%	5.7%	9.6%	0.0%	5.9%	9.2%	S	S	10.6%	-
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	0.0%	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	S	1.6%	0.0%	S	1.4%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	S	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	S	<1.0%	-



NA = Data not currently available  
S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons

## FOSTER CARE - GOALS

All children in foster care have a court-ordered permanency goal, which directs the efforts of the child welfare agency toward a certain family outcome for each child. In addition to the court-ordered 'primary' goal, a 'concurrent' goal is put in place to ensure permanency is achieved if for some reason the primary goal cannot be met. This data provides information on the most recent primary goal for every child served in foster care during the federal fiscal year.

### Indicator

#### Percent of Children by Case Plan Goal



Indicator	2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
<b>Family-Based Permanency Goals</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>97.7%</b>	<b>95.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>98.0%</b>	<b>94.0%</b>	<b>&gt;97.6%</b>	<b>98.2%</b>	<b>95.1%</b>	<b>97.7%</b>	<b>98.3%</b>	<b>95.5%</b>	<b>&gt;86.3%</b>	<b>99.0%</b>	<b>96.4%</b>	<b>&lt;-13.7%</b>
Reunify With Parent(s) or Principal Caretaker(s)	83.3%	72.6%	69.8%	69.4%	72.3%	66.7%	68.3%	71.6%	65.3%	84.1%	70.4%	63.7%	86.3%	70.6%	65.8%	3.5%
Adoption	S	18.3%	19.5%	24.5%	19.5%	21.2%	29.3%	20.2%	23.6%	13.6%	20.9%	24.5%	S	22.2%	23.7%	-
Guardianship	S	4.6%	4.3%	S	5.0%	4.8%	S	5.0%	5.1%	0.0%	5.5%	5.9%	0.0%	5.2%	5.4%	-
Live with Relative(s)	0.0%	2.2%	1.5%	S	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%	1.4%	-
<b>Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA)</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>&gt;2.0%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>&gt;1.6%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>&gt;1.5%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>-</b>
Long Term Foster Care	0.0%	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	0.7%	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	-
Emancipation	0.0%	2.0%	4.0%	0.0%	1.6%	5.3%	0.0%	1.5%	4.3%	2.3%	1.7%	4.4%	0.0%	1.0%	3.1%	-

#### APPLA By Age as % of Age Group Served

Age Group	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
0-15	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
16-20	0.0%	14.7%	21.6%	0.0%	9.3%	25.1%	0.0%	9.3%	25.1%	9.1%	1.6%	23.5%	0.0%	6.2%	19.6%	-

#### APPLA By Discharge Reason

Discharge Reason	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
<b>Exit to Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>-</b>
Reunification	0.0%	S	27.0%	0.0%	S	17.3%	0.0%	S	16.9%	0.0%	S	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.0%	-
(number of months until reunification)	0.0	50.0	30.4	0.0	17.3	11.8	0.0	11.9	18.6	0.0	2.1	19.2	0.0	0.0	25.1	-
Adoption	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
Guardianship	0.0%	S	4.2%	0.0%	S	4.9%	0.0%	S	2.3%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of month until guardianship)	0.0	129.1	32.0	0.0	22.5	23.6	0.0	28.5	25.6	0.0	11.2	23.8	0.0	0.0	32.5	-
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	0.0	39.8	0.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	0.0	32.9	0.0	0.0	49.6	0.0	0.0	61.6	-
<b>Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>67.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>&gt;77.3%</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>&gt;68.4%</b>	<b>80.5%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>&gt;85.2%</b>	<b>83.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>75.6%</b>	<b>-</b>
Emancipation	0.0%	78.6%	61.3%	0.0%	77.3%	66.7%	0.0%	68.4%	72.1%	100.0%	85.2%	74.3%	0.0%	100.0%	68.0%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	0.0	29.8	30.6	0.0	27.2	26.2	0.0	45.2	27.6	60.8	63.9	30.7	0.0	31.2	26.1	-
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	0.0%	S	5.3%	0.0%	S	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	0.0	27.6	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	109.1	19.4	0.0	19.3	17.9	0.0	0.0	16.0	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	34.3	32.0	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	26.9	0.0	0.0	22.8	-
<b>Death of Child</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>-</b>
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	143.7	143.7	0.0	0.0	62.6	0.0	0.0	62.6	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	115.9	-



NA = Data not currently available  
S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons

## FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the federal fiscal year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to ensure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.

### Indicator

#### Children Exiting Foster Care

Total Children Leaving Foster Care  
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care  
(number of months until leaving)



Indicator	2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	16	874	10,635	22	803	10,276	28	924	10,694	NA	998	10,801	24	970	8,712	50.0%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	33.3%	51.6%	43.3%	44.9%	44.3%	40.5%	66.7%	46.8%	42.0%	45.5%	48.1%	43.8%	47.1%	50.6%	40.2%	41.2%
(number of months until leaving)	3.7	12.1	11.7	3.5	8.2	11.9	19.3	10.6	13.0	4.3	11.1	15.9	2.3	11.4	15.4	-36.9%

#### By Discharge Reason

**Exit to Permanent Arrangements**  
Reunification  
(number of months until reunification)  
Adoption  
(number of months until adoption)  
Guardianship  
(number of month until guardianship)  
Live with Other Relatives  
(number of months until living with relatives)  
**Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements**  
Emancipation  
(number of months until emancipation)  
Transfer to Another Agency  
(number of months until transfer)  
Runaway  
(number of months until running away)  
**Death of Child**  
(number of months until death of a child)

Discharge Reason	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	% Change										
<b>Exit to Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>93.8%</b>	<b>93.1%</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>95.5%</b>	<b>93.5%</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	<b>92.9%</b>	<b>93.9%</b>	<b>88.6%</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>90.9%</b>	<b>86.6%</b>	<b>91.7%</b>	<b>93.5%</b>	<b>86.2%</b>	<b>-2.2%</b>
Reunification	68.8%	61.3%	54.5%	54.5%	62.9%	55.1%	71.4%	60.7%	52.5%	60.0%	56.7%	49.8%	70.8%	55.9%	50.8%	3.0%
(number of months until reunification)	3.2	5.6	6.7	2.9	4.8	6.6	12.1	5.7	6.4	2.1	7.0	7.4	1.2	7.0	8.4	-61.2%
Adoption	S	19.8%	18.7%	S	16.8%	20.2%	S	19.5%	23.9%	0.0%	20.6%	25.2%	S	22.8%	23.5%	-
(number of months until adoption)	57.4	29.6	28.7	17.9	21.1	29.2	31.8	25.1	30.5	0.0	25.8	31.0	49.1	30.2	29.8	-14.5%
Guardianship	S	7.1%	6.6%	S	7.0%	6.7%	0.0%	9.6%	7.5%	0.0%	9.8%	7.6%	S	8.6%	7.7%	-
(number of month until guardianship)	1.8	18.9	19.4	14.8	12.9	20.1	0.0	15.9	19.4	0.0	18.1	22.8	11.2	15.3	22.3	529.6%
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	4.9%	4.7%	S	6.8%	5.1%	S	4.1%	4.6%	15.0%	3.7%	4.1%	0.0%	6.3%	4.1%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	4.4	2.2	0.5	3.6	2.2	19.0	6.8	2.1	0.1	2.1	2.5	0.0	4.4	2.4	-
<b>Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements</b>	<b>&gt;0.0%</b>	<b>&gt;6.1%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>&gt;0.0%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>&gt;0.0%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>&gt;8.2%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>&gt;0.0%</b>	<b>&gt;6.1%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>-</b>
Emancipation	S	6.1%	6.8%	S	5.4%	7.9%	S	4.5%	7.3%	25.0%	8.2%	9.3%	S	6.1%	9.5%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	61.0	24.9	23.4	8.2	20.8	22.0	37.2	37.8	23.7	38.5	29.9	26.2	8.5	12.3	22.9	-86.1%
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	S	7.9%	0.0%	S	4.3%	0.0%	1.5%	3.5%	0.0%	S	3.5%	0.0%	S	3.7%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	17.7	7.6	0.0	2.9	6.9	0.0	25.5	7.9	0.0	12.2	5.5	0.0	0.2	6.6	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	S	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	11.2	0.0	24.4	19.4	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	11.4	-
<b>Death of Child</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>-</b>
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	76.1	13.2	0.0	6.5	18.8	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	90.0	6.1	-

NA = Data not currently available  
S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons





# State of Child Welfare 2021

# Pike (Rural)

## FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE

Data on children remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

### Indicator

#### Children Remaining in Foster Care

Children in Foster Care on Last Day  
(number of months children have been in care)

County	2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
	33	918	15,382	27	1,097	16,381	18	1,142	16,074	NA	1,153	15,082	29	1,005	13,949	-12.1%
	11.0	9.7	13.2	21.3	9.9	13.4	6.4	10.4	14.2	9.0	11.4	14.0	13.7	10.2	16.4	24.4%

### By Age

Age Group	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
0-2	S	21.7%	21.3%	S	21.7%	21.5%	S	18.7%	21.0%	28.0%	22.1%	21.6%	S	22.4%	22.2%	-
3-5	S	16.4%	17.0%	S	15.6%	17.5%	S	16.6%	17.5%	20.0%	16.7%	17.7%	S	17.5%	18.0%	-
6-8	S	12.4%	13.2%	S	13.7%	13.7%	S	13.0%	13.6%	16.0%	13.9%	13.8%	S	12.6%	13.9%	-
9-11	S	12.0%	11.6%	S	12.4%	11.8%	S	12.1%	12.2%	8.0%	12.8%	12.1%	S	12.1%	12.1%	-
12-14	S	11.7%	12.0%	S	13.9%	12.1%	S	13.9%	12.3%	12.0%	14.4%	13.3%	S	15.3%	13.1%	-
15-20	S	25.8%	19.6%	40.7%	22.8%	18.2%	S	25.7%	18.3%	16.0%	20.0%	17.7%	S	20.0%	17.2%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	S	15.3%	13.8%	S	13.9%	13.8%	S	12.3%	13.7%	24.0%	15.4%	14.4%	S	14.3%	14.5%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	36.4%	33.9%	33.5%	44.4%	32.0%	S	34.7%	31.9%	28.0%	34.4%	34.8%	S	31.0%	29.9%	-

### By Race and Ethnicity




Race/Ethnicity	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
Non-Hispanic White	48.5%	86.5%	41.0%	40.7%	87.0%	42.1%	61.1%	89.2%	42.2%	64.0%	88.8%	42.3%	72.4%	87.9%	41.8%	49.4%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	3.3%	37.0%	S	3.2%	35.8%	0.0%	3.0%	35.4%	4.0%	2.8%	34.4%	0.0%	3.0%	33.7%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	S	2.9%	S	1.4%	2.7%	S	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%	S	2.6%	S	2.4%	3.7%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	<5.2%	5.5%	S	4.3%	6.1%	S	3.5%	7.1%	20.0%	<4.4%	7.8%	S	4.0%	8.3%	-
Hispanic or Latino	S	4.1%	13.6%	S	4.2%	13.2%	S	2.9%	13.0%	12.0%	3.5%	13.0%	S	2.8%	12.5%	-

### By Gender

Gender	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
Male	45.5%	54.8%	51.2%	40.7%	52.8%	51.2%	S	52.5%	50.9%	44.0%	52.4%	50.6%	55.2%	53.3%	50.8%	21.4%
Female	54.5%	45.2%	48.8%	59.3%	47.2%	48.8%	>61.0%	47.5%	49.1%	56.0%	47.6%	49.4%	44.8%	46.7%	49.2%	-17.8%

### By Latest Placement Setting

Placement Setting	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County % Change 2016 to 2020
<b>Family Setting</b>	<b>81.8%</b>	<b>79.2%</b>	<b>82.5%</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>83.4%</b>	<b>&gt;61.1%</b>	<b>81.5%</b>	<b>84.0%</b>	<b>88.0%</b>	<b>82.3%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>&gt;44.8%</b>	<b>82.6%</b>	<b>86.3%</b>	<b>&gt;-45.2%</b>
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	1.4%	5.3%	0.0%	1.5%	4.1%	0.0%	2.1%	4.0%	0.0%	1.4%	3.2%	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	39.4%	28.5%	35.1%	S	30.6%	37.9%	S	29.1%	37.8%	28.0%	31.0%	39.4%	S	30.9%	41.5%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	42.4%	49.2%	42.1%	S	47.0%	41.4%	61.1%	50.4%	42.2%	60.0%	50.0%	42.5%	44.8%	49.0%	42.2%	5.7%
<b>Congregate Care Setting</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>14.7%</b>	<b>&gt;22.1%</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>&gt;0.0%</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>&gt;30.9%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>&gt;70.0%</b>
Group Home	S	14.9%	8.4%	S	14.2%	8.2%	0.0%	11.8%	7.9%	0.0%	11.4%	7.0%	S	11.1%	5.9%	-
Institution	S	3.4%	6.3%	S	4.4%	5.5%	S	5.6%	5.3%	12.0%	4.2%	4.9%	S	4.2%	4.8%	-
<b>Supervised Independent Living</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	-
Runaway	0.0%	S	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	1.4%	0.7%	0.0%	<1.9%	0.6%	0.0%	S	0.4%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	<1.9%	0.4%	-



## FOSTER CARE - STABILITY

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements struggles to build and maintain healthy relationships and confront academic challenges due to school changes.

### Indicator

#### Foster Care Placement Stability

Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.

2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
35.3%	29.0%	33.6%	16.7%	30.8%	32.2%	27.8%	30.5%	31.2%	75.0%	35.1%	31.1%	19.2%	ND	ND	-45.5%

## FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

### Indicator

#### Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives

Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.

2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
37.5%	52.2%	36.0%	30.4%	48.3%	39.2%	84.6%	41.0%	37.4%	60.0%	44.3%	38.7%	S	ND	ND	-

## FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

### Indicator

#### Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry)

Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives.

2016			2017			2018			2019			2020			County % Change 2016 to 2020
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	
0.0%	19.5%	24.8%	27.3%	19.5%	23.0%	0.0%	22.2%	21.4%	33.3%	21.8%	22.3%	S	ND	ND	-

ND = PA DHS did not provide data  
S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons

**KIDS  
COUNT**

PPC is part of a network coordinated and supported by the Annie E. Casey Foundation

We gratefully acknowledge the support of Alliance for Early Success, The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT Project, The Heinz Endowments and PNC Financial Services Group.



Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children  
200 North Third Street, 13th Floor  
Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1589  
papartnerships.org  
717.236.5680

October 2021