### **Bedford (Rural)**

#### **CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)**

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
illucator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports  Total reported incidents of suspected child abuse and neglect through the ChildLine Registry.  (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)	142 15.3	7,317	44,063 16.6	165 17.8	7,095 29.2	42,252 15.9	147 15.8	5,924 24.3	32,919 12.4	170 18.3	6,701 27.5	38,013 14.3	200 21.5	2,133 19.6	39,093	40.8%
Child Abuse and Neglect Substantiations Founded or indicated reports of child abuse and/or neglect.	16.2%	12.2%	11.6%	17.0%	12.1%	11.5%	12.2%	15.3%	14.0%	15.3%	15.3%	13.2%	16.0%	17.0%	12.8%	-1.2%
Repeated Child Abuse and Neglect Children with another substantiated incident of abuse and/or neglect following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)	4.3% 1 in 23	5.1% 1 in 20	5.2% 1 in 19	7.1% 1 in 14	6.3% 1 in 16	5.7% 1 in 18	11.1% 1 in 9	6.9% 1 in 14	6.2% 1 in 16	11.5% 1 in 9	8.6% 1 in 12	6.5% 1 in 15	3.1% 1 in 32	6.1% 1 in 16	6.4% 1 in 16	-28.1%
250 200 201 250	2019	county 202		Substant	2022*	- 25% - 20% - 15% - 10% stroits in the stroit of the st		250 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 250 - 250 - 250 -		2019	,		peated C	hild Abu	25% 20% 20% 15% 10% 15% 5% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20	* County classifications changed with the 2022 data.

#### **GENERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES (GPS)**

Reports not alleging abuse of a child are categorized as general protective services (GPS) reports. Addressing concerns related to child safety and well-being before allegations of child abuse or severe neglect are made enables children to remain safely in their own homes while services are provided to the family to mitigate the risk of potential maltreatment.


Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
mulcator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
GPS Reports  Total reported concerns of general neglect, not alleging child abuse.	547	29,067	169,723	579	31,053	178,124	542	27,270	152,478	551	28,819	161,709	585	8,845	165,295	6.9%
Valid GPS Reports Reports in which the allegations were found to have merit. Includes reports accepted for in-home services and reports referred out to community agencies for services.	30.7%	28.9%	23.6%	30.9%	28.8%	23.5%	28.6%	33.5%	25.6%	31.6%	36.0%	27.1%	28.7%	38.4%	24.2%	-6.5%
Children with Valid Allegations Number of children with a valid GPS allegation by valid report. Children may be counted more than once if more than one report was found to have merit.	254	12,422	58,982	301	13,178	60,755	235	13,492	56,999	268	15,143	63,801	284	4,980	57,876	11.8%
		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Unduplicated Number of Children Served Children who received in-home services along with parents to address concerns related to child safety and well-being.	886	38,955	194,761	798	40,247	187,280	748		>181,435		36,548		826	16,139	211,150	-6.8%

## **Bedford (Rural)**

#### **FOSTER CARE - SERVED**

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each federal fiscal year.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chan
	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Unduplicated Number of Children Served																
All Children in Foster Care During the Year	72	3,492	25,441	NA	3,307	24,665	53	2,928	21,689	66	3,077	20,490	73	1,070	19,287	1.4%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	6.6	11.9	8.0	5.1	11.3	7.7	4.9	10.0	6.8	6.1	10.5	6.4	6.7	8.3	6.0	
By Age							ı			1			1			
0-2	20.8%	22.1%	20.2%	27.0%	21.9%	20.2%	S	23.6%	20.9%	19.7%	24.9%	21.5%	19.2%	20.4%	21.1%	-7.9%
3-5	15.3%	19.6%	17.9%	22.2%	19.2%	17.8%	22.6%	19.9%	17.8%	16.7%	19.9%	18.2%	S	19.0%	18.2%	-
6-8	S	14.1%	13.4%	11.1%	14.5%	13.8%	S	12.9%	13.5%	16.7%	13.6%	13.7%	19.2%	13.3%	13.7%	-
9-11	S	10.8%	11.8%	3.2%	10.9%	11.7%	S	10.7%	11.7%	S	10.2%	11.3%	S	9.8%	11.2%	-
12-14	S	10.9%	11.8%	11.1%	11.8%	12.3%	S	11.6%	12.6%	S	11.8%	12.6%	S	12.2%	12.8%	-
15-17	26.4%	17.7%	18.7%	23.8%	17.7%	18.5%	26.4%	17.5%	17.9%	16.7%	15.3%	16.4%	27.4%	22.0%	18.1%	3.8%
18-20	S	5.0%	6.3%	1.6%	4.0%	5.6%	S	3.8%	5.6%	S	4.4%	6.4%	S	3.4%	4.9%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	15.3%	13.9%	12.7%	17.5%	13.6%	12.9%	S	15.4%	13.2%	S	16.4%	13.7%	16.4%	13.7%	13.3%	7.6%
Youth (age 13+)	36.1%	30.0%	33.1%	30.2%	29.8%	32.6%	34.0%	29.3%	32.3%	30.3%	27.8%	31.3%	37.0%	34.5%	32.0%	2.4%
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	90.3%	73.8%	43.7%	88.9%	73.9%	43.7%	73.6%	74.0%	44.2%	81.8%	71.7%	44.3%	83.6%	85.4%	45.0%	-7.4%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	9.6%	33.9%	4.8%	8.7%	33.0%	S	8.1%	32.0%	S	9.2%	30.7%	S	1.9%	29.8%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.6%	2.3%	0.0%	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	3.0%	3.6%	S	3.6%	4.2%	S	2.9%	4.8%	_
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	8.2%	7.1%	0.0%	8.3%	7.7%	S	8.6%	8.0%	s	9.4%	8.4%	s	5.5%	8.2%	_
Hispanic or Latino	S	6.7%	13.0%	6.3%	7.1%	12.9%	S	6.4%	12.3%	S	6.1%	12.3%	0.0%	4.3%	12.2%	-
By Sex																
Male	50.0%	52.9%	50.8%	52.4%	52.5%	50.8%	54.7%	53.3%	50.6%	47.0%	52.0%	50.5%	47.9%	52.4%	50.3%	-4.1%
Female	50.0%	47.1%	49.2%	47.6%	47.5%	49.2%	45.3%	46.7%	49.4%	53.0%	48.0%	49.5%	52.1%	47.6%	49.7%	4.1%
By Latest Placement Setting										<u> </u>			1			
Family Setting	81.9%	80.9%	81.6%	79.4%	81.4%	82.7%	86.8%	82.9%	83.8%	90.9%	85.7%	84.8%	86.3%	80.0%	84.9%	5.3%
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	1.0%	4.5%	0.0%	1.0%	3.8%	S	0.9%	2.9%	0.0%	1.8%	2.3%	0.0%	1.9%	3.5%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	25.0%	28.6%	37.1%	20.6%	30.0%	38.7%	S	33.5%	40.8%	25.8%	37.4%	42.0%	27.4%	37.8%	42.1%	9.6%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	56.9%	51.3%	40.1%	58.7%	50.4%	40.3%	69.8%	48.5%	40.1%	65.2%	46.5%	40.5%	58.9%	40.4%	39.3%	3.4%
Congregate Care Setting	>16.6%	14.0%	14.0%	20.6%	14.2%	12.7%	>0.0%	13.1%	11.5%	>0.0%	10.2%	10.5%	13.7%	14.1%	10.4%	<-17.5%
Group Home	S	8.8%	8.5%	17.5%	8.6%	7.7%	S	8.2%	6.7%	S	6.8%	6.4%	S	8.3%	6.3%	-
Institution	S	5.2%	5.6%	3.2%	5.7%	5.0%	0.0%	4.9%	4.8%	0.0%	3.4%	4.2%	S	5.8%	4.1%	_
Supervised Independent Living	S	0.8%	1.5%	0.0%	0.9%	1.6%	S	<0.7%	1.3%	S	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	<1.1%	1.4%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%	S	1.9%	0.0%	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%	S	1.5%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	3.8%	1.4%	0.0%	3.0%	1.5%	0.0%	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%	3.3%	1.8%	0.0%	4.8%	1.7%	-
Children Entering Foster Care							l			<u> </u>						
All Entries into Foster Care During the Year	29	1,575	10,095	NA	1,381	9,448	23	1,208	7,286	41	1,386	7,316	36	462	7,307	24.1%
All Entities into I oster Gale During the Teal	23	1,010	10,093	14/1	1,301	3,440	20	1,200	1,200	_ <del>-</del> ' '	1,300	7,310			rrently availa	



S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons \* County classifications changed with the 2022 data.

## **Bedford (Rural)**

#### **FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES**

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past federal fiscal year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

In directors		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Entering for the First Time																
First Time Entries During the Year	21	1,252	7,626	NA	1,101	7,266	17	979	5,540	31	1,121	5,635	29	370	5,394	38.1%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	1.9	4.3	2.4	2.3	3.7	2.3	1.6	3.3	1.7	2.9	3.8	1.8	2.7	2.9	1.7	30.1%
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries	72.4%	79.5%	75.5%	80.0%	79.7%	76.9%	73.9%	81.0%	76.0%	75.6%	80.9%	77.0%	80.6%	80.1%	73.8%	11.2%
By Age				1						1			1			
0-2	s	33.1%	34.4%	35.7%	35.1%	35.1%	s	38.3%	38.2%	s	37.5%	38.5%	s	32.4%	36.2%	_
3-5	S	17.8%	15.4%	17.9%	14.5%	14.8%	S	16.8%	14.5%	S	16.5%	14.7%	S	16.2%	14.2%	_
6-8	S	12.1%	11.5%	3.6%	11.4%	11.8%	s	9.2%	11.4%	s	11.5%	11.3%	S	10.3%	11.7%	-
9-11	S	10.7%	10.3%	3.6%	9.7%	10.7%	S	8.1%	9.7%	S	8.5%	9.3%	S	9.5%	9.5%	_
12-14	S	12.1%	12.8%	14.3%	13.4%	12.9%	s	12.6%	12.5%	S	12.7%	12.6%	S	12.4%	13.0%	_
15-20	S	14.1%	15.6%	25.0%	15.9%	14.6%	s	15.1%	13.7%	s	13.4%	13.7%	S	19.2%	15.4%	_
Infants (age 0-1)	S	26.4%	28.0%	32.1%	28.1%	29.1%	S	32.3%	31.7%	S	30.1%	32.3%	S	24.6%	29.7%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	23.1%	24.7%	32.1%	21.7%	19.5%	s	24.2%	22.6%	s	22.2%	22.5%	37.9%	29.5%	25.2%	-
By Race and Ethnicity				1						1			I			
Non-Hispanic White	100.0%	73.8%	48.3%	85.7%	74.2%	47.6%	64.7%	72.3%	49.7%	87.1%	70.0%	50.0%	89.7%	85.1%	50.1%	-10.3%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	9.7%	29.3%	10.7%	9.4%	28.4%	S	8.3%	25.2%	S	11.5%	23.6%	0.0%	S	23.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.9%	2.7%	0.0%	3.2%	3.7%	0.0%	4.5%	5.3%	S	4.5%	5.8%	S	4.3%	6.1%	_
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	9.2%	7.7%	0.0%	6.5%	7.7%	S	7.8%	8.2%	0.0%	7.9%	8.4%	S	<5.9%	8.0%	_
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	5.4%	12.0%	3.6%	6.7%	12.6%	S	7.2%	11.6%	0.0%	6.2%	12.1%	0.0%	4.1%	11.9%	_
	0.070	0.170	12.070	0.070	070	12.070		1.270	1 11.0 70	0.070	0.270	121170	0.070	,	11.070	
By Sex																
Male	S	51.7%	50.7%	57.1%	52.5%	50.8%	S	53.8%	50.5%	35.5%	49.3%	49.7%	48.3%	52.2%	50.4%	-
Female	>52.3%	48.3%	49.3%	42.9%	47.5%	49.2%	S	46.2%	49.5%	64.5%	50.7%	50.3%	51.7%	47.8%	49.6%	>-1.1%
By First Reported Placement Setting																
Family Setting	>76.2%	83.4%	83.8%	75.0%	81.4%	85.7%	>70.6%	85.5%	>87.5%	>61.3%	>88.7%	>87.5%	>51.7%	83.8%	86.9%	_
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	_
Foster Family Home – Relative	S	32.3%	39.9%	17.9%	30.6%	41.6%	S	38.3%	44.3%	S	43.1%	45.8%	S	41.4%	46.6%	_
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	76.2%	51.0%	43.7%	57.1%	50.8%	43.7%	70.6%	47.2%	43.1%	61.3%	45.6%	41.7%	51.7%	42.4%	40.1%	-32.1%
Congregate Care Setting	>0.0%	14.1%	14.3%	25.0%	17.2%	12.7%	>0.0%	13.2%	10.6%	>0.0%	9.5%	10.4%	>0.0%	14.6%	11.1%	•
Group Home	S	9.7%	9.4%	25.0%	10.3%	8.5%	S	8.7%	7.2%	S	7.3%	7.4%	S	8.4%	7.2%	_
Institution	0.0%	4.4%	4.9%	0.0%	6.9%	4.2%	0.0%	4.5%	3.4%	0.0%	2.2%	3.0%	0.0%	6.2%	4.0%	_
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-
Runaway	0.0%	S	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	<2.3%	1.1%	0.0%	<1.4%	0.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%	1.5%	<1.2%	0.0%	S	0.9%	-
	Children	n Enterin	g Foster	Care						First Ti	me Entri	es into F	oster Car	е		NA = Data not currer available
100%			0					50% —		by Ag	e Group	and Year	of Entry			S = rate suppressed
								45%								to count less than 11 persons
80%																* County classification
								40%								changed with the 20
60%								35%							2018	data.
00%					■ Re	-Entries		30%								
								25%							2019	
40%								20%							■ 2020	
						ot Time -		15%							2021	
20%	_					rst Time tries		10%								
72%	80%	74%	76%	81		cluding		5% —							2022*	
0%					ре	rcent)		0%	0-2	3-5	6-8	9-11	12.14	15.20		
2018 Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS of	2019 lata	2020	2021	202	22*			Source: PPC's	U-Z analysis of AFCAI		0-0	J-11	12-14	15-20		

## **Bedford (Rural)**

#### **FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES**

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in and out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who re-enter is typically older.

-,			9					, , , , , , , ,						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Indicator			2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
illuicator		County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Re-Entering Fost	ter Care																
•	ries During the Year	S	323	2,469	NA	280	2,182	s	229	1,746	s	265	1,681	s	92	1,913	
	O .	S						S	_		S			S	_		-
Re-Entries as P	Percent of All Entries	5	20.5%	24.5%	20.0%	20.3%	23.1%	5	19.0%	24.0%	5	19.1%	23.0%	5	19.9%	26.2%	-
By Age																	
	0-2	S	9.6%	10.8%	0.0%	8.6%	11.9%	0.0%	11.4%	10.5%	S	14.3%	12.3%	0.0%	S	11.9%	-
	3-5	0.0%	13.0%	12.6%	57.1%	19.3%	12.7%	0.0%	10.0%	10.9%	S	15.1%	12.8%	S	12.0%	12.3%	-
	6-8	S	14.9%	12.1%	28.6%	13.6%	11.9%	S	17.0%	11.0%	S	13.6%	10.9%	S	22.8%	12.2%	-
	9-11	0.0%	12.4%	11.5%	0.0%	10.7%	10.4%	S	12.2%	12.0%	S	10.9%	11.0%	0.0%	S	11.0%	-
	12-14	S	18.3%	17.7%	0.0%	20.7%	18.7%	S	16.6%	17.7%	0.0%	17.7%	20.2%	S	14.1%	19.9%	-
	15-20	S	31.9%	35.4%	14.3%	27.1%	34.4%	s	32.8%	37.9%	S	28.3%	32.7%	S	32.6%	32.6%	_
	Infants (age 0-1)	0.0%	5.0%	6.4%	0.0%	5.7%	6.9%	0.0%	8.7%	7.3%	0.0%	S	9.2%	0.0%	S	8.3%	_
	Youth (age 13+)	S	44.3%	48.6%	14.3%	43.2%	48.7%	S	44.1%	51.1%	S	35.5%	47.7%	S	43.5%	47.4%	_
	Tourn (age 101)		77.070	40.070	14.070	TO.2 /0	40.770		77.170	01.170		00.070	41.170		40.070	77.770	
By Race and Ethnicity								1									
-	on Hiononio White		74.00/	20.60/	100.00/	7F 40/	52.7%		74 70/	24 20/	s	70 40/	42.8%	s	84.8%	35.7%	
	on-Hispanic White	S S	74.9%	39.6%	100.0%	75.4%		S 0.00/	74.7%	31.3%	S	72.1%		0.0%			-
Non-Hispanic Black or			8.0%	40.5%	0.0%	9.3%	49.0%	0.0%	7.4%	31.0%		9.1%	35.2%		0.0%	42.6%	-
	spanic Other Race	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	S	2.0%	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	S	3.9%	0.0%	S	3.9%	-
•	wo or More Races	0.0%	<9.2%	7.1%	0.0%	9.3%	11.2%	S	9.2%	5.4%	S	<9.3%	6.5%	S	S	7.8%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	7.1%	11.1%	0.0%	S	15.0%	0.0%	S	9.6%	0.0%	7.9%	11.6%	0.0%	S	10.1%	-
By Sex																	
	Male	S	50.5%	49.1%	42.9%	49.3%	51.2%	S	53.7%	49.0%	S	49.4%	48.4%	S	53.3%	46.1%	-
	Female	S	49.5%	50.9%	57.1%	50.7%	48.8%	S	46.3%	51.0%	S	50.6%	51.6%	S	46.7%	53.9%	-
By Placement Setting at	t Re-Entry																
, 5	Family Setting	>0.0%	70.0%	66.7%	85.7%	68.2%	68.5%	>0.0%	71.6%	>65.0%	>0.0%	77.0%	>71.1%	>0.0%	72.8%	74.4%	_
P	Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	_
	y Home – Relative	0.0%	18.3%	28.3%	0.0%	26.4%	32.5%	0.0%	32.8%	34.8%	S	31.3%	36.4%	0.0%	23.9%	37.1%	_
	me – Non-Relative	S.070	51.7%	37.9%	85.7%	41.8%	35.3%	S S	38.9%	30.1%	S	45.7%	34.7%	S	48.9%	36.8%	
-		>0.0%	26.9%	28.0%	14.3%	27.5%	25.8%	0.0%	25.3%	27.1%	>0.0%	20.4%	21.2%	>0.0%	<b>&gt;18.5%</b>	19.2%	-
Congr	regate Care Setting																-
	Group Home	S	18.6%	18.4%	14.3%	17.9%	16.6%	0.0%	18.3%	16.5%	S	14.7%	13.9%	S	18.5%	12.1%	-
	Institution	0.0%	8.4%	9.6%	0.0%	9.6%	9.2%	0.0%	7.0%	10.6%	0.0%	5.7%	7.3%	0.0%	S	7.2%	-
Supervised I	Independent Living	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	S	1.6%	S	S	1.4%	0.0%	S	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	<1.2%	-
	Runaway	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	S	3.6%	0.0%	S	5.4%	0.0%	S	4.2%	0.0%	S	4.8%	-
	Trial Home Visit	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	S	<1.0%	0.0%	S	<1.3%	0.0%	S	S	-
		Ro-Fr	ntries into	Foster (	Care					Dor	cant of C	hildren b	v Dlacom	ant Satt	ina		NA = Data not currently
										rei			•		ıııg		available
	60%	Jy Age Gi	roup and	tear or r	re-Entry				٦.		at Ke-E	ntry to Fo	oster Care	e, 2022			S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11
																	persons
	50%	_							County								* County classifications
			_														changed with the 2022
	40%						2018		-								data.
									Rural								
	30%						2019										
	20%						2020		-								
	20/0						2021										
	10%								State								
	-5/0						2022*										
	0%								0%	_	20%	40%	60%	6	80%	100%	
	0-2	3-5	6-8	9-11	12-14	15-20					mily Setting		p Home	Instituti			
	Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS of	ata							Source: PPC's a	nalysis of AFCAR	S data		Congrega	ate Care			
										,							

### **Bedford (Rural)**

#### **FOSTER CARE - PLACEMENT REASONS**


County child welfare agencies are required to report the reasons in which a child experiences a placement episode. There are 15 factors defined by the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) technical bulletin issued by the Administration for Children and Families which are federally required data elements that the state must track and report.

A child can have more than one placement reason.

#### Indicator

Top Five Placement Reasons Of All Children in Foster Care During the Year

	2022	
County	Rural	State
34.2% Caretaker Inability to Cope	38.8% Parent Drug Abuse	34.9% Parent Drug Abuse
32.9% Neglect	25.0% Neglect	25.6% Neglect
24.7% Parent Drug Abuse	21.6% Caretaker Inability to Cope	22.5% Caretaker Inability to Cope
23.3% Incarceration of Parent(s)	17.6% Inadequate Housing	16.2% Inadequate Housing
20.5% Physical Abuse	17.3% Child's Behavior Problem	13.6% Child's Behavior Problem









Top 5 Placement Reasons of All Children in Foster Care in















#### Of Re-Entries into Foster Care









Placement reasons include abandonment, caretaker inability to cope due to illness or other reasons, child alcohol abuse, child drug abuse, child drug abuse, child's behavior problem, death of parent(s), incarceration of parent(s), inadequate housing, neglect, parent alcohol abuse, parent drug abuse, physical abuse, relinquishment, sexual abuse.

## **Bedford (Rural)**

#### **FOSTER CARE - GOALS**

All children in foster care have a court-ordered permanency goal, which directs the efforts of the child welfare agency toward a certain family outcome for each child. In addition to the court-ordered 'primary' goal, a 'concurrent' goal is put in place to ensure permanency is achieved if for some reason the primary goal cannot be met. This data provides information on the most recent primary goal for every child served in foster care during the federal fiscal year.

for every crilia served in lost	cr care during the it	Jucial 113	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator		County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Percent of Children by Cas	e Plan Goal																
-	Permanency Goals	>98.5%	98.2%	95.1%	98.4%	98.1%	95.5%	>94.3%	99.0%	96.4%	>95.5%	99.2%	96.8%	>87.7%	94.5%	92.1%	-
Reunify With Parent(s) or Prince		77.8%	73.0%	65.3%	68.3%	66.8%	63.7%	58.5%	68.3%	65.8%	63.6%	70.4%	65.6%	87.7%	70.7%	65.1%	12.7%
, , ,	Adoption	S	18.2%	23.6%	25.4%	23.6%	24.5%	35.8%	24.0%	23.7%	31.8%	21.8%	25.0%	S	22.4%	25.0%	-
	Guardianship	S	5.5%	5.1%	3.2%	6.4%	5.9%	S	5.9%	5.4%	S	6.1%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	-
Liv	e with Relative(s)	S	1.6%	1.2%	1.6%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	-
Another Planned Permanent Li	ving Arrangement																
	(APPLA)	>0.0%	>1.6%	4.9%	1.6%	1.9%	4.5%	>0.0%	1.0%	3.6%	>0.0%	0.8%	3.2%	>0.0%	5.5%	7.9%	-
Long <sup>-</sup>	Term Foster Care	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.4%	2.5%	-
	Emancipation	S	1.6%	4.3%	1.6%	1.9%	4.4%	S	1.0%	3.1%	S	0.8%	2.7%	S	4.1%	5.4%	-
APPLA By Age as % of Ag	o Group Somed				1			ı			ı			ı			
AFFLA by Age as % of Ag	0-15	0.0%	s	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	s	0.0%	s	S	0.0%	0.0%	s	0.0%	s	0.1%	
	16-20	S	12.2%	25.1%	7.7%	1.9%	23.5%	0.0 % S	5.9%	19.6%	0.076 S	5.2%	17.5%	9.1%	6.2%	17.5%	_
	10-20		12.2 /0	25.170	1.1 70	1.970	23.370	3	3.970	19.070	3	J.Z /0	17.570	9.170	0.2 /0	17.570	-
APPLA By Discharge Reas																	
Exit to Perman	nent Arrangements	0.0%	>0.0%	19.5%	0.0%	>0.0%	16.4%	0.0%	>0.0%	24.1%	0.0%	>0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	>0.0%	21.3%	-
	Reunification	0.0%	0.0%	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	0.0%	S	23.0%	0.0%	S	18.5%	0.0%	S	18.3%	-
(number of months	s until reunification)	0.0	0.0	18.6	0.0	0.0	19.2	0.0	22.3	25.1	0.0	15.7	34.1	0.0	0.1	42.9	-
	Adoption	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of mo	nths until adoption)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Guardianship	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
	until guardianship)	0.0	0.0	25.6	0.0	0.0	23.8	0.0	0.0	32.5	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	24.0	-
	ith Other Relatives	0.0%	0.0%	S 32.9	0.0% 0.0	0.0%	S 40.6	0.0% 0.0	0.0%	S	0.0% 0.0	0.0%	S 0.0	0.0% 0.0	S	S	-
(number of months until li	nent Arrangements	0.0 <b>100.0%</b>	0.0 >97.7%	80.5%	100.0%	0.0 <b>&gt;97.8%</b>	49.6 <b>83.5%</b>	0.0%	0.0 <b>93.8%</b>	61.6 <b>75.6%</b>	100.0%	0.0 <b>91.7%</b>	80.4%	0.0%	7.1 >0.0%	12.0 <b>78.8%</b>	-100.0%
EXIL to Non-Perma	Emancipation	S	97.7%	72.1%	100.0%	97.8%	74.3%	0.0%	93.8%	68.0%	100.0 % S	91.7%	68.2%	0.0%	>0.0 % S	70.8%	-100.076
(number of months		2.9	35.3	27.6	35.9	38.6	30.7	0.076	31.8	26.1	13.2	36.2	30.9	0.076	43.4	34.1	-100.0%
	to Another Agency	0.0%	S	5.3%	0.0%	S S	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	-100.070
	onths until transfer)	0.0	33.4	19.4	0.0	74.7	17.9	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	7.2	_
	Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of months	•	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	26.9	0.0	0.0	22.8	0.0	0.0	36.1	0.0	0.0	10.4	_
(Hamber et Hieriale	Death of Child	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months	until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	115.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
	Children	hy Most	Recent C	ase Plan	Goal					Chi	ldren wit	h APPLA	hv Disch	arge Rea	son		NA = Data not currently available
	100%	.,											.,				S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11
	90%								2022*								persons
	80%					— ■ Reur	nification		2024								* County classifications
	70%					Ado	ntion		2021								changed with the 2022 data.
	60%		_			Ado	ption		2020								udia.
	50%					® Gua	rdianship		2020								
	40%					Live	with		2019								
	30%						tive(s)		2019								
	20%					■ APPI			2018								
	10%								2010								
	0%	annun							0%		20%	40%	60%		80%	100%	
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	*			■ Re	unification		Adoption			ardianship		
	Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS da								Source: PPC's	e WITH Othe analysis of AFCA	er Kelatives RS data	■ Non-Peri	nanency				


### **Bedford (Rural)**


#### **FOSTER CARE - EXITS**

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the federal fiscal year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to ensure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Exiting Foster Care																
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	43	1,585	10,694	NA	1,608	10,801	23	1,201	8,712	35	1,361	8,439	35	522	8,850	-18.6%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	59.7%	45.4%	42.0%	60.3%	52.3%	43.8%	43.4%	41.0%	40.2%	53.0%	44.2%	41.2%	47.9%	48.8%	45.9%	-19.7%
(number of months until leaving)	10.8	9.3	13.0	5.1	11.3	15.9	15.1	12.2	15.4	6.8	10.5	33.2	6.9	12.1	15.7	-35.9%

By Discharge Reason																
Exit to Permanent Arrangements	90.7%	92.6%	88.6%	92.1%	90.8%	86.6%	100.0%	89.9%	86.2%	91.4%	92.9%	87.1%	91.4%	92.5%	86.3%	0.8%
Reunification	44.2%	56.0%	52.5%	57.9%	53.3%	49.8%	47.8%	51.7%	50.8%	40.0%	54.7%	49.8%	51.4%	53.3%	50.8%	16.4%
(number of months until reunification)	10.8	6.2	6.4	1.8	6.6	7.4	11.9	6.6	8.4	2.3	6.6	9.0	6.9	8.2	8.3	-35.9%
Adoption	S	16.5%	23.9%	21.1%	21.9%	25.2%	S	24.7%	23.5%	37.1%	22.0%	25.4%	S	20.9%	23.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	21.7	27.1	30.5	19.1	30.9	31.0	31.3	32.0	29.8	21.3	31.0	32.2	26.4	26.0	32.7	21.7%
Guardianship	S	10.2%	7.5%	2.6%	8.1%	7.6%	S	7.9%	7.7%	S	8.5%	7.6%	0.0%	10.2%	7.8%	-
(number of month until guardianship)	18.9	16.1	19.4	22.6	18.3	22.8	15.1	20.3	22.3	5.9	20.4	23.5	0.0	21.5	23.7	-100.0%
Live with Other Relatives	S	9.9%	4.6%	10.5%	7.5%	4.1%	S	5.6%	4.1%	S	7.6%	4.3%	S	8.2%	4.6%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.2	3.1	2.1	1.2	3.4	2.5	0.3	15.1	2.4	0.9	6.7	2.0	0.5	5.6	4.0	166.7%
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements	9.3%	>7.1%	11.4%	7.9%	9.1%	13.2%	0.0%	9.7%	13.7%	>0.0%	>7.1%	12.8%	8.6%	>5.7%	13.5%	-7.9%
Emancipation	S	5.8%	7.3%	7.9%	8.3%	9.3%	0.0%	8.5%	9.5%	S	6.2%	7.9%	S	5.7%	7.6%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	19.7	25.2	23.7	25.3	23.2	26.2	0.0	18.0	22.9	23.7	24.8	24.3	8.3	25.6	24.1	-58.0%
Transfer to Another Agency	S	1.3%	3.5%	0.0%	0.9%	3.5%	0.0%	1.2%	3.7%	0.0%	0.8%	4.1%	S	S	5.3%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.3	13.3	7.9	0.0	4.7	5.5	0.0	10.8	6.6	0.0	5.6	8.0	17.5	10.2	4.2	5822.0%
Runaway	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	9.7	14.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	9.1	17.8	0.0	0.0	10.4	-
Death of Child	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	S	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	-
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	3.8	5.5	0.0	0.2	12.6	0.0	4.6	6.1	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	13.4	15.3	-





NA = Data not currently available

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons


\* County classifications changed with the 2022


### **Bedford (Rural)**


#### **FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE**

Data on children remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

ndicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
ndicator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Remaining in Foster Care																
Children in Foster Care on Last Day	34	2,038	16,074	NA	1,805	15,082	31	1,793	13,949	36	1,820	13,033	38	576	11,866	11.8%
(number of months children have been in care)	14.4	11.0	14.2	13.0	11.4	14.0	9.4	11.7	16.4	5.8	11.3	16.8	8.4	11.1	15.2	-42.1%
By Age																
0-2	S	22.6%	21.0%	26.7%	24.5%	21.6%	s	26.1%	22.2%	s	26.8%	23.0%	s	21.9%	22.8%	_
3-5	S	19.5%	17.5%	30.0%	19.9%	17.7%	S	20.4%	18.0%	s	18.8%	17.9%	S	18.4%	17.8%	_
6-8	S	14.9%	13.6%	16.7%	13.4%	13.8%	S	12.5%	13.9%	S	13.5%	13.6%	S	13.4%	13.4%	_
9-11	S	11.3%	12.2%	0.0%	11.1%	12.1%	S	10.9%	12.1%	S	10.4%	11.5%	S	9.7%	11.4%	_
12-14	S	11.4%	12.3%	6.7%	11.9%	13.3%	s	12.3%	13.1%	s	12.5%	13.0%	S	13.7%	13.4%	_
15-20	S	20.3%	18.3%	20.0%	19.1%	17.7%	s	17.8%	17.2%	s	18.0%	16.7%	s	22.9%	17.9%	_
Infants (age 0-1)	S	14.9%	13.7%	16.7%	15.6%	14.4%	S	18.0%	14.5%	S	18.3%	15.0%	S	15.1%	14.8%	-
Youth (age 13+)	32.4%	28.3%	31.9%	26.7%	31.0%	34.8%	35.5%	26.0%	29.9%	36.1%	27.0%	29.7%	34.2%	33.0%	30.8%	5.7%
Dr. Dage and Ethnicity				1			1			1						
By Race and Ethnicity  Non-Hispanic White	91.2%	74.2%	42.2%	80.0%	74.6%	42.3%	67.7%	73.2%	41.8%	80.6%	71.9%	43.1%	76.3%	85.6%	43.5%	-16.3%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	8.6%	35.4%	10.0%	8.3%	34.4%	67.7% S	7.6%	33.7%	80.6% S	9.1%	32.2%	76.3% S	65.6% S	31.2%	-10.3%
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%	2.4%	2.6%	0.0%	3.7%	3.7%	S	3.7%	4.5%	S	3.6%	4.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	8.4%	7.1%	0.0%	8.7%	7.8%	S	9.5%	8.3%	S	9.2%	8.0%	S	<5.9%	8.0%	
Hispanic or Latino	S S	7.4%	13.0%	10.0%	6.0%	13.0%	s	6.0%	12.5%	0.0%	6.0%	12.2%	0.0%	3.3%	12.5%	
Thopanic of Eathle		7.170	10.070	10.070	0.070	10.070		0.070	12.070	0.070	0.070	12.270	0.070	0.070	12.070	
By Sex																
Male	50.0%	52.7%	50.9%	56.7%	52.7%	50.6%	54.8%	54.3%	50.8%	44.4%	52.1%	50.2%	50.0%	54.2%	50.7%	0.0%
Female	50.0%	47.3%	49.1%	43.3%	47.3%	49.4%	45.2%	45.7%	49.2%	55.6%	47.9%	49.8%	50.0%	45.8%	49.3%	0.0%
By Latest Placement Setting				1			1						1			
Family Setting	>55.9%	>85.0%	84.0%	86.7%	>84.0%	85.1%	>80.6%	>86.3%	86.3%	>88.8%	>86.5%	86.6%	>57.9%	>81.8%	86.4%	_
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	S S	4.0%	0.0%	S S	3.2%	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	3.5%	_
Foster Family Home – Relative	S	28.4%	37.8%	26.7%	28.8%	39.4%	S.070	33.9%	41.5%	33.3%	36.6%	43.0%	S.070	36.1%	40.7%	_
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	55.9%	56.6%	42.2%	60.0%	55.2%	42.5%	80.6%	52.5%	42.2%	>55.5%	49.9%	42.4%	57.9%	45.7%	42.1%	3.6%
Congregate Care Setting	>17.5%	13.0%	13.2%	13.3%	13.6%	11.9%	>0.0%	11.8%	10.7%	>0.0%	10.7%	10.4%	>0.0%	13.7%	10.8%	-
Group Home	S	7.9%	7.9%	13.3%	8.0%	7.0%	S	6.9%	5.9%	S	7.3%	6.4%	S	8.2%	6.4%	_
Institution	S	5.1%	5.3%	0.0%	5.5%	4.9%	0.0%	5.0%	4.8%	0.0%	3.4%	4.1%	0.0%	5.6%	4.4%	_
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	0.6%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%	1.3%	S	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	S	1.1%	-
Runaway	0.0%	S	0.9%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	S	1.5%	0.0%	S	1.1%	0.0%	S	1.1%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%	2.1%	0.6%	-







### **Bedford (Rural)**

#### **DISPROPORTIONALITY**

Disproportionality shows the level at which groups of children are present in the child welfare system at higher or lower rates than in the general population. The index is calculated by taking the proportion of children in foster care for a given race and dividing it by the proportion of the same race group in the child population (age 0-20).

#### Indicator

#### **CPS/GPS Race and Ethnicity**

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

		2022		
CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allegations
92.5%	90.6%	-	-	94.0%
1.5%	3.1%	-	-	1.4%
0.0%	0.0%	-	-	0.4%
4.0%	3.1%	-	-	2.5%
2.0%	3.1%	-	-	1.8%

General Population Age 0-17	
92.9%	
0.5%	
0.6%	
4.3%	
1.8%	

Source: PA DHS

100%	Dispr	oportionality by	CPS and GPS, 2022					
100%			■ CPS Referrals					
80%	100		■ Substantiated CPS	Referrals				
			■ Children with Vali	d GPS Allegations				
60%	100		Expected Rate (Ge	eneral Population)				
40%		ba	rs above the expected ra	te				
40%	1000 1000 1000	demonstrate disproportionality						
20%								
0%	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Non-Hispanic Two	Hispanic				
		or African American	or more races	spanic				

Racial Disproportionality Index, 2022

#### Indicator

#### **Foster Care Race and Ethnicity**

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

		2022			
Total Served	First Time Entries	Exits	Re- entries	In Care 9/30	
83.6%	89.7%	91.4%	S	76.3%	
S	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	S	
S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	
S	S	S	S	S	
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Gen Popul Age	ation
	701
92	.7%
0	.6%
0	.6%
4	.3%
1	.7%

#### **Racial Disproportionality Index**

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino


Scores less than 1.0 are
indicative of
underrepresentation,
scores of 1.0 indicate no
disproportionality and
scores of 1.1 and greater
indicate
overrepresentation.


		 Overrep	resentation
Hispanic or Latino		<b>■</b> T	otal Served
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	-	<b>■</b> E	irst Time Entries xits e-entries
Non-Hispanic Non-Hispanic Two or More Other Race Races		■ In	n Care 9/30
Non-Hispanic Black or African American			
Non-Hispanic White			
Source: Decennial of	D 1 ensus, PPC analysis of AFCARS data are indicative of underrepresent.		of 1.1 and greater indicate

# Children Remaining in Care By Specific Latest Placement Settings

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

	2022											
Pre- Adoptive Home	Adoptive Family		Group Home	Institution								
0.0%	70.0%	72.7%	100.0%	0.0%								
0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%								
0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%								
0.0%	10.0%	22.7%	0.0%	0.0%								
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%								





#### **DISPARITY**

Disparity demonstrates the lack of equality between two racial groups in the child welfare system. The index is expressed as the ratio of one racial group's disproportionality index by another racial group's disproportionality metric. The computed value represents whether children of different racial groups are in the child welfare system at the same rate.


#### Indicator

#### **Racial Disparity Index**

Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Other Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Two or More Non-Hispanic Black / Hispanic Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic Two or More Non-Hispanic Other / Hispanic Non-Hispanic Two or More / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Two or More / Hispanic Hispanic / Non-Hispanic White

		2022			
CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allega- tions	
	6.3				
_	0.5			-	i
-	-			-	
-	8.4			-	
-	3.5			-	
-	-			-	
-	-			-	
-	-			-	
0.9	0.8			0.6	
0.8	0.4			-	
1.1	1.8			-	

			2022		
	Total Served	First Time Entries	Exits	Re- entries	In Care 9/30
Scores near 1.0 are indicative of no disparity between the race or ethnicity shown.	888 8 8 8 8 8 1				9999999999



### **Bedford (Rural)**

#### **FOSTER CARE - STABILITY**

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements may struggle to build and maintain healthy relationships and confront academic challenges due to school changes.

#### Indicator

#### **Foster Care Placement Stability**

Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State									
40.7%	30.3%	31.8%	13.3%	27.5%	31.1%	S	27.2%	28.4%	S	25.8%	25.6%	S	24.5%	25.2%

# County % Change 2018 to 2022\*

County % Change

2018 to 2022\*

-19.1%

#### **FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION**

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

#### Indicator

### Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives

Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.

	2018	18 2019				2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State
65.6%	41.0%	37.3%	31.3%	47.5%	38.7%	60.9%	42.0%	36.8%	<66.8%	41.7%	36.6%	53.1%	41.1%	37.8%

#### **FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION**

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

#### Indicator

#### Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry)

Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives. (Data may be overstated.)

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State									
32.1%	20.0%	21.4%	16.0%	14.0%	22.3%	<41.8%	16.0%	20.2%	<91.0%	14.9%	18.1%	<62.6%	19.7%	19.1%

nty % Change 018 to 2022*
<95.0%

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons

\* County classifications changed with the 2022 data.



PPC is part of a network coordinated and supported by the Annie E. Casey Foundation We gratefully acknowledge the support of Alliance for Early Success, The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT Project, The Heinz Endowments and PNC Financial Services Group.



Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children 200 North Third Street, 13th Floor Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1589 papartnerships.org 717.236.5680