Bradford (Rural-Mix)

CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
illuicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports	293	4,454	44,063	304	4,403	42,252	225	3,705	32,919	344	4,195	38,013	241	4,830	39,093	
Total reported incidents of suspected child abuse and neglect through the ChildLine Registry. (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)	22.5	40.9	16.6	23.3	40.4	15.9	17.3	34.0	12.4	26.4	38.5	14.3	18.5	19.8	14.8	-17.7%
Child Abuse and Neglect Substantiations Founded or indicated reports of child abuse and/or neglect.	12.6%	14.1%	11.6%	11.2%	14.3%	11.5%	15.6%	15.8%	14.0%	12.2%	15.4%	13.2%	14.1%	14.1%	12.8%	11.7%
Repeated Child Abuse and Neglect	13.5%	7.8%	5.2%	2.9%	8.7%	5.7%	11.4%	6.8%	6.2%	7.1%	7.9%	6.5%	8.8%	9.0%	6.4%	
Children with another substantiated incident of abuse and/or neglect following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)	1 in 7	1 in 13	1 in 19	1 in 34	1 in 11	1 in 18	1 in 9	1 in 15	1 in 16	1 in 14	1 in 13	1 in 15	1 in 11	1 in 11	1 in 16	-34.7%
250 250 250 250 250 250 250	2019	•••		Substanti		- 40% - 35% - 30% su- 25% suotestante - 20% unitiation 15% - 10% 5 - 5% - 0%		350 - 300 - 200 - 150 - 50 - 0 -	hild Abus	se Report	,		peated C		40% 35% 30% q8 25% 20% O 15% 10% 40% 5% 0%	* County classifications changed with the 2022 data.

GENERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES (GPS)

Reports not alleging abuse of a child are categorized as general protective services (GPS) reports. Addressing concerns related to child safety and well-being before allegations of child abuse or severe neglect are made enables children to remain safely in their own homes while services are provided to the family to mitigate the risk of potential maltreatment.


Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
illuicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
GPS Reports Total reported concerns of general neglect, not alleging child abuse.	2,137	18,611	169,723	2,285	19,862	178,124	1,883	17,537	152,478	2,044	18,161	161,709	1,904	20,997	165,295	-10.9%
Valid GPS Reports Reports in which the allegations were found to have merit. Includes reports accepted for in-home services and reports referred out to community agencies for services.	13.1%	27.3%	23.6%	14.6%	29.2%	23.5%	11.3%	31.6%	25.6%	12.0%	32.9%	27.1%	12.0%	28.0%	24.2%	-8.5%
Children with Valid Allegations Number of children with a valid GPS allegation by valid report. Children may be counted more than once if more than one report was found to have merit.	382	7,480	58,982	463	8,601	60,755	315	8,259	56,999	379	8,711	63,801	332	8,651	57,876	-13.1%
		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Unduplicated Number of Children Served Children who received in-home services along with parents to address concerns related to child safety and well-being.	2,015	28,544	194,761	1,002	24,606	187,280	-	25,330	>181,435	1,967	26,164	192,594	1,867	25,299	211,150	-7.3%

Bradford (Rural-Mix)

FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each federal fiscal year.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chang
indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Unduplicated Number of Children Served																
All Children in Foster Care During the Year	100	1,973	25,441	NA	2,074	24,665	149	1,918	21,689	157	1,788	20,490	137	2,123	19,287	37.0%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	6.7	15.2	8.0	9.1	16.0	7.7	10.0	14.8	6.8	10.5	13.8	6.4	9.2	7.2	6.0	
By Age																
0-2	18.0%	20.1%	20.2%	17.6%	19.8%	20.2%	18.8%	20.6%	20.9%	15.9%	20.1%	21.5%	14.6%	23.5%	21.1%	-18.9%
3-5	13.0%	16.1%	17.9%	10.8%	16.8%	17.8%	13.4%	17.3%	17.8%	13.4%	17.6%	18.2%	18.2%	17.2%	18.2%	40.4%
6-8	<11.1%	12.7%	13.4%	12.8%	13.1%	13.8%	10.7%	14.1%	13.5%	9.6%	12.5%	13.7%	10.9%	14.1%	13.7%	>-1.4%
9-11	15.0%	11.4%	11.8%	13.5%	12.3%	11.7%	<14.2%	12.3%	11.7%	<12.8%	10.6%	11.3%	10.9%	10.2%	11.2%	-27.0%
12-14	15.0%	13.5%	11.8%	12.8%	13.5%	12.3%	17.4%	13.7%	12.6%	15.3%	14.5%	12.6%	<13.2%	12.5%	12.8%	<-12.0%
15-17	25.0%	22.0%	18.7%	28.4%	20.9%	18.5%	21.5%	19.1%	17.9%	27.4%	20.5%	16.4%	27.0%	20.5%	18.1%	8.0%
18-20	S	4.2%	6.3%	4.1%	3.6%	5.6%	S	3.0%	5.6%	S	4.1%	6.4%	S	2.1%	4.9%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	13.0%	12.9%	12.7%	12.8%	13.0%	12.9%	11.4%	12.7%	13.2%	7.6%	13.4%	13.7%	S	15.5%	13.3%	-
Youth (age 13+)	42.0%	35.3%	33.1%	41.2%	34.4%	32.6%	38.9%	31.9%	32.3%	42.7%	34.5%	31.3%	41.6%	31.9%	32.0%	-0.9%
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	82.0%	87.1%	43.7%	89.2%	88.5%	43.7%	89.9%	88.0%	44.2%	90.4%	85.2%	44.3%	89.8%	80.1%	45.0%	9.5%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	3.8%	33.9%	3.4%	2.5%	33.0%	S	3.1%	32.0%	S	2.5%	30.7%	S	5.5%	29.8%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	s	1.5%	2.3%	2.7%	1.4%	2.6%	S	1.9%	3.6%	s	2.7%	4.2%	S	3.9%	4.8%	_
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	s	4.1%	7.1%	0.7%	4.0%	7.7%	s	4.3%	8.0%	s	5.6%	8.4%	s	6.1%	8.2%	_
Hispanic or Latino	S	3.6%	13.0%	4.1%	3.6%	12.9%	S	2.7%	12.3%	S	3.9%	12.3%	S	4.5%	12.2%	-
By Sex																
Male	59.0%	52.2%	50.8%	54.7%	52.4%	50.8%	51.7%	52.1%	50.6%	56.7%	53.5%	50.5%	51.8%	53.1%	50.3%	-12.2%
Female	41.0%	47.8%	49.2%	45.3%	47.6%	49.2%	48.3%	47.9%	49.4%	43.3%	46.5%	49.5%	48.2%	46.9%	49.7%	17.5%
By Latest Placement Setting													1			
Family Setting	67.0%	78.7%	81.6%	62.2%	79.8%	82.7%	>61.7%	81.0%	83.8%	>61.8%	79.6%	84.8%	71.5%	82.7%	84.9%	6.8%
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	3.2%	4.5%	1.4%	2.7%	3.8%	S	2.7%	2.9%	S	2.2%	2.3%	0.0%	0.5%	3.5%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	24.0%	29.9%	37.1%	21.6%	32.4%	38.7%	20.1%	33.5%	40.8%	19.7%	35.4%	42.0%	33.6%	41.8%	42.1%	39.9%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	43.0%	45.6%	40.1%	39.2%	44.6%	40.3%	41.6%	44.8%	40.1%	42.0%	42.1%	40.5%	38.0%	40.4%	39.3%	-11.7%
Congregate Care Setting	>25.0%	18.6%	14.0%	21.6%	15.9%	12.7%	>7.4%	13.6%	11.5%	>21.6%	16.6%	10.5%	19.7%	13.5%	10.4%	<-21.2%
Group Home	S	13.4%	8.5%	6.1%	11.5%	7.7%	S	10.3%	6.7%	>8.2%	11.9%	6.4%	8.8%	10.3%	6.3%	-
Institution	25.0%	5.2%	5.6%	15.5%	4.3%	5.0%	7.4%	3.3%	4.8%	13.4%	4.7%	4.2%	10.9%	3.3%	4.1%	-56.2%
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	S	1.5%	2.0%	S	1.6%	S	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	<0.7%	1.4%	-
Runaway	S	s	1.4%	0.7%	S	1.4%	0.0%	s	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	S	1.5%	-
Trial Home Visit	S	2.2%	1.4%	13.5%	3.6%	1.5%	20.8%	4.9%	1.6%	15.3%	<3.7%	1.8%	8.8%	<3.2%	1.7%	-
Children Entering Foster Care																
All Entries into Foster Care During the Year	51	962	10,095	NA	1,009	9,448	61	834	7,286	64	851	7,316	53	957	7,307	3.9%
					,				t Sotting			.,0.5			rently availa	



S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons * County classifications changed with the 2022 data.

Bradford (Rural-Mix)

FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past federal fiscal year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

Something about current system practice and u	2	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Entering for the First Time																
First Time Entries During the Year	45	753	7,626	NA	789	7,266	51	665	5,540	48	665	5,635	28	798	5,394	-37.8%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	3.0	5.8	2.4	4.1	6.1	2.3	3.4	5.1	1.7	3.2	5.1	1.8	1.9	2.7	1.7	-37.070
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries	88.2%	78.3%	75.5%	77.6%	78.2%	76.9%	83.6%	79.7%	76.0%	75.0%	78.1%	77.0%	52.8%	83.4%	73.8%	-40.1%
By Age																
0-2	35.6%	29.9%	34.4%	28.8%	31.6%	35.1%	27.5%	29.6%	38.2%	s	29.9%	38.5%	s	32.6%	36.2%	_
3-5	S	14.3%	15.4%	10.6%	16.5%	14.8%	S	15.9%	14.5%	S	15.8%	14.7%	S	15.2%	14.2%	-
6-8	S	11.6%	11.5%	13.6%	12.3%	11.8%	S	13.4%	11.4%	S	11.7%	11.3%	S	14.4%	11.7%	-
9-11	S	9.3%	10.3%	10.6%	10.8%	10.7%	S	11.1%	9.7%	S	9.9%	9.3%	S	10.0%	9.5%	-
12-14	S	16.2%	12.8%	12.1%	13.7%	12.9%	27.5%	16.2%	12.5%	S	15.5%	12.6%	S	12.8%	13.0%	-
15-20	S	18.7%	15.6%	24.2%	15.2%	14.6%	S	13.7%	13.7%	31.3%	17.1%	13.7%	S	15.0%	15.4%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	28.9%	22.3%	28.0%	22.7%	25.7%	29.1%	S	22.3%	31.7%	S	24.1%	32.3%	S	26.8%	29.7%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	30.7%	24.7%	30.3%	20.7%	19.5%	35.3%	25.6%	22.6%	43.8%	28.7%	22.5%	S	25.3%	25.2%	-
By Race and Ethnicity				I												
Non-Hispanic White	80.0%	87.6%	48.3%	95.5%	88.3%	47.6%	90.2%	86.2%	49.7%	89.6%	81.8%	50.0%	<93.0%	78.4%	50.1%	<16.3%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	4.0%	29.3%	1.5%	1.9%	28.4%	s	3.0%	25.2%	0.0%	1.8%	23.6%	0.0%	6.3%	23.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	S	1.5%	2.7%	1.5%	1.5%	3.7%	S	3.5%	5.3%	S	3.6%	5.8%	0.0%	5.4%	6.1%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	3.5%	7.7%	0.0%	4.2%	7.7%	S	5.6%	8.2%	S	7.8%	8.4%	S	5.5%	8.0%	-
Hispanic or Latino	S	3.5%	12.0%	1.5%	4.1%	12.6%	0.0%	1.8%	11.6%	0.0%	5.0%	12.1%	0.0%	4.4%	11.9%	-
Pu Cov				1												
By Sex Male	51.1%	50.5%	50.7%	48.5%	50.6%	50.8%	49.0%	51.6%	50.5%	62.5%	53.2%	49.7%	57.1%	54.1%	50.4%	11.8%
Female	48.9%	49.5%	49.3%	51.5%	49.4%	49.2%	51.0%	48.4%	49.5%	37.5%	46.8%	50.3%	42.9%	45.9%	49.6%	-12.3%
								I.			1					
By First Reported Placement Setting	>00.40/	> 70.00/	00.00/	75.00/	> 0.4.20/	05.70/	70.40/	05.00/	> 07 F0/	CO 00/	> 04 50/	> 07 F0/	00.00/	07.00/	00.00/	40.00/
Family Setting	>82.1%	>79.9%	83.8%	75.8%	>84.3%	85.7%	78.4%	85.9%	>87.5%	68.8%	>81.5%	>87.5%	89.3%	87.3%	86.9%	<8.8%
Pre-adoptive Home Foster Family Home – Relative	0.0% >28.8%	S 34.7%	0.2% 39.9%	0.0% 19.7%	S 39.8%	0.4% 41.6%	0.0% 23.5%	0.0% 39.5%	S 44.3%	0.0% 29.2%	S 42.1%	S 45.8%	0.0% 46.4%	0.0% 51.3%	0.2% 46.6%	- <61.2%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	53.3%	45.3%	43.7%	56.1%	44.5%	43.7%	54.9%	46.3%	43.1%	39.6%	39.4%	41.7%	42.9%	36.1%	40.0%	-19.6%
Congregate Care Setting	>0.0%	18.5%	14.3%	18.2%	14.3%	12.7%	>9.7%	12.3%	10.6%	>18.7%	16.1%	10.4%	10.7%	11.0%	11.1%	-13.070
Group Home	0.0%	13.7%	9.4%	7.6%	11.2%	8.5%	S S	9.0%	7.2%	S S	12.3%	7.4%	S	8.0%	7.2%	<u>-</u>
Institution	S	4.8%	4.9%	10.6%	3.2%	4.2%	S	3.3%	3.4%	S	3.8%	3.0%	S	3.0%	4.0%	_
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.5%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.2%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	<1.6%	1.1%	4.5%	S	0.6%	S	<1.8%	0.9%	S	<2.4%	<1.2%	0.0%	<1.6%	0.9%	- NA = Data not currently
	Children	n Enterin	g Foster (Care						First Ti	me Entri	es into F	oster Car	e		available
100%								50%		by Ag	e Group	and Year	of Entry			S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11
								45%								persons
80%		_		_				40%								* County classifications
								35%								changed with the 2022 data.
60%								30%							2018	uata.
					■ Re	e-Entries		25%							2019	
40%								20%								
10/3								15%							■ 2020	
20%					■ Fir	rst Time		10%							2021	
20%						itries		5%							■ 2022*	
0%	78%	84%	75%	53		ncluding ercent)		0%								
2018	2019	2020	2021	202		irceiit)			0-2	3-5	6-8	9-11	12-14	15-20		
Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS (data							Source: PPC's	analysis of AFCAF	RS data						

Bradford (Rural-Mix)

FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in and out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who re-enter is typically older.

	·		2018			2019	•		2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator		County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Re-Entering Foster Care)							,			,			<u> </u>			
Re-Entries Durir	ng the Year	S	209	2,469	NA	220	2,182	S	169	1,746	16	186	1,681	25	159	1,913	-
Re-Entries as Percent of	f All Entries	S	21.7%	24.5%	22.4%	21.8%	23.1%	S	20.3%	24.0%	25.0%	21.9%	23.0%	47.2%	16.6%	26.2%	-
				1								I		1			
By Age	0-2	S	10.5%	10.8%	21.1%	11.4%	11.9%	S	14.8%	10.5%	S	11.3%	12.3%	s	13.2%	11.9%	
	3-5	S	17.2%	12.6%	5.3%	12.7%	12.7%	S	17.2%	10.5%	S	13.4%	12.3%	S	11.3%	12.3%	-
	6-8	S	12.4%	12.1%	10.5%	14.1%	11.9%	S	10.1%	11.0%	0.0%	10.2%	10.9%	S	15.1%	12.2%	_
	9-11	S	9.6%	11.5%	5.3%	13.2%	10.4%	S	8.9%	12.0%	0.0%	8.6%	11.0%	S	11.3%	11.0%	-
	12-14	S	12.0%	17.7%	10.5%	20.9%	18.7%	S	21.9%	17.7%	S	28.5%	20.2%	S	15.1%	19.9%	-
	15-20	S	38.3%	35.4%	47.4%	27.7%	34.4%	0.0%	27.2%	37.9%	S	28.0%	32.7%	S	34.0%	32.6%	-
	(age 0-1)	S	7.7%	6.4%	5.3%	S	6.9%	S	10.7%	7.3%	S	S	9.2%	S	10.1%	8.3%	-
Youth ((age 13+)	S	47.8%	48.6%	57.9%	45.5%	48.7%	S	45.0%	51.1%	81.3%	55.9%	47.7%	52.0%	46.5%	47.4%	-
By Race and Ethnicity					1			I			1			I			
Non-Hispa	nic White	s	89.0%	39.6%	89.5%	87.7%	52.7%	s	88.2%	31.3%	<93.9%	86.0%	42.8%	<96.1%	84.9%	35.7%	_
Non-Hispanic Black or African		0.0%	S	40.5%	5.3%	S	49.0%	S	S	31.0%	0.0%	S	35.2%	0.0%	S	42.6%	_
Non-Hispanic Ot		0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	S	3.9%	0.0%	S	3.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or Mo	ore Races	0.0%	S	7.1%	5.3%	S	11.2%	0.0%	S	5.4%	S	S	6.5%	0.0%	S	7.8%	-
Hispanic	or Latino	0.0%	S	11.1%	0.0%	S	15.0%	S	S	9.6%	0.0%	S	11.6%	S	S	10.1%	-
				1								I		1	1		
By Sex	Male	S	54.5%	49.1%	68.4%	56.8%	51.2%	S	54.4%	49.0%	s	54.3%	48.4%	s	46.5%	46.1%	
	Female	S	45.5%	50.9%	31.6%	43.2%	48.8%	S	45.6%	51.0%	S	45.7%	51.6%	>59.9%	53.5%	53.9%	-
	Terriale		40.070	00.070	01.070	40.270	40.070	U	40.070	01.070		40.1 70	01.070	- 00.070	00.070	00.070	
By Placement Setting at Re-Entr	ry																
Fam	nily Setting	>0.0%	65.1%	66.7%	42.1%	69.1%	68.5%	60.0%	67.5%	>65.0%	56.3%	67.2%	>71.1%	>48.0%	75.5%	74.4%	-
Pre-adopti	ive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	-
Foster Family Home -		0.0%	22.5%	28.3%	5.3%	29.1%	32.5%	S	28.4%	34.8%	S	28.5%	36.4%	S	34.6%	37.1%	-
Foster Family Home – Non		S	42.6%	37.9%	36.8%	40.0%	35.3%	S	39.1%	30.1%	S	38.7%	34.7%	48.0%	40.9%	36.8%	-
Congregate Ca	•	>0.0%	30.1%	28.0%	31.6%	28.2% 22.3%	25.8%	>0.0%	>22.5%	27.1%	43.8% S	30.1%	21.2%	>23.9% S	>18.2% 18.2%	19.2%	-
	oup Home Institution	0.0% S	24.4% 5.7%	18.4% 9.6%	10.5% 21.1%	5.9%	16.6% 9.2%	0.0% S	22.5% S	16.5% 10.6%	S	24.2% 5.9%	13.9% 7.3%	S	16.2% S	12.1% 7.2%	-
Supervised Independ		0.0%	S.7 70	1.8%	10.5%	S.370	1.6%	0.0%	S	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	<1.2%	-
	Runaway	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	S	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	-
Trial I	Home Visit	0.0%	s	0.8%	15.8%	s	0.6%	s	s	<1.0%	0.0%	s	<1.3%	0.0%	<0.1%	s	-
		Re-En	tries into	Foster (Care					Per	cent of C	hildren b	v Placem	ent Sett	ing		NA = Data not currently
	ŀ	y Age Gr											ster Car		6		available S = rate suppressed due
60%		, 0							ור					-,			to count less than 11
50%									County								persons * County classifications
									county								changed with the 2022
40%						-	2018		-								data.
30%	_						2019		Rural-								
3070									Mix								
20%	1				-		2020		1								
100/							2021		State								
10%							2022*										
0% 📙									0%	,	20%	40%	60%		80%	100%	
			6-8	9-11	12-14	15-20					mily Setting	Grou	p Home	Institution	on Ot	her	
Source: PPC	C's analysis of AFCARS da	ata							Source: PPC's a	nalysis of AFCAR	S data		Congreg	ate Care			

4

Bradford (Rural-Mix)

FOSTER CARE - PLACEMENT REASONS

County child welfare agencies are required to report the reasons in which a child experiences a placement episode. There are 15 factors defined by the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) technical bulletin issued by the Administration for Children and Families which are federally required data elements that the state must track and report.


A child can have more than one placement reason.

Indicator

Top Five Placement Reasons Of All Children in Foster Care During the Year


	2022	
County	Rural-Mix	State
37.2% Child's Behavior Problem	38.7% Parent Drug Abuse	34.9% Parent Drug Abuse
34.3% Caretaker Inability to Cope	20.6% Caretaker Inability to Cope	25.6% Neglect
26.3% Parent Drug Abuse	19.6% Inadequate Housing	22.5% Caretaker Inability to Cope
14.6% Inadequate Housing	19.0% Child's Behavior Problem	16.2% Inadequate Housing
10.9% Sexual Abuse	14.7% Neglect	13.6% Child's Behavior Problem




















Of Re-Entries into Foster Care



Top 5 Placement Reasons Re-Entries in County



Top 5 Placement Reasons of Re-Entries in Pennsylvania



Placement reasons include abandonment, caretaker inability to cope due to illness or other reasons, child alcohol abuse, child drug abuse, child 's behavior problem, death of parent(s), incarceration of parent(s), inadequate housing, neglect, parent alcohol abuse, parent drug abuse, physical abuse, relinquishment, sexual abuse.

Bradford (Rural-Mix)

FOSTER CARE - GOALS

All children in foster care have a court-ordered permanency goal, which directs the efforts of the child welfare agency toward a certain family outcome for each child. In addition to the court-ordered 'primary' goal, a 'concurrent' goal is put in place to ensure permanency is achieved if for some reason the primary goal cannot be met. This data provides information on the most recent primary goal for every child served in foster care during the federal fiscal year.

for every crilia served in lost	cr care during the h	Sucrai iis	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator		County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Percent of Children by Cas	se Plan Goal																
Family-Based I	Permanency Goals	>95.0%	98.2%	95.1%	91.2%	98.3%	95.5%	>92.6%	99.0%	96.4%	92.4%	98.9%	96.8%	92.7%	90.3%	92.1%	<-2.4%
Reunify With Parent(s) or Prin		81.0%	71.6%	65.3%	75.0%	70.4%	63.7%	77.2%	70.6%	65.8%	77.1%	70.3%	65.6%	70.8%	70.2%	65.1%	-12.6%
, (,	Adoption	14.0%	20.2%	23.6%	7.4%	20.9%	24.5%	15.4%	22.2%	23.7%	15.3%	22.9%	25.0%	21.9%	19.3%	25.0%	56.4%
	Guardianship	S	5.0%	5.1%	6.8%	5.5%	5.9%	S	5.2%	5.4%	S	5.1%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	_
Liv	e with Relative(s)	0.0%	1.5%	1.2%	2.0%	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%	1.4%	S	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.4%	-
Another Planned Permanent L	` '																
	(APPLA)	>0.0%	>1.5%	4.9%	8.8%	1.7%	4.5%	>0.0%	1.0%	3.6%	>0.0%	1.1%	3.2%	0.0%	9.7%	7.9%	-
Long	Term Foster Care	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	S	0.8%	2.5%	-
3	Emancipation	S	1.5%	4.3%	8.8%	1.7%	4.4%	S	1.0%	3.1%	S	1.1%	2.7%	s	8.9%	5.4%	-
	'																
APPLA By Age as % of Ag	je Group Served																
	0-15	0.0%	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	-
	16-20	S	9.3%	25.1%	38.2%	1.6%	23.5%	S	6.2%	19.6%	S	6.2%	17.5%	S	5.2%	17.5%	-
								.			.						
APPLA By Discharge Reas		0.0%	>0.0%	19.5%	11.1%	>0.0%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%	0.0%	>0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	21.3%	
Exit to Perma	nent Arrangements																-
(Reunification	0.0%	S	16.9%	11.1%	S	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.0%	0.0%	S	18.5%	0.0%	0.0%	18.3%	-
(number of month	s until reunification)	0.0	11.9	18.6	2.1	2.1	19.2	0.0	0.0	25.1	0.0	30.4	34.1	0.0	0.0	42.9	-
, ,	Adoption	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of mo	onths until adoption)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
, , , , ,	Guardianship	0.0%	S	2.3%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
	until guardianship)	0.0	28.5	25.6	0.0	11.2	23.8	0.0	0.0	32.5	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	24.0	-
	vith Other Relatives	0.0%	0.0%	S 32.9	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of months until		0.0	0.0	80.5%	0.0	0.0 >85.2%	49.6	0.0	0.0	61.6	0.0	0.0 85.7%	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0 78.8%	-
Exit to Non-Perma	nent Arrangements	0.0%	>68.4%		88.9%		83.5%	100.0%	100.0%	75.6%	100.0%		80.4%	100.0%	100.0%		-
(m	Emancipation	0.0%	68.4% 45.2	72.1% 27.6	77.8% 9.2	85.2% 63.9	74.3%	S 18.7	100.0% 31.2	68.0% 26.1	S 19.8	85.7% 41.2	68.2% 30.9	S 10.4	100.0% 30.6	70.8% 34.1	-
(number of months			45.2 S	5.3%	11.1%	53.9 S	30.7 7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	-
	to Another Agency onths until transfer)	0.0%						0.0%						0.0%			-
(number of m	··············//········	0.0%	109.1	19.4 3.0%	5.6 0.0%	19.3	17.9 2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	16.0 S	0.0	0.0%	22.4 4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2 S	-
(Runaway																-
(number of months		0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	26.9	0.0	0.0	22.8	0.0	0.0	36.1	0.0	0.0	10.4	-
(number of menths	Death of Child until death of a child)	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	S 5.7	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	S 115.9	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	-
(number of months	unui death of a child)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	115.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA = Data not currently
	Children	by Most	Recent C	ase Plan	Goal					Chil	ldren wit	h APPLA	by Disch	arge Rea	son		available
	100%																S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11
	90%								2022*		_						persons
	80%		_			— ■ Reu	nification										* County classifications
	70%		_						2021								changed with the 2022 data.
	60%					Ado	ption		-								uata.
	50%					M Gua	rdianship		2020								
	40%								-								
						Live			2019								
	30%					■ APP	tive(s)		-								
	20%								2018								
	10%	entrate)	00000	mmm					+		-				-		
	0%			000000					0% ■ Re	unification	20%	40% Adoption	60%		80% ardianship	100%	
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	*			Liv	e with Othe	r Relatives	Non-Peri	manency	G. Gu	ai aiaiisiiip		
	Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS d	ata							Source: PPC's	analysis of AFCA	K5 data						


Bradford (Rural-Mix)


FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the federal fiscal year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to ensure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Exiting Foster Care																
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	38	924	10,694	NA	998	10,801	58	970	8,712	69	839	8,439	85	1,016	8,850	123.7%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	38.0%	46.8%	42.0%	37.8%	55.8%	43.8%	38.9%	50.6%	40.2%	43.9%	46.9%	41.2%	62.0%	47.9%	45.9%	63.3%
(number of months until leaving)	6.0	10.6	13.0	9.8	11.1	15.9	10.1	11.4	15.4	12.6	13.4	33.2	10.3	12.3	15.7	71.0%

By Discharge Reason																
Exit to Permanent Arrangements	100.0%	93.9%	88.6%	85.7%	90.9%	86.6%	79.3%	93.5%	86.2%	>88.4%	93.6%	87.1%	90.6%	93.2%	86.3%	-9.4%
Reunification	81.6%	60.7%	52.5%	57.1%	56.7%	49.8%	62.1%	55.9%	50.8%	68.1%	54.6%	49.8%	69.4%	53.1%	50.8%	-14.9%
(number of months until reunification)	3.7	5.7	6.4	3.8	7.0	7.4	9.3	7.0	8.4	6.9	5.5	9.0	6.9	5.9	8.3	88.4%
Adoption	S	19.5%	23.9%	10.7%	20.6%	25.2%	S	22.8%	23.5%	20.3%	24.0%	25.4%	16.5%	20.7%	23.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	33.1	25.1	30.5	43.3	25.8	31.0	34.8	30.2	29.8	37.1	28.5	32.2	30.3	26.0	32.7	-8.4%
Guardianship	0.0%	9.6%	7.5%	12.5%	9.8%	7.6%	S	8.6%	7.7%	S	11.7%	7.6%	S	11.8%	7.8%	-
(number of month until guardianship)	0.0	15.9	19.4	29.3	18.1	22.8	28.8	15.3	22.3	8.0	16.8	23.5	18.9	22.0	23.7	-
Live with Other Relatives	S	4.1%	4.6%	5.4%	3.7%	4.1%	S	6.3%	4.1%	0.0%	3.3%	4.3%	S	7.6%	4.6%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	16.9	6.8	2.1	0.4	2.1	2.5	3.8	4.4	2.4	0.0	8.1	2.0	51.0	9.0	4.0	202.3%
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements	0.0%	6.1%	11.4%	14.3%	>8.2%	13.2%	20.7%	>6.1%	13.7%	>0.0%	>5.4%	12.8%	>0.0%	6.7%	13.5%	-
Emancipation	0.0%	4.5%	7.3%	12.5%	8.2%	9.3%	S	6.1%	9.5%	S	5.4%	7.9%	S	5.7%	7.6%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	0.0	37.8	23.7	9.2	29.9	26.2	13.3	12.3	22.9	21.0	26.4	24.3	10.3	30.1	24.1	-
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	1.5%	3.5%	1.8%	S	3.5%	S	S	3.7%	0.0%	S	4.1%	0.0%	S	5.3%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	25.5	7.9	5.6	12.2	5.5	0.2	0.2	6.6	0.0	10.6	8.0	0.0	2.6	4.2	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	S	0.6%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	0.0	17.8	0.0	28.9	10.4	-
Death of Child	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	-
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	90.0	6.1	0.0	10.0	5.9	0.0	0.3	15.3	-





NA = Data not currently available

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons


^{*} County classifications changed with the 2022


Bradford (Rural-Mix)


FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE

Data on children remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

ndicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
luicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Remaining in Foster Care																
Children in Foster Care on Last Day	66	1,142	16,074	NA	1,153	15,082	97	1,005	13,949	90	1,017	13,033	59	1,161	11,866	-10.6%
(number of months children have been in care)	13.0	10.4	14.2	9.0	11.4	14.0	13.1	10.2	16.4	13.6	10.2	16.8	17.1	10.7	15.2	31.7%
		1	1			1		ı	•		ı	•		ı		_
By Age	_															
0-2	S	18.7%	21.0%	20.8%	22.1%	21.6%	20.6%	22.4%	22.2%	20.0%	21.9%	23.0%	S	23.3%	22.8%	-
3-5	S	16.6%	17.5%	9.4%	16.7%	17.7%	11.3%	17.5%	18.0%	15.6%	17.8%	17.9%	S	16.1%	17.8%	-
6-8	S	13.0%	13.6%	13.5%	13.9%	13.8%	13.4%	12.6%	13.9%	S	12.0%	13.6%	S	14.2%	13.4%	-
9-11	19.7%	12.1%	12.2%	16.7%	12.8%	12.1%	17.5%	12.1%	12.1%	S	9.7%	11.5%	S	11.2%	11.4%	-
12-14	S	13.9%	12.3%	13.5%	14.4%	13.3%	19.6%	15.3%	13.1%	15.6%	17.4%	13.0%	20.3%	14.0%	13.4%	-
15-20	28.8%	25.7%	18.3%	26.0%	20.0%	17.7%	17.5%	20.0%	17.2%	27.8%	21.1%	16.7%	25.4%	21.3%	17.9%	-11.7%
Infants (age 0-1)	S	12.3%	13.7%	15.6%	15.4%	14.4%	13.4%	14.3%	14.5%	S	15.1%	15.0%	S	15.6%	14.8%	-
Youth (age 13+)	40.9%	34.7%	31.9%	39.6%	34.4%	34.8%	33.0%	31.0%	29.9%	37.8%	32.7%	29.7%	42.4%	32.0%	30.8%	3.6%
By Doos and Ethnicity				1												
By Race and Ethnicity Non-Hispanic White	83.3%	89.2%	42.2%	89.6%	88.8%	42.3%	88.7%	87.9%	41.8%	87.8%	85.0%	43.1%	86.4%	79.7%	43.5%	3.7%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	63.3% S	3.0%	35.4%	4.2%	2.8%	34.4%	00.7% S	3.0%	33.7%	67.6% S	2.4%	32.2%	60.4% S	5.3%	31.2%	3.1%
Non-Hispanic Other Race	S	1.4%	2.3%	1.0%	2.6% S	2.6%	S	2.4%	3.7%	S	3.0%	4.5%	S	4.6%	4.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	3.5%		1.0%	<4.4%	7.8%	S		8.3%				S	5.6%	4.9% 8.0%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0% S	2.9%	7.1% 13.0%	4.2%	3.5%	13.0%	S	4.0% 2.8%	12.5%	S S	6.4% 3.2%	8.0% 12.2%	S	4.8%	12.5%	-
Hispanic of Launo	3	2.970	13.0%	4.270	3.5%	13.0%	3	2.070	12.5%	3	3.270	12.270	3	4.070	12.5%	-
By Sex							1			1			1			
Male	56.1%	52.5%	50.9%	55.2%	52.4%	50.6%	51.5%	53.3%	50.8%	54.4%	54.3%	50.2%	49.2%	53.6%	50.7%	-12.3%
Female	43.9%	47.5%	49.1%	44.8%	47.6%	49.4%	48.5%	46.7%	49.2%	45.6%	45.7%	49.8%	50.8%	46.4%	49.3%	15.7%
By Latest Placement Setting																
Family Setting	72.7%	81.5%	84.0%	65.6%	82.3%	85.1%	>69.1%	82.6%	86.3%	63.3%	>80.2%	86.6%	83.1%	>82.6%	86.4%	14.2%
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	2.1%	4.0%	2.1%	1.4%	3.2%	S	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	3.5%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	28.8%	29.1%	37.8%	18.8%	31.0%	39.4%	22.7%	30.9%	41.5%	20.0%	33.3%	43.0%	28.8%	40.0%	40.7%	0.1%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	43.9%	50.4%	42.2%	44.8%	50.0%	42.5%	46.4%	49.0%	42.2%	43.3%	46.9%	42.4%	54.2%	42.6%	42.1%	23.4%
Congregate Care Setting	>22.7%	17.4%	13.2%	22.9%	15.6%	11.9%	14.4%	15.3%	10.7%	22.2%	17.3%	10.4%	16.9%	14.6%	10.8%	<-25.3%
Group Home	S	11.8%	7.9%	8.3%	11.4%	7.0%	S	11.1%	5.9%	S	12.6%	6.4%	S	11.3%	6.4%	-
Institution	22.7%	5.6%	5.3%	14.6%	4.2%	4.9%	S	4.2%	4.8%	S	4.7%	4.1%	S	3.4%	4.4%	-
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	S	1.5%	2.1%	S	1.3%	S	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	S	1.1%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	-







Bradford (Rural-Mix)

DISPROPORTIONALITY

Disproportionality shows the level at which groups of children are present in the child welfare system at higher or lower rates than in the general population. The index is calculated by taking the proportion of children in foster care for a given race and dividing it by the proportion of the same race group in the child population (age 0-20).

Indicator

CPS/GPS Race and Ethnicity

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

		2022		
CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allegations
92.2%	93.3%	-	-	90.4%
1.0%	0.0%	-	-	0.7%
0.5%	0.0%	-	-	0.0%
1.5%	3.3%	-	-	3.0%
4.9%	3.3%	-	1	5.9%

General Population Age 0-17
89.5%
1.0%
1.4%
5.6%
2.5%

100%	Dispr	oportionality by (CPS and GPS, 2022	
100%			■ CPS Referrals	
80%			Substantiated CP	S Referrals
			■ Children with Val	id GPS Allegations
60%			Expected Rate (G	eneral Population)
40%	17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1		rs above the expected ra	
		ae	monstrate disproportion	nality
20%				
0%			10 S S MINISTER STATES OF	
3,0	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black or African American	Non-Hispanic Two or more races	Hispanic

Racial Disproportionality Index, 2022

Indicator

Foster Care Race and Ethnicity

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

	2022												
Total	First Time			In Care									
Served	Entries	Exits	entries	9/30									
89.8%	<93.0%	92.9%	<96.1%	86.4%									
S	0.0%	S	0.0%	S									
S	0.0%	S	0.0%	S									
S	S	S	0.0%	S									
S	0.0%	0.0%	S	S									

General Population Age 0-20
89.8%
1.0%
1.4%
5.3%
2.4%

Source: PA DHS

Racial Disproportionality Index

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

1.0	-	1.0	-	1.0
S	0.0	S	0.0	S
S	0.0	S	0.0	S
S	S	S	0.0	S
S	0.0	0.0	S	S


	Scores less than 1.0 are
	indicative of
'	underrepresentation,
	scores of 1.0 indicate no
	disproportionality and
	scores of 1.1 and greater
	indicate
	overrepresentation.


			Over	representation	
Hispanic or Latino				Total Served First Time Entries	
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	-			■ Exits ■ Re-entries ■ In Care 9/30	
Non-Hispanic Non-Hispanic Two or More Other Race Races					1
Non-Hispanic Black or African American					
Non-Hispanic White		ı			
	ensus, PPC analysis of AFCARS d are indicative of underrepresen	ata		3 cores of 1.1 and greater indicate	4

Children Remaining in Care By Specific Latest Placement Settings

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

	2022												
Pre- Adoptive Home	Relative Relative Family Home Home		Group Home	Institution									
0.0%	100.0%	81.3%	83.3%	75.0%									
0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%									
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%									
0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%									
0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	25.0%									





DISPARITY

Disparity demonstrates the lack of equality between two racial groups in the child welfare system. The index is expressed as the ratio of one racial group's disproportionality index by another racial group's disproportionality metric. The computed value represents whether children of different racial groups are in the child welfare system at the same rate.


Indicator

Racial Disparity Index

Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Other Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Two or More Non-Hispanic Black / Hispanic Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic Two or More Non-Hispanic Other / Hispanic Non-Hispanic Two or More / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Two or More / Hispanic Hispanic / Non-Hispanic White

CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allega- tions	
1.9	- - - - - 0.6 0.5 1.3			- - - - - 0.5 0.2 2.3	Scores near 1.0 are indicative of no disparity between the race or ethnicity shown.

	2022												
	Total Served	First Time Entries	Exits	Re- entries	In Care 9/30								
	S	-	S	-	S								
1	S	-	S S	-	S								
	S	-	S	-	S								
	S	_	_	_	S								
	S	-	S S	-	S								
	S	-	S	_	S								
	000000	_	_	_	S								
	S S	S	S	-	00000000000								
	S	-	-	-	S								
	S	-	-	S	S								



Bradford (Rural-Mix)

FOSTER CARE - STABILITY

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements may struggle to build and maintain healthy relationships and confront academic challenges due to school changes.

Indicator

Foster Care Placement Stability

Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State									
41.9%	30.8%	31.8%	47.5%	35.2%	31.1%	46.3%	30.5%	28.4%	41.4%	29.9%	25.6%	31.6%	29.9%	25.2%

County % Change 2018 to 2022* -24.7%

County % Change

2018 to 2022*

-17.2%

FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

Indicator

Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives

Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State									
61.8%	41.1%	37.3%	36.1%	44.4%	38.7%	29.0%	45.9%	36.8%	40.0%	47.8%	36.6%	51.2%	46.1%	37.8%

FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

Indicator

Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry)

Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives. (Data may be overstated.)

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State									
22.6%	22.2%	21.4%	31.3%	21.8%	22.3%	<30.4%	16.5%	20.2%	<27.1%	13.6%	18.1%	30.4%	14.5%	19.1%

County % Change 2018 to 2022*

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons * County classifications changed with the 2022 data.



PPC is part of a network coordinated and supported by the Annie E. Casey We gratefully acknowledge the support of Alliance for Early Success, The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT Project, The Heinz Endowments and PNC Financial Services Group.



Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children 200 North Third Street, 13th Floor Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1589 papartnerships.org 717.236.5680