Fulton (Rural)

CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports	61	4,454	44,063	62	4,403	42,252	46	3,705	32,919	55	4,195	38,013	42	2,133	39,093	
Total reported incidents of suspected child abuse and neglect through the ChildLine Registry. (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)	20.1	40.9	16.6	20.4	40.4	15.9	15.1	34.0	12.4	18.1	38.5	14.3	13.8	19.6	14.8	-31.1%
Child Abuse and Neglect Substantiations Founded or indicated reports of child abuse and/or neglect.	4.9%	14.1%	11.6%	12.9%	14.3%	11.5%	10.9%	15.8%	14.0%	21.8%	15.4%	13.2%	11.9%	17.0%	12.8%	142.1%
Repeated Child Abuse and Neglect	0.0%	7.8%	5.2%	0.0%	8.7%	5.7%	0.0%	6.8%	6.2%	0.0%	7.9%	6.5%	0.0%	6.1%	6.4%	
Children with another substantiated incident of abuse and/or neglect following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)	0	1 in 13	1 in 19	0	1 in 11	1 in 18	0	1 in 15	1 in 16	0	1 in 13	1 in 15	0	1 in 16	1 in 16	-
Child Al	ouse Rep	orts and	Percent	Substant	iated	35%		70 7	hild Abu	se Report	s and Pe	rcent Re	peated C	hild Abu	se 35%	
60						- 30%		60 -							ايو 30%	
50 —						- 25% ≅		50 -							25% sig	
र्षे 40 —						- 20% ji		Reports - 05							20% 분	
8 30 30 \$ 5 40						- 15% g		چ 30 -			\vdash				15% 필	
20						- 10% ਤ		20 -							- 10% E	
10						- 5%		10 -	• • •	•••••	• • • • •		• • • • • • •		⊥ _{5%} ≈	
0	2010	202	0 1	0021	2022*	- 0%		0 -	2019	2010	2/	020	2021	2022*	→ 0%	* County classifications
2018 County Source: Annual Child Protective Ser	2019 vices Report	County	Cot	2021 Inty Type	2022*	tate		Source: Annua	2018 County I Child Protective		County	020	2021 County Type	2022*	• State	changed with the 2022 data.

GENERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES (GPS)

Reports not alleging abuse of a child are categorized as general protective services (GPS) reports. Addressing concerns related to child safety and well-being before allegations of child abuse or severe neglect are made enables children to remain safely in their own homes while services are provided to the family to mitigate the risk of potential maltreatment.


Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
GPS Reports Total reported concerns of general neglect, not alleging child abuse.	235	18,611	169,723	227	19,862	178,124	167	17,537	152,478	166	18,161	161,709	197	8,845	165,295	-16.2%
Valid GPS Reports Reports in which the allegations were found to have merit. Includes reports accepted for in-home services and reports referred out to community agencies for services.	23.8%	27.3%	23.6%	26.4%	29.2%	23.5%	38.3%	31.6%	25.6%	37.3%	32.9%	27.1%	26.4%	38.4%	24.2%	10.8%
Children with Valid Allegations Number of children with a valid GPS allegation by valid report. Children may be counted more than once if more than one report was found to have merit.	69	7,480	58,982	75	8,601	60,755	98	8,259	56,999	89	8,711	63,801	87	4,980	57,876	26.1%
		2018			2019			2020			2024			2022*		0t0/-0h
	0		01-1-	0		01-1-	0		01-1-	0	2021	01-1-	0	2022*	01-1-	County % Change 2018 to 2022*
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022"
Unduplicated Number of Children Served Children who received in-home services along with parents to address concerns related to child safety and well-being.	470	28,544	194,761	455	24,606	187,280	463	25,330	>181,435	318	26,164	192,594	360	16,139	211,150	-23.4%

Fulton (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each federal fiscal year.

	2018			2019			2020			2021					County % Cha
County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022
	1,973	25,441	NA	2,074	24,665		1,918	21,689	S	1,788	20,490	S	1,070	19,287	-
S	15.2	8.0	1.5	16.0	7.7	S	14.8	6.8	S	13.8	6.4	S	8.3	6.0	
			Ī			1						I			
S	20.1%	20.2%	16.7%	19.8%	20.2%	0.0%	20.6%	20.9%	0.0%	20.1%	21.5%	0.0%	20.4%	21.1%	-
0.0%	16.1%	17.9%	0.0%	16.8%	17.8%	0.0%	17.3%	17.8%	0.0%	17.6%	18.2%	S	19.0%	18.2%	-
0.0%	12.7%	13.4%	16.7%	13.1%	13.8%	S	14.1%	13.5%	S	12.5%	13.7%	S	13.3%	13.7%	-
S	11.4%	11.8%	0.0%	12.3%	11.7%	0.0%	12.3%	11.7%	S	10.6%	11.3%	0.0%	9.8%	11.2%	-
S	13.5%	11.8%	0.0%	13.5%	12.3%	0.0%	13.7%	12.6%	S	14.5%	12.6%	S	12.2%	12.8%	_
S	22.0%	18.7%	33.3%	20.9%	18.5%	S	19.1%	17.9%	0.0%	20.5%	16.4%	S	22.0%	18.1%	-
s	4.2%	6.3%	33.3%	3.6%	5.6%	0.0%	3.0%	5.6%	0.0%	4.1%	6.4%	0.0%	3.4%	4.9%	_
S	12.9%	12.7%	0.0%	13.0%	12.9%	0.0%	12.7%	13.2%	0.0%	13.4%	13.7%	0.0%	13.7%	13.3%	-
S	35.3%	33.1%	66.7%	34.4%	32.6%	S	31.9%	32.3%	0.0%	34.5%	31.3%	S	34.5%	32.0%	-
			I			1			<u> </u>			1			
S	87 1%	43.7%	100.0%	88.5%	43.7%	s	88.0%	44 2%	s	85.2%	44.3%	s	85.4%	45.0%	_
	_														
	_	-											-	_	
0.0%	3.6%	13.0%	0.0%	3.6%	12.9%	0.0%	2.7%	12.3%	0.0%	3.9%	12.3%	0.0%	4.3%	12.2%	-
			.				1	1			1				
	FO 00/	E0 00/	FO 00/	EO 40/	E0 00/	- CC C0/	EO 40/	E0 C0/	× CC C0/	F0 F0/	EO E0/	_	FO 40/	E0 20/	
	-												-		-
	47.0%	49.2%	50.0%	47.0%	49.2%	3	47.9%	49.4%	3	40.5%	49.5%	3	47.0%	49.7%	-
															>-100.0%
													-		-
															-
															-
															-
											-				-
															-
				_			_		,-						-
															-
0.0%	2.2%	1.4%	16.7%	3.6%	1.5%	S	4.9%	1.6%	0.0%	<3.7%	1.8%	0.0%	4.8%	1.7%	-
0.070		l												-	
0.076															
	S S S O.0% O.0% S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	County Rural S 1,973 S 15.2 S 20.1% 0.0% 16.1% 0.0% 12.7% S 11.4% S 22.0% S 4.2% S 12.9% S 35.3% S 87.1% 0.0% 3.8% 0.0% 1.5% S 4.1% 0.0% 3.6% S 47.8% 60.0% 78.7% 0.0% 3.2% 29.9% S 45.6% >29.9% S 45.6% >29.9% S 13.4% S 5.2% S S S	County Rural State S 1,973 25,441 S 15.2 8.0 S 20.1% 20.2% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 12.7% 13.4% S 11.4% 11.8% S 22.0% 18.7% S 4.2% 6.3% S 12.9% 12.7% S 35.3% 33.1% S 87.1% 43.7% 0.0% 3.8% 33.9% 0.0% 1.5% 2.3% S 4.1% 7.1% 0.0% 3.6% 13.0% S 47.8% 49.2% 60.0% 78.7% 81.6% S 29.9% 37.1% \$ 45.6% 40.1% >29.9% 37.1% \$ 45.6% 40.1% \$ 5.2% 5.6% \$ 5.2% 5.6%	County Rural State County S 1,973 25,441 NA S 15.2 8.0 1.5 S 20.1% 20.2% 16.7% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 0.0% 12.7% 13.4% 16.7% S 11.4% 11.8% 0.0% S 22.0% 18.7% 33.3% S 22.0% 12.7% 0.0% S 4.2% 6.3% 33.3% S 12.9% 12.7% 0.0% S 35.3% 33.9% 0.0% 0.0% 3.8% 33.9% 0.0% 0.0% 3.8% 33.9% 0.0% S 4.1% 7.1% 0.0% S 4.1% 7.1% 0.0% S 47.8% 49.2% 50.0% S 47.8% 49.2% 50.0% S 29.9% 37.1% 0.0% <	County Rural State County Rural S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 S 20.1% 20.2% 16.7% 19.8% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 16.8% 0.0% 12.7% 13.4% 16.7% 13.1% S 11.4% 11.8% 0.0% 12.3% S 13.5% 11.8% 0.0% 13.5% S 22.0% 18.7% 33.3% 20.9% S 4.2% 6.3% 33.3% 20.9% S 12.9% 12.7% 0.0% 13.0% S 35.3% 33.9% 0.0% 2.5% 0.0% 3.8% 33.9% 0.0% 2.5% 0.0% 3.6% 13.0% 0.0% 2.5% 0.0% 3.6% 13.0% 0.0% 3.6% S 4.1% 7.1% 0.0%	County Rural State County Rural State S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 24,665 S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 7.7 S 20.1% 20.2% 16.7% 19.8% 20.2% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 16.8% 17.8% 0.0% 12.7% 13.4% 16.7% 13.1% 13.8% S 11.4% 11.8% 0.0% 12.3% 11.7% S 13.5% 11.8% 0.0% 13.5% 12.3% S 22.0% 18.7% 33.3% 20.9% 18.5% S 12.9% 12.7% 0.0% 13.0% 12.9% S 35.3% 33.1% 66.7% 34.4% 32.6% S 4.1% 7.1% 0.0% 2.5% 33.0% 0.0% 3.6% 13.0% 0.0% 2.5% 33.0% 0.0% 3.6%	County Rural State County Rural State County S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 24,665 S S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 7.7 S S 20.1% 20.2% 16.7% 19.8% 20.2% 0.0% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 16.8% 17.8% 0.0% 0.0% 12.7% 13.4% 16.7% 13.1% 13.8% S S 11.4% 11.8% 0.0% 13.5% 12.3% 0.0% S 13.5% 11.8% 0.0% 13.5% 12.3% 0.0% S 22.0% 18.7% 33.3% 20.9% 18.5% S S 4.2% 6.3% 33.3% 3.6% 5.6% 0.0% S 12.9% 12.7% 0.0% 13.0% 12.9% 0.0% S 41.9% 7.1% 0.0% 2.5% 33.0%	County Rural State County Rural State County Rural S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 24,665 S 1,918 S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 7.7 S 14.8 S 20.1% 20.2% 16.7% 19.8% 20.2% 0.0% 20.6% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 16.8% 17.8% 0.0% 17.3% 0.0% 12.7% 13.4% 16.7% 13.1% 13.8% S 14.1% S 11.4% 11.8% 0.0% 12.3% 11.7% 0.0% 12.3% S 13.5% 11.8% 0.0% 13.5% 12.3% 0.0% 13.7% S 19.1% S 22.0% 18.7% 33.3% 20.9% 18.5% S 19.1% S 12.9% 0.0% 13.0% 12.9% 0.0% 12.7% S 37.3%	County Rural State County Rural State County Rural State S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 24,665 S 1,918 21,689 S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 7.7 S 14.8 6.8 S 20.1% 20.2% 16.7% 19.8% 20.2% 0.0% 20.6% 20.9% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 16.8% 17.8% 0.0% 17.3% 17.8% 0.0% 12.3% 11.7% 0.0% 12.3% 0.0% 12.3% 11.7% 0.0% 12.3% 11.7% 0.0% 13.5% 12.3% 0.0% 13.7% 12.6% S 19.1% 17.9% S 14.1% 13.5% S 19.1% 17.9% S 14.2% 0.0% 13.5% 12.3% 0.0% 13.7% 12.6% S 19.1% 17.9% 17.9% 0.9% 18.5% S 19.1%	County Rural State County Rural State County Rural State County S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 24,665 S 1,918 21,689 S S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 7.7 S 14.8 6.8 S S 20.1% 20.2% 16.7% 19.8% 20.2% 0.0% 20.6% 20.9% 0.0% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 16.8% 17.8% 0.0% 17.3% 17.8% 0.0% 0.0% 12.7% 13.4% 16.7% 13.1% 13.8% S 14.1% 13.5% S 11.7% 0.0% 12.3% 11.7% 0.0% 12.3% 11.7% S S 12.3% 11.7% 0.0% 12.6% S S 11.7% S 8 22.9% 13.7% 12.6% S S 19.1% 17.9% 0.0% S 12.9%	County Rural State County Rural State County Rural State County Rural S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 24,665 S 1,918 21,689 S 1,788 S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 7.7 S 14.8 6.8 S 13.8 S 20.1% 20.2% 16.7% 19.8% 20.2% 0.0% 20.6% 20.9% 0.0% 20.1% 0.0% 16.1% 17.9% 0.0% 16.8% 17.8% 0.0% 17.3% 17.8% 0.0% 17.6% 0.0% 12.7% 13.4% 16.7% 13.1% 13.8% S 14.1% 13.5% S 12.3% 11.7% 0.0% 13.5% S 12.3% 11.7% 0.0% 13.7% 12.6% S 14.5% S 22.0% 18.7% 33.3% 3.6% 5.6% 0.0% 13.7% 12.6% <td< td=""><td> County Rural State County Rural State County Rural State S</td><td> County Rural State County S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 24,665 S 1,918 21,689 S 1,788 20,490 S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 7.7 S 14.8 6.8 S 13.8 6.4 S S 13.8 6.4 S S 15.2 S 14.8 6.8 S 13.8 6.4 S S S S S S S S S </td><td> S</td><td> County Rural State County Rural State County Rural State State County Rural State State </td></td<>	County Rural State County Rural State County Rural State S	County Rural State County S 1,973 25,441 NA 2,074 24,665 S 1,918 21,689 S 1,788 20,490 S 15.2 8.0 1.5 16.0 7.7 S 14.8 6.8 S 13.8 6.4 S S 13.8 6.4 S S 15.2 S 14.8 6.8 S 13.8 6.4 S S S S S S S S S	S	County Rural State County Rural State County Rural State State County Rural State State



S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons * County classifications changed with the 2022 data.

Fulton (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past federal fiscal year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

La Para Cara		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chang
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Entering for the First Time																
First Time Entries During the Year	S	753	7,626	NA	789	7,266	S	665	5,540	S	665	5,635	S	370	5,394	
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	S	5.8	2.4	0.5	6.1	2.3	S	5.1	1.7	S	5.1	1.8	S	2.9	1.7	-
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries	S	78.3%	75.5%	100.0%	78.2%	76.9%	S	79.7%	76.0%	S	78.1%	77.0%	S	80.1%	73.8%	-
By Age																
0-2	S	29.9%	34.4%	0.0%	31.6%	35.1%	0.0%	29.6%	38.2%	0.0%	29.9%	38.5%	0.0%	32.4%	36.2%	_
3-5	0.0%	14.3%	15.4%	0.0%	16.5%	14.8%	S	15.9%	14.5%	0.0%	15.8%	14.7%	S	16.2%	14.2%	-
6-8	0.0%	11.6%	11.5%	50.0%	12.3%	11.8%	0.0%	13.4%	11.4%	0.0%	11.7%	11.3%	S	10.3%	11.7%	-
9-11	0.0%	9.3%	10.3%	0.0%	10.8%	10.7%	0.0%	11.1%	9.7%	S	9.9%	9.3%	0.0%	9.5%	9.5%	-
12-14	S	16.2%	12.8%	0.0%	13.7%	12.9%	0.0%	16.2%	12.5%	0.0%	15.5%	12.6%	S	12.4%	13.0%	-
15-20	S	18.7%	15.6%	50.0%	15.2%	14.6%	0.0%	13.7%	13.7%	0.0%	17.1%	13.7%	S	19.2%	15.4%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	S	22.3%	28.0%	0.0%	25.7%	29.1%	0.0%	22.3%	31.7%	0.0%	24.1%	32.3%	0.0%	24.6%	29.7%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	30.7%	24.7%	50.0%	20.7%	19.5%	0.0%	25.6%	22.6%	0.0%	28.7%	22.5%	S	29.5%	25.2%	-
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	s	87.6%	48.3%	100.0%	88.3%	47.6%	S	86.2%	49.7%	S	81.8%	50.0%	s	85.1%	50.1%	-
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	4.0%	29.3%	0.0%	1.9%	28.4%	0.0%	3.0%	25.2%	0.0%	1.8%	23.6%	0.0%	S	23.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.5%	2.7%	0.0%	1.5%	3.7%	0.0%	3.5%	5.3%	0.0%	3.6%	5.8%	0.0%	4.3%	6.1%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	3.5%	7.7%	0.0%	4.2%	7.7%	0.0%	5.6%	8.2%	0.0%	7.8%	8.4%	0.0%	<5.9%	8.0%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	3.5%	12.0%	0.0%	4.1%	12.6%	0.0%	1.8%	11.6%	0.0%	5.0%	12.1%	0.0%	4.1%	11.9%	-
Du Cay				ı			ı			ı			1			
By Sex Male	s	50.5%	50.7%	50.0%	50.6%	50.8%	s	51.6%	50.5%	s	53.2%	49.7%	s	52.2%	50.4%	
Female	S	49.5%	49.3%	50.0%	49.4%	49.2%	0.0%	48.4%	49.5%	S	46.8%	50.3%	S	47.8%	49.6%	-
i eniale		49.570	43.370	30.070	43.470	45.270	0.076	40.4 /0	49.570	<u> </u>	40.070	30.370	3	47.070	43.070	_
By First Reported Placement Setting																
Family Setting	>0.0%	>79.9%	83.8%	50.0%	>84.3%	85.7%	>0.0%	85.9%	>87.5%	>0.0%	>81.5%	>87.5%	>0.0%	83.8%	86.9%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	0.0%	34.7%	39.9%	0.0%	39.8%	41.6%	0.0%	39.5%	44.3%	0.0%	42.1%	45.8%	0.0%	41.4%	46.6%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	S	45.3%	43.7%	50.0%	44.5%	43.7%	S	46.3%	43.1%	S	39.4%	41.7%	S	42.4%	40.1%	-
Congregate Care Setting	>66.6%	18.5%	14.3%	50.0%	14.3%	12.7%	0.0%	12.3%	10.6%	0.0%	16.1%	10.4%	>0.0%	14.6%	11.1%	-
Group Home	S	13.7%	9.4%	50.0%	11.2%	8.5%	0.0%	9.0%	7.2%	0.0%	12.3%	7.4%	0.0%	8.4%	7.2%	-
Institution	S	4.8%	4.9%	0.0%	3.2%	4.2%	0.0%	3.3%	3.4%	0.0%	3.8%	3.0%	S	6.2%	4.0%	-
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-
Runaway Trial Home Visit	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% <1.6%	0.7% 1.1%	0.0% 0.0%	S S	0.8% 0.6%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% <1.8%	0.8% 0.9%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% <2.4%	0.7% <1.2%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% S	0.8% 0.9%	-
That Home visit	0.070	11.070	1.170	0.070		0.070	0.070	11.070	0.570						0.570	NA = Data not curre
100%	Childre	n Enterin	g Foster	Care									oster Car · of Entry	e		available S = rate suppressed
100%								100%			ССПОЦР	and icai	OI LIILIY			to count less than 1
								90%								persons
80%								80%		-						* County classificati changed with the 20
								70%		-						data.
60% —						e-Entries		60%		_					2018	
					- Ne	e-Ellules		50%		_		_		_	2019	
40%								40%		_	-				■ 2020	
								30%			-					
20%						rst Time		20%		_					2021	
						itries		10%				_			■ 2022*	
0%	100%	100%	100%	6 100		rcluding ercent)		0%	-							
2018	2019	2020	2021	1 202					0-2	3-5	6-8	9-11	12-14	15-20		
Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS of	ata							Source: PPC's	analysis of AFCAI	RS data						

Fulton (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in and out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who re-enter is typically older.

-,			9					, , , , , , , ,						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Indicator			2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
maioutor		County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Re-Entering Fost	er Care																
•	ries During the Year	0	209	2,469	NA	220	2,182	0	169	1,746	0	186	1,681	0	92	1,913	_
	ercent of All Entries	0.0%	21.7%	24.5%	0.0%	21.8%	23.1%	0.0%	20.3%	24.0%	0.0%	21.9%	23.0%	0.0%	19.9%	26.2%	_
	Crociit of 7th Entries	0.070	21.770	24.070	0.070	21.070	20.170	0.070	20.070	24.070	0.070	21.570	20.070	0.070	10.070	20.270	
By Age					1			I									
by Age	0-2	0.0%	10.5%	10.8%	0.0%	11.4%	11.9%	0.0%	14.8%	10.5%	0.0%	11.3%	12.3%	0.0%	S	11.9%	
	3-5	0.0%	17.2%	12.6%	0.0%	12.7%	12.7%	0.0%	17.2%	10.5%	0.0%	13.4%	12.3%	0.0%	12.0%	12.3%	-
	5-5 6-8	0.0%	12.4%	12.0%	0.0%	14.1%		0.0%	10.1%		0.0%			0.0%			-
	9-11	0.0%	9.6%	11.5%	0.0%	13.2%	11.9% 10.4%	0.0%	8.9%	11.0% 12.0%	0.0%	10.2% 8.6%	10.9% 11.0%	0.0%	22.8% S	12.2% 11.0%	-
																	-
	12-14	0.0%	12.0%	17.7%	0.0%	20.9%	18.7%	0.0%	21.9%	17.7%	0.0%	28.5%	20.2%	0.0%	14.1%	19.9%	-
	15-20	0.0%	38.3%	35.4%	0.0%	27.7%	34.4%	0.0%	27.2%	37.9%	0.0%	28.0%	32.7%	0.0%	32.6%	32.6%	-
	Infants (age 0-1)	0.0%	7.7%	6.4%	0.0%	S	6.9%	0.0%	10.7%	7.3%	0.0%	S	9.2%	0.0%	S	8.3%	-
	Youth (age 13+)	0.0%	47.8%	48.6%	0.0%	45.5%	48.7%	0.0%	45.0%	51.1%	0.0%	55.9%	47.7%	0.0%	43.5%	47.4%	-
By Race and Ethnicity																	
	on-Hispanic White	0.0%	89.0%	39.6%	0.0%	87.7%	52.7%	0.0%	88.2%	31.3%	0.0%	86.0%	42.8%	0.0%	84.8%	35.7%	-
Non-Hispanic Black or		0.0%	S	40.5%	0.0%	S	49.0%	0.0%	S	31.0%	0.0%	S	35.2%	0.0%	0.0%	42.6%	-
Non-His	panic Other Race	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	S	3.9%	0.0%	S	3.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Tv	wo or More Races	0.0%	S	7.1%	0.0%	S	11.2%	0.0%	S	5.4%	0.0%	S	6.5%	0.0%	S	7.8%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	S	11.1%	0.0%	S	15.0%	0.0%	S	9.6%	0.0%	S	11.6%	0.0%	S	10.1%	-
By Sex																	
	Male	0.0%	54.5%	49.1%	0.0%	56.8%	51.2%	0.0%	54.4%	49.0%	0.0%	54.3%	48.4%	0.0%	53.3%	46.1%	-
	Female	0.0%	45.5%	50.9%	0.0%	43.2%	48.8%	0.0%	45.6%	51.0%	0.0%	45.7%	51.6%	0.0%	46.7%	53.9%	-
By Placement Setting at	Re-Entry																
	Family Setting	0.0%	65.1%	66.7%	0.0%	69.1%	68.5%	0.0%	67.5%	>65.0%	0.0%	67.2%	>71.1%	0.0%	72.8%	74.4%	-
P	re-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	-
Foster Family	y Home – Relative	0.0%	22.5%	28.3%	0.0%	29.1%	32.5%	0.0%	28.4%	34.8%	0.0%	28.5%	36.4%	0.0%	23.9%	37.1%	-
Foster Family Hor	ne – Non-Relative	0.0%	42.6%	37.9%	0.0%	40.0%	35.3%	0.0%	39.1%	30.1%	0.0%	38.7%	34.7%	0.0%	48.9%	36.8%	-
Congr	egate Care Setting	0.0%	30.1%	28.0%	0.0%	28.2%	25.8%	0.0%	>22.5%	27.1%	0.0%	30.1%	21.2%	0.0%	>18.5%	19.2%	-
_	Group Home	0.0%	24.4%	18.4%	0.0%	22.3%	16.6%	0.0%	22.5%	16.5%	0.0%	24.2%	13.9%	0.0%	18.5%	12.1%	-
	Institution	0.0%	5.7%	9.6%	0.0%	5.9%	9.2%	0.0%	S	10.6%	0.0%	5.9%	7.3%	0.0%	S	7.2%	_
Supervised I	ndependent Living	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	S	1.6%	0.0%	S	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	<1.2%	_
	Runaway	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	S	4.2%	0.0%	S	4.8%	_
	Trial Home Visit	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	S	<1.0%	0.0%	S	<1.3%	0.0%	s	S	_
	THE HOLE VIOL						0.070	0.070									NA = Data not currently
			tries into							Per	cent of C	hildren b	y Placen	ient Sett	ing		available
	100%	oy Age Gr	oup and	Year of I	Re-Entry						at Re-Er	ntry to Fo	ster Car	e, 2022			S = rate suppressed due
]								to count less than 11 persons
	90%								County								* County classifications
	80%																changed with the 2022
	70%						2010		-								data.
	60%						2018		Rural								
	50%						2019		Nuldi								
	40%						2020		-								
	30%						2021										
	20%								State								
	10%						2022*										
	0%	-	-	-	-				0%		20%	40%	609	6	80%	100%	
	0-2	3-5	6-8	9-11	12-14	15-20					mily Setting		p Home	■ Instituti			
	Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS of	ata							Source: PPC's a	analysis of AFCAR	S data		Congreg	ate Care			

FOSTER CARE - PLACEMENT REASONS


County child welfare agencies are required to report the reasons in which a child experiences a placement episode. There are 15 factors defined by the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) technical bulletin issued by the Administration for Children and Families which are federally required data elements that the state must track and report.


A child can have more than one placement reason.

Indicator

Top Five Placement Reasons Of All Children in Foster Care During the Year

		2022	
	County	Rural	State
S	(all values suppressed)	38.8% Parent Drug Abuse	34.9% Parent Drug Abuse
S	(remaining values suppressed)	25.0% Neglect	25.6% Neglect
S	(remaining values suppressed)	21.6% Caretaker Inability to Cope	22.5% Caretaker Inability to Cope
S	(remaining values suppressed)	17.6% Inadequate Housing	16.2% Inadequate Housing
S	(remaining values suppressed)	17.3% Child's Behavior Problem	13.6% Child's Behavior Problem












S	(all values suppressed)	40.5% Parent Drug Abuse	35.9% Parent Drug Abuse
S	(remaining values suppressed)	23.8% Neglect	25.7% Neglect
S	(remaining values suppressed)	20.0% Caretaker Inability to Cope	22.0% Caretaker Inability to Cope
S	(remaining values suppressed)	15.9% Child's Behavior Problem	17.2% Inadequate Housing
S	(remaining values suppressed)	14.9% Inadequate Housing	12.6% Child's Behavior Problem








Of Re-Entries into Foster Care



Top 5 Placement Reasons Re-Entries in County



Top 5 Placement Reasons of Re-Entries in Pennsylvania



Placement reasons include abandonment, caretaker inability to cope due to illness or other reasons, child alcohol abuse, child disability, child drug abuse, child's behavior problem, death of parent(s), incarceration of parent(s), inadequate housing, neglect, parent alcohol abuse, parent drug abuse, physical abuse, relinquishment, sexual abuse.

Fulton (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - GOALS

All children in foster care have a court-ordered permanency goal, which directs the efforts of the child welfare agency toward a certain family outcome for each child. In addition to the court-ordered 'primary' goal, a 'concurrent' goal is put in place to ensure permanency is achieved if for some reason the primary goal cannot be met. This data provides information on the most recent primary goal for every child served in foster care during the federal fiscal year.

for every crilid served in loste	or care during the it	Jucial 113	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator		County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Percent of Children by Cas	e Plan Goal			- Ciuito	- county		- Ciuito						- Ciuito		110.10.1		
	ermanency Goals	100.0%	98.2%	95.1%	100.0%	98.3%	95.5%	>0.0%	99.0%	96.4%	>0.0%	98.9%	96.8%	>0.0%	94.5%	92.1%	<-100.0%
Reunify With Parent(s) or Princ		S	71.6%	65.3%	83.3%	70.4%	63.7%	S	70.6%	65.8%	S	70.3%	65.6%	S	70.7%	65.1%	-
, , ,	Adoption	S	20.2%	23.6%	0.0%	20.9%	24.5%	0.0%	22.2%	23.7%	0.0%	22.9%	25.0%	0.0%	22.4%	25.0%	-
	Guardianship	S	5.0%	5.1%	16.7%	5.5%	5.9%	0.0%	5.2%	5.4%	0.0%	5.1%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	-
Live	e with Relative(s)	0.0%	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	-
Another Planned Permanent Liv	ving Arrangement																
	(APPLA)	0.0%	>1.5%	4.9%	0.0%	1.7%	4.5%	0.0%	1.0%	3.6%	0.0%	1.1%	3.2%	>0.0%	5.5%	7.9%	-
Long T	Term Foster Care	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	S	1.4%	2.5%	-
	Emancipation	0.0%	1.5%	4.3%	0.0%	1.7%	4.4%	0.0%	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	1.1%	2.7%	0.0%	4.1%	5.4%	-
APPLA By Age as % of Age	Group Served				1			1			I			I			
All LA by Age as 70 of Age	0-15	0.0%	s	1.0%	0.0%	S	s	0.0%	0.0%	s	0.0%	0.0%	s	0.0%	s	0.1%	_
	16-20	0.0%	9.3%	25.1%	0.0%	1.6%	23.5%	0.0%	6.2%	19.6%	0.0%	6.2%	17.5%	S	6.2%	17.5%	_
	10-20	0.070	3.070	20.170	0.070	1.070	20.070	0.070	0.270	10.070	0.070	0.270	17.070		0.270	17.070	_
APPLA By Discharge Reason																	
Exit to Perman	nent Arrangements	0.0%	>0.0%	19.5%	0.0%	>0.0%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%	0.0%	>0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	>0.0%	21.3%	-
	Reunification	0.0%	S	16.9%	0.0%	S	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.0%	0.0%	S	18.5%	0.0%	S	18.3%	-
(number of months		0.0	11.9	18.6	0.0	2.1	19.2	0.0	0.0	25.1	0.0	30.4	34.1	0.0	0.1	42.9	-
	Adoption	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of mor	nths until adoption)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
	Guardianship	0.0%	S	2.3%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of month		0.0	28.5 0.0%	25.6	0.0	11.2	23.8	0.0	0.0	32.5 S	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0 S	24.0	-
(number of months until li	th Other Relatives	0.0%	0.0%	S 32.9	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	S 49.6	0.0%	0.0%	61.6	0.0%	0.0% 0.0	S 0.0	0.0%	7.1	S 12.0	-
Exit to Non-Permar		0.0%	>68.4%	80.5%	0.0%	> 85.2%	83.5%	0.0%	100.0%	75.6%	0.0%	85.7%	80.4%	0.0%	>0.0%	78.8%	-
Exit to Non-Perman	Emancipation	0.0%	68.4%	72.1%	0.0%	85.2%	74.3%	0.0%	100.0%	68.0%	0.0%	85.7%	68.2%	0.0%	S S	70.8%	_
(number of months เ	•	0.070	45.2	27.6	0.070	63.9	30.7	0.070	31.2	26.1	0.070	41.2	30.9	0.070	43.4	34.1	_
	to Another Agency	0.0%	S	5.3%	0.0%	S	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	-
	onths until transfer)	0.0	109.1	19.4	0.0	19.3	17.9	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	7.2	_
	Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of months เ	•	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	26.9	0.0	0.0	22.8	0.0	0.0	36.1	0.0	0.0	10.4	_
	Death of Child	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months u	until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	115.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
	Children	hv Most	Recent C	ase Plan	Goal					Chil	dren wit	h ΔΡΡΙ Δ	hy Disch	arge Rea	son		NA = Data not currently available
	100%	.,			-				2022*								S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11
	90%								2022*								persons
	80%					— ■ Reu	nification		2021								* County classifications
	70%					Ado	ntion		2021								changed with the 2022 data.
	60%		_				ption		2020								
	50%					🕅 Gua	rdianship		2020								
	40%					Live	with		2019								
	30%						tive(s)		2013								
	20%					APP			2018								
	10%								2010								
	0%								0%		20%	40%	60%		80%	100%	
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	*			■ Re	unification		Adoption	1		ardianship		
	Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS da								Source: PPC's	e WITH Othe analysis of AFCA	er Relatives RS data	■ Non-Per	manency				


Fulton (Rural)


FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the federal fiscal year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to ensure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Exiting Foster Care																
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	S	924	10,694	NA	998	10,801	S	970	8,712	0	839	8,439	S	522	8,850	-
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	S	46.8%	42.0%	66.7%	55.8%	43.8%	S	50.6%	40.2%	0.0%	46.9%	41.2%	S	48.8%	45.9%	-
(number of months until leaving)	26.1	10.6	13.0	9.9	11.1	15.9	15.2	11.4	15.4	0.0	13.4	33.2	16.4	12.1	15.7	-37.2%

By Discharge Reason																
Exit to Permanent Arrangements	71.4%	93.9%	88.6%	50.0%	90.9%	86.6%	>0.0%	93.5%	86.2%	0.0%	93.6%	87.1%	>0.0%	92.5%	86.3%	>-100.0%
Reunification	S	60.7%	52.5%	50.0%	56.7%	49.8%	S	55.9%	50.8%	0.0%	54.6%	49.8%	S	53.3%	50.8%	-
(number of months until reunification)	21.6	5.7	6.4	8.8	7.0	7.4	15.2	7.0	8.4	0.0	5.5	9.0	16.4	8.2	8.3	-24.0%
Adoption	S	19.5%	23.9%	0.0%	20.6%	25.2%	0.0%	22.8%	23.5%	0.0%	24.0%	25.4%	0.0%	20.9%	23.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	26.1	25.1	30.5	0.0	25.8	31.0	0.0	30.2	29.8	0.0	28.5	32.2	0.0	26.0	32.7	-100.0%
Guardianship	0.0%	9.6%	7.5%	0.0%	9.8%	7.6%	0.0%	8.6%	7.7%	0.0%	11.7%	7.6%	0.0%	10.2%	7.8%	-
(number of month until guardianship)	0.0	15.9	19.4	0.0	18.1	22.8	0.0	15.3	22.3	0.0	16.8	23.5	0.0	21.5	23.7	-
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	4.1%	4.6%	0.0%	3.7%	4.1%	0.0%	6.3%	4.1%	0.0%	3.3%	4.3%	0.0%	8.2%	4.6%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	6.8	2.1	0.0	2.1	2.5	0.0	4.4	2.4	0.0	8.1	2.0	0.0	5.6	4.0	-
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements	28.6%	6.1%	11.4%	50.0%	>8.2%	13.2%	0.0%	>6.1%	13.7%	0.0%	>5.4%	12.8%	0.0%	>5.7%	13.5%	-
Emancipation	S	4.5%	7.3%	50.0%	8.2%	9.3%	0.0%	6.1%	9.5%	0.0%	5.4%	7.9%	0.0%	5.7%	7.6%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	120.5	37.8	23.7	55.0	29.9	26.2	0.0	12.3	22.9	0.0	26.4	24.3	0.0	25.6	24.1	-100.0%
Transfer to Another Agency	S	1.5%	3.5%	0.0%	S	3.5%	0.0%	S	3.7%	0.0%	S	4.1%	0.0%	S	5.3%	-
(number of months until transfer)	13.1	25.5	7.9	0.0	12.2	5.5	0.0	0.2	6.6	0.0	10.6	8.0	0.0	10.2	4.2	-100.0%
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	0.0	17.8	0.0	0.0	10.4	-
Death of Child	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	-
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	90.0	6.1	0.0	10.0	5.9	0.0	13.4	15.3	-





NA = Data not currently available

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons


^{*} County classifications changed with the 2022


Fulton (Rural)


FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE

Data on children remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

icator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chan
icator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Idren Remaining in Foster Care																
Children in Foster Care on Last Day	S	1,142	16,074	NA	1,153	15,082	1	1,005	13,949	S	1,017	13,033	S	576	11,866	-
(number of months children have been in care)	3.9	10.4	14.2	7.0	11.4	14.0	11.7	10.2	16.4	8.5	10.2	16.8	9.8	11.1	15.2	147.5%
By Age																
0-2	S	18.7%	21.0%	0.0%	22.1%	21.6%	0.0%	22.4%	22.2%	0.0%	21.9%	23.0%	0.0%	21.9%	22.8%	-
3-5	0.0%	16.6%	17.5%	0.0%	16.7%	17.7%	0.0%	17.5%	18.0%	0.0%	17.8%	17.9%	S	18.4%	17.8%	_
6-8	0.0%	13.0%	13.6%	50.0%	13.9%	13.8%	S	12.6%	13.9%	S	12.0%	13.6%	S	13.4%	13.4%	_
9-11	0.0%	12.1%	12.2%	0.0%	12.8%	12.1%	0.0%	12.1%	12.1%	S	9.7%	11.5%	0.0%	9.7%	11.4%	-
12-14	S	13.9%	12.3%	0.0%	14.4%	13.3%	0.0%	15.3%	13.1%	S	17.4%	13.0%	0.0%	13.7%	13.4%	-
15-20	S	25.7%	18.3%	50.0%	20.0%	17.7%	0.0%	20.0%	17.2%	0.0%	21.1%	16.7%	S	22.9%	17.9%	_
Infants (age 0-1)	S	12.3%	13.7%	0.0%	15.4%	14.4%	0.0%	14.3%	14.5%	0.0%	15.1%	15.0%	0.0%	15.1%	14.8%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	34.7%	31.9%	50.0%	34.4%	34.8%	0.0%	31.0%	29.9%	0.0%	32.7%	29.7%	S	33.0%	30.8%	-
By Race and Ethnicity				l			l			l						
Non-Hispanic White	s	89.2%	42.2%	100.0%	88.8%	42.3%	s	87.9%	41.8%	S	85.0%	43.1%	S	85.6%	43.5%	_
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	3.0%	35.4%	0.0%	2.8%	34.4%	0.0%	3.0%	33.7%	0.0%	2.4%	32.2%	0.0%	S	31.2%	_
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%	S	2.6%	0.0%	2.4%	3.7%	0.0%	3.0%	4.5%	0.0%	3.6%	4.9%	_
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	3.5%	7.1%	0.0%	<4.4%	7.8%	0.0%	4.0%	8.3%	0.0%	6.4%	8.0%	0.0%	<5.9%	8.0%	_
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	2.9%	13.0%	0.0%	3.5%	13.0%	0.0%	2.8%	12.5%	0.0%	3.2%	12.2%	0.0%	3.3%	12.5%	-
Dis Cons				1			1					l				
By Sex Male	s	52.5%	50.9%	50.0%	52.4%	50.6%	s	53.3%	50.8%	s	54.3%	50.2%	s	54.2%	50.7%	
Female	S	47.5%	49.1%	50.0%	47.6%	49.4%	0.0%	46.7%	49.2%	S	45.7%	49.8%	S	45.8%	49.3%	-
remale		47.5%	49.1%	50.0%	47.0%	49.4%	0.0%	40.7%	49.2%	3	45.7%	49.6%	3	45.6%	49.3%	
By Latest Placement Setting																
Family Setting	>0.0%	81.5%	84.0%	50.0%	82.3%	85.1%	>0.0%	82.6%	86.3%	>0.0%	>80.2%	86.6%	>0.0%	>81.8%	86.4%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	2.1%	4.0%	0.0%	1.4%	3.2%	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	3.5%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	0.0%	29.1%	37.8%	0.0%	31.0%	39.4%	0.0%	30.9%	41.5%	0.0%	33.3%	43.0%	0.0%	36.1%	40.7%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	S	50.4%	42.2%	50.0%	50.0%	42.5%	S	49.0%	42.2%	S	46.9%	42.4%	S	45.7%	42.1%	-
Congregate Care Setting	50.0%	17.4%	13.2%	50.0%	15.6%	11.9%	0.0%	15.3%	10.7%	>0.0%	17.3%	10.4%	>0.0%	13.7%	10.8%	>-100.0%
Group Home	S	11.8%	7.9%	50.0%	11.4%	7.0%	0.0%	11.1%	5.9%	0.0%	12.6%	6.4%	0.0%	8.2%	6.4%	-
Institution	S	5.6%	5.3%	0.0%	4.2%	4.9%	0.0%	4.2%	4.8%	S	4.7%	4.1%	S	5.6%	4.4%	-
Supervised Independent Living	S	S	1.5%	0.0%	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	S	1.1%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	S	1.1%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	s	0.4%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	<1.9%	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	2.1%	0.6%	







Fulton (Rural)

DISPROPORTIONALITY

Disproportionality shows the level at which groups of children are present in the child welfare system at higher or lower rates than in the general population. The index is calculated by taking the proportion of children in foster care for a given race and dividing it by the proportion of the same race group in the child population (age 0-20).

Indicator

CPS/GPS Race and Ethnicity

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

		2022		
CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allegations
85.4%	100.0%	-	-	95.4%
7.3%	0.0%	-	-	1.1%
0.0%	0.0%	-	-	0.0%
0.0%	0.0%	-	-	2.3%
7.3%	0.0%	-	-	1.1%

General Population Age 0-17
90.9%
1.1%
0.7%
5.1%
2.1%

	, ,			
100%	Dispr	oportionality by	CPS and GPS, 2022	2
100%	2000		■ CPS Referrals	
80%			Substantiated CF	S Referrals
			■ Children with Va	lid GPS Allegations
60%	100		Expected Rate (C	General Population)
40%		ba	irs above the expected i	ate
40%		de	emonstrate disproportio	nality
20%	100			
	910 S 910 S 910 S	_		_
0%	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Non-Hispanic Two	Hispanic
		or African American	or more races	

Indicator

Foster Care Race and Ethnicity

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

2022										
Total Time Served Entries		Exits	Re- entries	In Care 9/30						
S	S	S	0.0%	S						
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						

General Population Age 0-20						
91.5%						
1.0%						
0.7%						
4.8%						
2.0%						

Source: PA DHS

Racial Disproportionality Index

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

S	S	S	0.0	S
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	Scores less than 1.0 are
	indicative of
	underrepresentation,
)	scores of 1.0 indicate no
)	disproportionality and scores of 1.1 and greater
	scores of 1.1 and greater
)	indicate
)	overrepresentation.

Children Remaining in Care By Specific Latest Placement Settings


Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

2022									
Pre- Relative Adoptive Family Home Home		Non- Relative Family Home	Group Home	Institution					
0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%					
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					

In Care 9/30
S
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%
0.0%

		(Overrepresentation
Hispanic or Latino			■ Total Served
Non-Hispanic Two or More Other Race Races			First Time Entries Exits Re-entries In Care 9/30
Non-Hispanic Black or African American			
Non-Hispanic White			
	1 PPC analysis of AFCARS data dicative of underrepresentation, s	2 cores of 1.0 indicate no disproportionality a	3 4 and scores of 1.1 and greater indicate

Racial Disproportionality Index. 2022



DISPARITY

Disparity demonstrates the lack of equality between two racial groups in the child welfare system. The index is expressed as the ratio of one racial group's disproportionality index by another racial group's disproportionality metric. The computed value represents whether children of different racial groups are in the child welfare system at the same rate.


Indicator

Racial Disparity Index

Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Other Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Two or More Non-Hispanic Black / Hispanic Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic Two or More Non-Hispanic Other / Hispanic Non-Hispanic Two or More / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Two or More / Hispanic Hispanic / Non-Hispanic White

CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allega- tions	
7.2	-			0.4	Scores near 1.0 are indicative of no dispari between the race or ethnicity shown.

	2022										
	Total Served	First Time Entries	Exits	Re- entries	In Care 9/30						
	-	-	-	-	-						
ity	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	-						
		_			_						
	_	_			_						
	-	-	-	-	-						
	1	-	-	-	-						



Fulton (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - STABILITY

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements may struggle to build and maintain healthy relationships and confront academic challenges due to school changes.

Indicator

Foster Care Placement Stability

Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.

	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*	
County	Rural	State												
0.0%	30.8%	31.8%	1	35.2%	31.1%	Ø	30.5%	28.4%	Ø	29.9%	25.6%	Ø	24.5%	25.2%

County % Change 2018 to 2022*

County % Change

2018 to 2022*

-100.0%

FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

Indicator

Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives

Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural	State												
33.3%	41.1%	37.3%	66.7%	44.4%	38.7%	S	45.9%	36.8%	0.0%	47.8%	36.6%	0.0%	41.1%	37.8%

FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

Indicator

Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry)

Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives. (Data may be overstated.)

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural	State												
0.0%	22.2%	21.4%	0.0%	21.8%	22.3%	0.0%	16.5%	20.2%	0.0%	13.6%	18.1%	-	19.7%	19.1%

County % Change 2018 to 2022*

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons * County classifications changed with the 2022 data.



PPC is part of a network coordinated and supported by the Annie E. Casey Foundation We gratefully acknowledge the support of Alliance for Early Success, The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT Project, The Heinz Endowments and PNC Financial Services Group.



Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children 200 North Third Street, 13th Floor Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1589 papartnerships.org 717.236.5680