

### CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports	290	4,454	44,063	242	4,403	42,252	211	3,705	32,919	205	4,195	38,013	172	4,830	39,093	
Total reported incidents of suspected child abuse and																-40.7%
neglect through the ChildLine Registry.	28.8	40.9	16.6	24.0	40.4	15.9	20.9	34.0	12.4	20.3	38.5	14.3	17.1	19.8	14.8	-+0.770
(Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)																
Child Abuse and Neglect Substantiations																
Founded or indicated reports of child abuse and/or	13.4%	14.1%	11.6%	9.1%	14.3%	11.5%	15.6%	15.8%	14.0%	15.6%	15.4%	13.2%	8.1%	14.1%	12.8%	-39.5%
neglect.				0.170				101070		10.070		101270	0		.2.070	00.070
	·													· · · · ·		
Repeated Child Abuse and Neglect	5.1%	7.8%	5.2%	18.2%	8.7%	5.7%	9.1%	6.8%	6.2%	9.4%	7.9%	6.5%	14.3%	9.0%	6.4%	
Children with another substantiated incident of abuse																470.00/
and/or neglect following the first reported incident.	1 in 20	1 in 13	1 in 19	1 in 6	1 in 11	1 in 18	1 in 11	1 in 15	1 in 16	1 in 11	1 in 13	1 in 15	1 in 7	1 in 11	1 in 16	178.6%
(Data may be overstated.)																





#### **GENERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES (GPS)**

Reports not alleging abuse of a child are categorized as general protective services (GPS) reports. Addressing concerns related to child safety and well-being before allegations of child abuse or severe neglect are made enables children to remain safely in their own homes while services are provided to the family to mitigate the risk of potential maltreatment.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
GPS Reports Total reported concerns of general neglect, not alleging child abuse.	1,061	18,611	169,723	1,071	19,862	178,124	873	17,537	152,478	849	18,161	161,709	814	20,997	165,295	-23.3%
Valid GPS Reports Reports in which the allegations were found to have merit. Includes reports accepted for in-home services and reports referred out to community agencies for services.	26.2%	27.3%	23.6%	29.0%	29.2%	23.5%	38.7%	31.6%	25.6%	49.9%	32.9%	27.1%	51.1%	28.0%	24.2%	95.0%
Children with Valid Allegations Number of children with a valid GPS allegation by valid report. Children may be counted more than once if more than one report was found to have merit.	469	7,480	58,982	467	8,601	60,755	536	8,259	56,999	633	8,711	63,801	657	8,651	57,876	40.1%
		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Unduplicated Number of Children Served Children who received in-home services along with parents to address concerns related to child safety and well-being.	2,540	28,544	194,761	780	24,606	187,280	1,142	25,330	>181,435	1,128	26,164	192,594	1,102	25,299	211,150	-56.6%

### FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each federal fiscal year.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Unduplicated Number of Children Served																
All Children in Foster Care During the Year	83	1,973	25,441	NA	2,074	24,665	71	1,918	21,689	61	1,788	20,490	60	2,123	19,287	-27.7%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	7.2	15.2	8.0	7.0	16.0	7.7	6.2	14.8	6.8	5.3	13.8	6.4	5.2	7.2	6.0	
By Age				1			1			1			r			
0-2	28.9%	20.1%	20.2%	26.7%	19.8%	20.2%	25.4%	20.6%	20.9%	32.8%	20.1%	21.5%	23.3%	23.5%	21.1%	-19.3%
3-5	14.5%	16.1%	17.9%	8.1%	16.8%	17.8%	S	17.3%	17.8%	S	17.6%	18.2%	S	17.2%	18.2%	-
6-8	13.3%	12.7%	13.4%	16.3%	13.1%	13.8%	S	14.1%	13.5%	S	12.5%	13.7%	s	14.1%	13.7%	
9-11	<18.2%	11.4%	11.8%	15.1%	12.3%	11.7%	15.5%	12.3%	11.7%	S	10.6%	11.3%	s	10.2%	11.2%	
12-14	S S	13.5%	11.8%	19.8%	13.5%	12.3%	18.3%	13.7%	12.6%	S	14.5%	12.6%	18.3%	12.5%	12.8%	
12-14	13.3%	22.0%	18.7%	11.6%	20.9%	12.5%	10.370 S	19.1%	17.9%	23.0%	20.5%	16.4%	21.7%	20.5%	18.1%	- 63.5%
18-20	0.0%	4.2%	6.3%	2.3%	3.6%	5.6%	S	3.0%	5.6%	23.070 S	4.1%	6.4%	0.0%	20.5%	4.9%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	22.9%	4.2%	12.7%	17.4%	13.0%	12.9%	15.5%	12.7%	13.2%	23.0%	13.4%	13.7%	0.0% S	15.5%	13.3%	-
Youth (age 13+)	20.5%	35.3%	33.1%	31.4%	34.4%	32.6%	31.0%	31.9%	32.3%	32.8%	34.5%	31.3%	36.7%	31.9%	32.0%	- 79.0%
fouri (age 15+)	20.5%	30.3%	33.1%	31.4%	34.4%	32.0%	31.0%	31.9%	32.3%	32.0%	34.3%	31.3%	30.7%	31.9%	32.0%	79.0%
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	75.9%	87.1%	43.7%	81.4%	88.5%	43.7%	73.2%	88.0%	44.2%	75.4%	85.2%	44.3%	86.7%	80.1%	45.0%	14.2%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	3.8%	33.9%	2.3%	2.5%	33.0%	S	3.1%	32.0%	S	2.5%	30.7%	0.0%	5.5%	29.8%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.5%	2.3%	0.0%	1.4%	2.6%	S	1.9%	3.6%	0.0%	2.7%	4.2%	0.0%	3.9%	4.8%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	4.1%	7.1%	5.8%	4.0%	7.7%	S	4.3%	8.0%	S	5.6%	8.4%	S	6.1%	8.2%	-
Hispanic or Latino	13.3%	3.6%	13.0%	10.5%	3.6%	12.9%	16.9%	2.7%	12.3%	S	3.9%	12.3%	S	4.5%	12.2%	-
By Sex													I			
Male	56.6%	52.2%	50.8%	55.8%	52.4%	50.8%	43.7%	52.1%	50.6%	54.1%	53.5%	50.5%	60.0%	53.1%	50.3%	6.0%
Female	43.4%	47.8%	49.2%	44.2%	47.6%	49.2%	56.3%	47.9%	49.4%	45.9%	46.5%	49.5%	40.0%	46.9%	49.7%	-7.8%
By Latest Placement Setting		70 70/	04.0%	00 <b>7</b> %	70.0%	00 70		04.00/	00.0%	05.00/	70.0%	04.0%	0.5.0%	00 7%	04.00%	
Family Setting	>89.1%	78.7%	81.6%	90.7%	79.8%	82.7%	>87.2%	81.0%	83.8%	85.2%	79.6%	84.8%	85.0%	82.7%	84.9%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	3.2%	4.5%	0.0%	2.7%	3.8%	0.0%	2.7%	2.9%	0.0%	2.2%	2.3%	0.0%	0.5%	3.5%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	>39.7%	29.9%	37.1%	33.7%	32.4%	38.7%	>29.5%	33.5%	40.8%	31.1%	35.4%	42.0%	38.3%	41.8%	42.1%	<-3.4%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	49.4%	45.6%	40.1%	57.0%	44.6%	40.3%	57.7%	44.8%	40.1%	54.1%	42.1%	40.5%	46.7%	40.4%	39.3%	-5.5%
Congregate Care Setting	>0.0%	18.6%	14.0%	9.3%	15.9%	12.7%	>0.0%	13.6%	11.5%	14.8%	16.6%	10.5%	>0.0%	13.5%	10.4%	-
Group Home	0.0%	13.4%	8.5%	0.0%	11.5%	7.7%	0.0%	10.3%	6.7%	S	11.9%	6.4%	0.0%	10.3%	6.3%	-
Institution	S	5.2%	5.6%	9.3%	4.3%	5.0%	S	3.3%	4.8%	S	4.7%	4.2%	S	3.3%	4.1%	-
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	S	1.5%	0.0%	S	1.6%	0.0%	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	<0.7%	1.4%	-
Runaway	0.0%	S 2.2%	1.4%	0.0% 0.0%	S 3.6%	1.4%	0.0%	S	1.9%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	S	1.5%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	2.2%	1.4%	0.0%	3.6%	1.5%	0.0%	4.9%	1.6%	0.0%	<3.7%	1.8%	S	<3.2%	1.7%	-
Children Entering Foster Care																
All Entries into Foster Care During the Year	47	962	10,095	NA	1,009	9,448	23	834	7,286	24	851	7,316	26	957	7,307	-44.7%
_				Dorcont a		dron Cor	ved by P		Catting	2022					rrently availab	
				Percent C		uren ser	veu by P	acemen	i setting,	, 2022						Int less than 11 persons
			Count	/									~ Col	unty classific	ations change	ed with the 2022 data.
			Rural	-			_									
			Mix													
				_												
			State													
			State													

Congregate Care

40% Group Home 60% Institution

80%

Other

100%

0% 20 ■ Family Setting

Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS data

20%

40%

20%

0%

91%

2018

Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS data

88%

2019

91%

2020

88%

2021

100%

2022\*

### **FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES**

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past federal fiscal year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

ndicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chang
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
hildren Entering for the First Time																
First Time Entries During the Year	43	753	7,626	NA	789	7,266	21	665	5,540	21	665	5,635	26	798	5,394	-39.5%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	3.7	5.8	2.4	1.8	6.1	2.3	1.8	5.1	1.7	1.8	5.1	1.8	2.3	2.7	1.7	-09.070
First Time Entries as Percent of All Entries	91.5%	78.3%	75.5%	88.0%	78.2%	76.9%	91.3%	79.7%	76.0%	87.5%	78.1%	77.0%	100.0%	83.4%	73.8%	9.3%
By Age				I			1			<b>I</b>			1			
0-2	44.2%	29.9%	34.4%	40.9%	31.6%	35.1%	s	29.6%	38.2%	s	29.9%	38.5%	s	32.6%	36.2%	-
3-5	S	14.3%	15.4%	9.1%	16.5%	14.8%	S	15.9%	14.5%	S	15.8%	14.7%	S	15.2%	14.2%	-
6-8	S	11.6%	11.5%	4.5%	12.3%	11.8%	s	13.4%	11.4%	s	11.7%	11.3%	S	14.4%	11.7%	-
9-11	S	9.3%	10.3%	13.6%	10.8%	10.7%	s	11.1%	9.7%	0.0%	9.9%	9.3%	s	10.0%	9.5%	-
12-14	S	16.2%	12.8%	27.3%	13.7%	12.9%	s	16.2%	12.5%	s	15.5%	12.6%	S	12.8%	13.0%	-
15-20	s	18.7%	15.6%	4.5%	15.2%	14.6%	s	13.7%	13.7%	s	17.1%	13.7%	s	15.0%	15.4%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	34.9%	22.3%	28.0%	36.4%	25.7%	29.1%	S	22.3%	31.7%	S	24.1%	32.3%	S	26.8%	29.7%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	30.7%	24.7%	18.2%	20.7%	19.5%	s	25.6%	22.6%	s	28.7%	22.5%	s	25.3%	25.2%	_
	r	1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1		
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	76.7%	87.6%	48.3%	100.0%	88.3%	47.6%	71.4%	86.2%	49.7%	71.4%	81.8%	50.0%	92.3%	78.4%	50.1%	20.3%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	4.0%	29.3%	0.0%	1.9%	28.4%	0.0%	3.0%	25.2%	0.0%	1.8%	23.6%	0.0%	6.3%	23.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.5%	2.7%	0.0%	1.5%	3.7%	0.0%	3.5%	5.3%	S	3.6%	5.8%	0.0%	5.4%	6.1%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	3.5%	7.7%	0.0%	4.2%	7.7%	S	5.6%	8.2%	S	7.8%	8.4%	S	5.5%	8.0%	-
Hispanic or Latino	S	3.5%	12.0%	0.0%	4.1%	12.6%	S	1.8%	11.6%	S	5.0%	12.1%	S	4.4%	11.9%	-
By Sex																
Male	55.8%	50.5%	50.7%	59.1%	50.6%	50.8%	s	51.6%	50.5%	>61.8%	53.2%	49.7%	>61.4%	54.1%	50.4%	<10.0%
Female	44.2%	49.5%	49.3%	40.9%	49.4%	49.2%	>71.3%	48.4%	49.5%	S	46.8%	50.3%	S	45.9%	49.6%	-10.070
- Cindio	44.270	40.070	+0.070	40.070	-070	40.270	-71.070	+0.+70	40.070	0	40.070	00.070	Ū	40.070	40.070	
By First Reported Placement Setting																
Family Setting	>85.9%	>79.9%	83.8%	86.4%	>84.3%	85.7%	>52.4%	85.9%	>87.5%	>52.4%	>81.5%	>87.5%	>50.0%	87.3%	86.9%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	>46.4%	34.7%	39.9%	22.7%	39.8%	41.6%	S	39.5%	44.3%	52.4%	42.1%	45.8%	S	51.3%	46.6%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	39.5%	45.3%	43.7%	63.6%	44.5%	43.7%	52.4%	46.3%	43.1%	S	39.4%	41.7%	50.0%	36.1%	40.1%	26.5%
Congregate Care Setting	>0.0%	18.5%	14.3%	13.6%	14.3%	12.7%	>0.0%	12.3%	10.6%	>0.0%	16.1%	10.4%	>0.0%	11.0%	11.1%	-
Group Home	0.0%	13.7%	9.4%	0.0%	11.2%	8.5%	0.0%	9.0%	7.2%	0.0%	12.3%	7.4%	0.0%	8.0%	7.2%	-
Institution	S	4.8%	4.9%	13.6%	3.2%	4.2%	S	3.3%	3.4%	S	3.8%	3.0%	S	3.0%	4.0%	-
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.2%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	<1.6%	1.1%	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	<1.8%	0.9%	0.0%	<2.4%	<1.2%	0.0%	<1.6%	0.9%	-
	Children	n Enterin	- Foster	Cara						First Ti	me Entri	es into Fo	oster Car	e		NA = Data not currer available
100%	Ciniaren	i ciiterin	groster	Lare						by Ag	e Group	and Year	of Entry			S = rate suppressed
10070								50%	-	~1~5						to count less than 11
								45%								persons
80%								40% —	-							* County classificatio changed with the 202
								35% —	-							data.
60%								30%							2018	

2019

2020

2021

2022\*

Re-Entries

First Time

Entries

(including

percent)

25%

20%

15%

10%

5%

0%

0-2

Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS data

3-5

6-8

9-11

12-14

15-20

### FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in and out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who re-enter is typically older.

ndicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chang
uicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
hildren Re-Entering Foster Care																
Re-Entries During the Year	S	209	2,469	NA	220	2,182	S	169	1,746	S	186	1,681	0	159	1,913	-
Re-Entries as Percent of All Entries	S	21.7%	24.5%	12.0%	21.8%	23.1%	S	20.3%	24.0%	S	21.9%	23.0%	0.0%	16.6%	26.2%	-
By Age				1			1			1			1			
0-2	0.0%	10.5%	10.8%	0.0%	11.4%	11.9%	0.0%	14.8%	10.5%	0.0%	11.3%	12.3%	0.0%	13.2%	11.9%	-
3-5	S	17.2%	12.6%	0.0%	12.7%	12.7%	0.0%	17.2%	10.9%	0.0%	13.4%	12.8%	0.0%	11.3%	12.3%	-
6-8	S	12.4%	12.1%	0.0%	14.1%	11.9%	0.0%	10.1%	11.0%	s	10.2%	10.9%	0.0%	15.1%	12.2%	-
9-11	0.0%	9.6%	11.5%	0.0%	13.2%	10.4%	0.0%	8.9%	12.0%	0.0%	8.6%	11.0%	0.0%	11.3%	11.0%	-
12-14	0.0%	12.0%	17.7%	66.7%	20.9%	18.7%	S	21.9%	17.7%	S	28.5%	20.2%	0.0%	15.1%	19.9%	-
15-20	S	38.3%	35.4%	33.3%	27.7%	34.4%	0.0%	27.2%	37.9%	0.0%	28.0%	32.7%	0.0%	34.0%	32.6%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	0.0%	7.7%	6.4%	0.0%	S	6.9%	0.0%	10.7%	7.3%	0.0%	S	9.2%	0.0%	10.1%	8.3%	-
Youth (age 13+)	S	47.8%	48.6%	100.0%	45.5%	48.7%	S	45.0%	51.1%	S	55.9%	47.7%	0.0%	46.5%	47.4%	-
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	S	89.0%	39.6%	33.3%	87.7%	52.7%	S	88.2%	31.3%	S	86.0%	42.8%	0.0%	84.9%	35.7%	-
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	S	40.5%	33.3%	S	49.0%	0.0%	S	31.0%	0.0%	S	35.2%	0.0%	S	42.6%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	S	3.9%	0.0%	S	3.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	S	7.1%	0.0%	S	11.2%	0.0%	S	5.4%	S	S	6.5%	0.0%	S	7.8%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	S	11.1%	33.3%	S	15.0%	0.0%	S	9.6%	0.0%	S	11.6%	0.0%	S	10.1%	-
By Sex							1									
Male	S	54.5%	49.1%	0.0%	56.8%	51.2%	S	54.4%	49.0%	S	54.3%	48.4%	0.0%	46.5%	46.1%	-
Female	S	45.5%	50.9%	100.0%	43.2%	48.8%	0.0%	45.6%	51.0%	S	45.7%	51.6%	0.0%	53.5%	53.9%	-
By Placement Setting at Re-Entry	<b></b>			I			r			I			I			
Family Setting	>74.9%	65.1%	66.7%	66.7%	69.1%	68.5%	>0.0%	67.5%	>65.0%	100.0%	67.2%	>71.1%	0.0%	75.5%	74.4%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	S	22.5%	28.3%	0.0%	29.1%	32.5%	S	28.4%	34.8%	S	28.5%	36.4%	0.0%	34.6%	37.1%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	S	42.6%	37.9%	66.7%	40.0%	35.3%	0.0%	39.1%	30.1%	S	38.7%	34.7%	0.0%	40.9%	36.8%	-
Congregate Care Setting	>0.0%	30.1%	28.0%	33.3%	28.2%	25.8%	>0.0%	>22.5%	27.1%	0.0%	30.1%	21.2%	0.0%	>18.2%	19.2%	-
Group Home	0.0%	24.4%	18.4%	0.0%	22.3%	16.6%	0.0%	22.5%	16.5%	0.0%	24.2%	13.9%	0.0%	18.2%	12.1%	-
Institution	S	5.7%	9.6%	33.3%	5.9%	9.2%	S	S	10.6%	0.0%	5.9%	7.3%	0.0%	S	7.2%	-
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	S	1.6%	0.0%	S	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	<1.2%	-
Runaway	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	S	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	S	<1.0%	0.0%	S	<1.3%	0.0%	<0.1%	S	- NA = Data not currer
	-	tries into							Per	cent of C		•		ing		available
100%	by Age Gi	oup and	Year of F	Re-Entry						at Re-Er	ntry to Fo	ster Care	e, 2022			S = rate suppressed to count less than 11
90%				_												persons
80%				_				County								* County classification
70%				_												changed with the 20 data.
60%		_				2018		Rural-								uata.
50%		_				2019		Mix								
40%		_				2020										
30%		_														
20%		_			· · · · ·	2021		State								
10%		_			· · · · ·	2022*										
0%									5	20%	40%	60%	6	80%	100%	
0-2	3-5	6-8	9-11	12-14	15-20					nily Setting		p Home	Instituti			
Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS								Courses ppcla	analysis of AFCAR			Congrega	ata Caro			

#### FOSTER CARE - PLACEMENT REASONS

County child welfare agencies are required to report the reasons in which a child experiences a placement episode. There are 15 factors defined by the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) technical bulletin issued by the Administration for Children and Families which are federally required data elements that the state must track and report.

A child can have more than one placement reason.


ndicator			2022		
Top Five Placement Reasons		County	Rural-Mix	State	
Of All Children in Foster Care		nability to Cope	38.7% Parent Drug Abuse	34.9% Parent Drug Abuse	
During the Year	35.0% Parent Dru	g Abuse	20.6% Caretaker Inability to Cope	25.6% Neglect	
	30.0% Neglect		19.6% Inadequate Housing	22.5% Caretaker Inability to Cope	
	30.0% Inadequate		19.0% Child's Behavior Problem	16.2% Inadequate Housing	
	21.7% Child's Beh	avior Problem	14.7% Neglect	13.6% Child's Behavior Problem	
Top 5 Placement Reasons of All Children in County	n Foster Care in	•	sons of All Children in Foster Care in ıral-Mix Counties	Top 5 Placement Reasons of All Children Pennsylvania	in Foster Care in
Caretaker Inability to Cope Parent Drug Abuse Neglect	Child's Inadequate Behav Housing Proble		aretaker ability to Cope Housing Problem Neglect	Parent Drug Abuse Neglect Caretaker	Child's Behavia Inadequat r e Housing Probler
Of First-Time Entries into Foster Care	42.3% Neglect S (remaining S (remaining	nability to Cope values suppressed) values suppressed)	<ul> <li>40.2% Parent Drug Abuse</li> <li>23.2% Inadequate Housing</li> <li>17.9% Neglect</li> <li>16.7% Caretaker Inability to Cope</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>35.9% Parent Drug Abuse</li> <li>25.7% Neglect</li> <li>22.0% Caretaker Inability to Cope</li> <li>17.2% Inadequate Housing</li> </ul>	
	S (remaining	values suppressed)	16.3% Child's Behavior Problem	12.6% Child's Behavior Problem	
Top 5 Placement Reasons of First-Tim County	e Entries in	•	Reasons of First-Time Entries in Iral-Mix Counties	Top 5 Placement Reasons of First-Tir Pennsylvania	me Entries in
Caretaker Inability to Cope Neglect	(remaining values suppressed)	l Parent Drug Abuse	nadequate Housing Neglect Caretaker Child's Inability Behavior to Cope Problem	Caretaker Inability to Parent Drug Abuse Neglect Cope	
	`				
Of Re-Entries into Foster Care	S (remaining S (remaining S (remaining	suppressed) values suppressed) values suppressed) values suppressed) values suppressed)	<ul> <li>34.0% Child's Behavior Problem</li> <li>27.0% Parent Drug Abuse</li> <li>19.5% Caretaker Inability to Cope</li> <li>11.9% Inadequate Housing</li> <li>11.3% Neglect</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>29.2% Child's Behavior Problem</li> <li>22.8% Neglect</li> <li>20.0% Parent Drug Abuse</li> <li>18.2% Caretaker Inability to Cope</li> <li>10.8% Inadequate Housing</li> </ul>	
Top 5 Placement Reasons Re-Entries	in County	Top 5 Placement Reaso	ns of Re-Entries in Rural-Mix Counties	Top 5 Placement Reasons of Re-Entries	in Pennsylvania
			Caretaker Inability to Inade	Child's Behavior Parent Drug	Caretaker Inability to Inade.


Placement reasons include abandonment, caretaker inability to cope due to illness or other reasons, child alcohol abuse, child isability, child drug abuse, child's behavior problem, death of parent(s), incarceration of parent(s), inadequate housing, neglect, parent alcohol abuse, parent drug abuse, physical abuse, relinquishment, sexual abuse.

### FOSTER CARE - GOALS

All children in foster care have a court-ordered permanency goal, which directs the efforts of the child welfare agency toward a certain family outcome for each child. In addition to the court-ordered 'primary' goal, a 'concurrent' goal is put in place to ensure permanency is achieved if for some reason the primary goal cannot be met. This data provides information on the most recent primary goal for every child served in foster care during the federal fiscal year.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Percent of Children by Case Plan Goal																
Family-Based Permanency Goals	>97.5%	98.2%	95.1%	100.0%	98.3%	95.5%	>95.8%	99.0%	96.4%	>98.4%	98.9%	96.8%	95.0%	90.3%	92.1%	<-2.6%
Reunify With Parent(s) or Principal Caretaker(s)	84.3%	71.6%	65.3%	69.8%	70.4%	63.7%	70.4%	70.6%	65.8%	72.1%	70.3%	65.6%	56.7%	70.2%	65.1%	-32.8%
Adoption	S	20.2%	23.6%	24.4%	20.9%	24.5%	25.4%	22.2%	23.7%	26.2%	22.9%	25.0%	38.3%	19.3%	25.0%	-
Guardianship	S	5.0%	5.1%	5.8%	5.5%	5.9%	S	5.2%	5.4%	S	5.1%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	-
Live with Relative(s)	0.0%	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.4%	-
Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangement																_
(APPLA)	>0.0%	>1.5%	4.9%	0.0%	1.7%	4.5%	0.0%	1.0%	3.6%	0.0%	1.1%	3.2%	>0.0%	9.7%	7.9%	_
Long Term Foster Care	S	S	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.8%	2.5%	-
Emancipation	0.0%	1.5%	4.3%	0.0%	1.7%	4.4%	0.0%	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	1.1%	2.7%	S	8.9%	5.4%	-
														r		
APPLA By Age as % of Age Group Served																
0-15	S	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	-
16-20	0.0%	9.3%	25.1%	0.0%	1.6%	23.5%	0.0%	6.2%	19.6%	0.0%	6.2%	17.5%	0.0%	5.2%	17.5%	-
APPLA By Discharge Reason										1			1			
Exit to Permanent Arrangements	>0.0%	>0.0%	19.5%	0.0%	>0.0%	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%	0.0%	>0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	21.3%	
Reunification	S	S	16.9%	0.0%	S	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.0%	0.0%	S	18.5%	0.0%	0.0%	18.3%	
(number of months until reunification)	3.7	11.9	18.6	0.0	2.1	19.2	0.0	0.0	25.1	0.0	30.4	34.1	0.0	0.0	42.9	-100.0%
Adoption	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	_
Guardianship	0.0%	S	2.3%	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of month until guardianship)	0.0	28.5	25.6	0.0	11.2	23.8	0.0	0.0	32.5	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	24.0	-
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	0.0	32.9	0.0	0.0	49.6	0.0	0.0	61.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	-
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements	0.0%	>68.4%	80.5%	0.0%	>85.2%	83.5%	0.0%	100.0%	75.6%	0.0%	85.7%	80.4%	0.0%	100.0%	78.8%	-
Emancipation	0.0%	68.4%	72.1%	0.0%	85.2%	74.3%	0.0%	100.0%	68.0%	0.0%	85.7%	68.2%	0.0%	100.0%	70.8%	_
(number of months until emancipation)	0.0	45.2	27.6	0.0	63.9	30.7	0.0	31.2	26.1	0.0	41.2	30.9	0.0	30.6	34.1	-
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	S	5.3%	0.0%	S	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	109.1	19.4	0.0	19.3	17.9	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	7.2	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	26.9	0.0	0.0	22.8	0.0	0.0	36.1	0.0	0.0	10.4	-
Death of Child	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	115.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
																NA = Data not current
Children	by Most	Recent C	ase Plan	Goal					Chi	ldren wit	h APPLA	by Disch	arge Rea	ison		available






NA = Data not currently available S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons \* County classifications changed with the 2022 data.

### FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the federal fiscal year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to ensure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.


Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chang
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Exiting Foster Care																
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	24	924	10,694	NA	998	10,801	33	970	8,712	27	839	8,439	26	1,016	8,850	8.3%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	28.9%	46.8%	42.0%	44.2%	55.8%	43.8%	46.5%	50.6%	40.2%	44.3%	46.9%	41.2%	43.3%	47.9%	45.9%	49.9%
(number of months until leaving)	6.1	10.6	13.0	17.7	11.1	15.9	27.3	11.4	15.4	19.6	13.4	33.2	15.5	12.3	15.7	154.2%
By Discharge Reason																
Exit to Permanent Arrangements	>62.5%	93.9%	88.6%	94.7%	90.9%	86.6%	97.0%	93.5%	86.2%	96.3%	93.6%	87.1%	96.2%	93.2%	86.3%	<53.8%
Reunification	62.5%	60.7%	52.5%	39.5%	56.7%	49.8%	S	55.9%	50.8%	51.9%	54.6%	49.8%	S	53.1%	50.8%	-
(number of months until reunification)	1.0	5.7	6.4	1.0	7.0	7.4	9.2	7.0	8.4	7.9	5.5	9.0	0.1	5.9	8.3	-90.0%
Adoption	S	19.5%	23.9%	39.5%	20.6%	25.2%	63.6%	22.8%	23.5%	44.4%	24.0%	25.4%	42.3%	20.7%	23.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	22.4	25.1	30.5	34.2	25.8	31.0	27.6	30.2	29.8	27.7	28.5	32.2	23.8	26.0	32.7	5.9%
Guardianship	0.0%	9.6%	7.5%	13.2%	9.8%	7.6%	S	8.6%	7.7%	0.0%	11.7%	7.6%	S	11.8%	7.8%	-
(number of month until guardianship)	0.0	15.9	19.4	20.7	18.1	22.8	10.1	15.3	22.3	0.0	16.8	23.5	20.9	22.0	23.7	-
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	4.1%	4.6%	2.6%	3.7%	4.1%	S	6.3%	4.1%	0.0%	3.3%	4.3%	S	7.6%	4.6%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	6.8	2.1	0.5	2.1	2.5	3.9	4.4	2.4	0.0	8.1	2.0	0.4	9.0	4.0	-
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements	>0.0%	6.1%	11.4%	5.3%	>8.2%	13.2%	>0.0%	>6.1%	13.7%	>0.0%	>5.4%	12.8%	>0.0%	6.7%	13.5%	-
Emancipation	S	4.5%	7.3%	5.3%	8.2%	9.3%	S	6.1%	9.5%	0.0%	5.4%	7.9%	S	5.7%	7.6%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	1.9	37.8	23.7	21.4	29.9	26.2	2.6	12.3	22.9	0.0	26.4	24.3	45.7	30.1	24.1	2340.3%
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	1.5%	3.5%	0.0%	S	3.5%	0.0%	S	3.7%	S	S	4.1%	0.0%	S	5.3%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	25.5	7.9	0.0	12.2	5.5	0.0	0.2	6.6	0.1	10.6	8.0	0.0	2.6	4.2	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	S	0.6%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	0.0	17.8	0.0	28.9	10.4	-
Death of Child	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	-
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	12.6	0.0	90.0	6.1	0.0	10.0	5.9	0.0	0.3	15.3	-




### **FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE**

Data on children remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

licator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chang
licator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*
ildren Remaining in Foster Care																
Children in Foster Care on Last Day	61	1,142	16,074	NA	1,153	15,082	38	1,005	13,949	36	1,017	13,033	34	1,161	11,866	-44.3%
(number of months children have been in care)	10.3	10.4	14.2	17.0	11.4	14.0	12.8	10.2	16.4	13.4	10.2	16.8	9.7	10.7	15.2	-6.2%
By Age							1			1			1			[
0-2	26.2%	18.7%	21.0%	33.3%	22.1%	21.6%	s	22.4%	22.2%	s	21.9%	23.0%	s	23.3%	22.8%	
3-5	20.2 /0 S	16.6%	17.5%	8.3%	16.7%	17.7%	S	17.5%	18.0%	s	17.8%	17.9%	s	16.1%	17.8%	
6-8	S	13.0%	13.6%	16.7%	13.9%	13.8%	s	12.6%	13.9%	s	12.0%	13.6%	s	14.2%	13.4%	
9-11	19.7%	12.1%	12.2%	12.5%	12.8%	12.1%	s	12.0%	12.1%	s	9.7%	11.5%	s	11.2%	11.4%	_
12-14	S	13.9%	12.3%	18.8%	14.4%	13.3%	s	15.3%	13.1%	s	17.4%	13.0%	s	14.0%	13.4%	_
15-20	S	25.7%	18.3%	10.4%	20.0%	17.7%	S	20.0%	17.2%	S	21.1%	16.7%	S	21.3%	17.9%	_
Infants (age 0-1)	23.0%	12.3%	13.7%	18.8%	15.4%	14.4%	S	14.3%	14.5%	S	15.1%	15.0%	S	15.6%	14.8%	-
Youth (age 13+)	23.0%	34.7%	31.9%	29.2%	34.4%	34.8%	31.6%	31.0%	29.9%	38.9%	32.7%	29.7%	32.4%	32.0%	30.8%	41.0%
(3 - )		-			-						-					
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	77.0%	89.2%	42.2%	72.9%	88.8%	42.3%	76.3%	87.9%	41.8%	83.3%	85.0%	43.1%	85.3%	79.7%	43.5%	10.7%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	3.0%	35.4%	4.2%	2.8%	34.4%	S	3.0%	33.7%	0.0%	2.4%	32.2%	0.0%	5.3%	31.2%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%	S	2.6%	0.0%	2.4%	3.7%	0.0%	3.0%	4.5%	0.0%	4.6%	4.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	3.5%	7.1%	10.4%	<4.4%	7.8%	S	4.0%	8.3%	S	6.4%	8.0%	S	5.6%	8.0%	-
Hispanic or Latino	S	2.9%	13.0%	12.5%	3.5%	13.0%	S	2.8%	12.5%	S	3.2%	12.2%	S	4.8%	12.5%	-
D. O.																
By Sex Male	57.4%	52.5%	50.9%	47.9%	52.4%	50.6%	50.0%	53.3%	50.8%	58.3%	54.3%	50.2%	58.8%	53.6%	50.7%	2.5%
Female	57.4% 42.6%	52.5% 47.5%	50.9% 49.1%	47.9% 52.1%	52.4% 47.6%	50.6% 49.4%	50.0% 50.0%	55.3% 46.7%	50.8% 49.2%	58.3% 41.7%	54.5% 45.7%	49.8%	56.6% 41.2%	55.6% 46.4%	49.3%	-3.4%
Female	42.0%	47.3%	49.1%	52.1%	47.0%	49.4%	50.0%	40.7%	49.2%	41.7%	45.7%	49.0%	41.2%	40.4%	49.3%	-3.4%
By Latest Placement Setting																
Family Setting	>88.4%	81.5%	84.0%	89.6%	82.3%	85.1%	>63.2%	82.6%	86.3%	80.6%	>80.2%	86.6%	>76.4%	>82.6%	86.4%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	0.0%	2.1%	4.0%	0.0%	1.4%	3.2%	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	3.5%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	>34.3%	29.1%	37.8%	25.0%	31.0%	39.4%	S	30.9%	41.5%	30.6%	33.3%	43.0%	>35.2%	40.0%	40.7%	-
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	54.1%	50.4%	42.2%	64.6%	50.0%	42.5%	63.2%	49.0%	42.2%	50.0%	46.9%	42.4%	41.2%	42.6%	42.1%	-23.9%
Congregate Care Setting	>0.0%	17.4%	13.2%	10.4%	15.6%	11.9%	>0.0%	15.3%	10.7%	19.4%	17.3%	10.4%	>0.0%	14.6%	10.8%	-
Group Home	0.0%	11.8%	7.9%	0.0%	11.4%	7.0%	0.0%	11.1%	5.9%	S	12.6%	6.4%	0.0%	11.3%	6.4%	-
Institution	S	5.6%	5.3%	10.4%	4.2%	4.9%	s	4.2%	4.8%	S	4.7%	4.1%	S	3.4%	4.4%	-
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	S	1.5%	0.0%	S	1.3%	0.0%	S	1.0%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	S	1.1%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	s	0.4%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	0.0%	<1.9%	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.7%	s	1.7%	0.6%	





2022\*

Remaining in Foster Care

2021

# Mifflin (Rural-Mix)

#### DISPROPORTIONALITY

Disproportionality shows the level at which groups of children are present in the child welfare system at higher or lower rates than in the general population. The index is calculated by taking the proportion of children in foster care for a given race and dividing it by the proportion of the same race group in the child population (age 0-20).

			2022				Disproportionality by CPS and GPS, 2022
		Valid		Valid		General	CPS Referrals
Indicator	CPS	CPS	GPS	GPS	Children	Population	80% Substantiated CPS Referrals
		-			with Valid	Age 0-17	Children with Valid GPS Allegati
	Referrals	Referrals	Reports	Reports	Allegations		60% 🛛 Expected Rate (General Populat
CPS/GPS Race and Ethnicity							bars above the expected rate
Non-Hispanic White	83.5%	71.4%	-	-	85.4%	89.8%	40% demonstrate disproportionality
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	2.9%	0.0%	-	-	4.1%	1.1%	20%
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.6%	0.0%	-	-	0.6%	0.6%	20%
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	2.4%	7.1%	-	-	3.9%	4.5%	
Hispanic or Latino	10.6%	21.4%	-	-	6.0%	4.0%	Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Two Hispanic
· · · · ·							or African American or more races Source: PA DHS
			2022			General	Racial Disproportionality Index, 2022
Indicator		First				Population	Overrepresentation
	Total	Time		Re-	In Care	Age 0-20	5
	Served	Entries	Exits	entries	9/30		
Foster Care Race and Ethnicity							Hispanic c
Non-Hispanic White	86.7%	92.3%	88.5%	0.0%	85.3%	90.1%	
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	μe δ 8
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	Total Served
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	0.070 S	S S	0.0%	S	4.6%	Pirst Time Entries
Hispanic or Latino	S	0	S	0.0%	S	3.7%	
	3	3	3	0.0%	3	3.170	
Racial Disproportionality Index						Scores less than 1.0 are	First Time Entries
	10	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	indicative of	uestite v estite v estite
Non-Hispanic White	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.9	underrepresentation,	
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	scores of 1.0 indicate no	And
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	disproportionality and scores of 1.1 and greater	z .
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	S	S	0.0	S	indicate	
Hispanic or Latino	S	S	S	0.0	S	overrepresentation.	Von-Hispa White
			2022				
			Non-				Source: Decennial census, PPC analysis of AFCARS data
	Pre-	Relative	Relative			In Care 9/30	Scores less than 1.0 are indicative of underrepresentation, scores of 1.0 indicate no disproportionality and scores of 1.1 and greater indicate overrepresentation.
	Adoptive	Family	Family	Group			-
Children Remaining in Care	Home	Home	Home	Home	Institution		Disproportionality by Placement Type, 2022
By Specific Latest Placement Settings							Pre-Adoptive Home
Non-Hispanic White	0.0%	83.3%	85.7%	0.0%	85.7%	85.3%	80% Relative Family Home
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80%
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Group Home
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	16.7%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	S	60%
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	14.3%	s	Expected Rate (In Care 9/30)
i inspanie di Latilio	0.070	0.070	1.170	0.070	14.370	5	40%
							20%
							0% Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Other Non-Hispanic Two Hispanic o
							or African American Race or More Races
							Source: PPC analysis of AFCARS data
							Source, FTC Billigsis of ALCAIG ddld

Extreme

Mod High

Γo

Hispanic / White

In Care 9/30

#### DISPARITY

Disparity demonstrates the lack of equality between two racial groups in the child welfare system. The index is expressed as the ratio of one racial group's disproportionality index by another racial group's disproportionality metric. The computed value represents whether children of different racial groups are in the child welfare system at the same rate.

			2022						2022			
Indicator	CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allega- tions		Total Served	First Time Entries	Exits	Re- entries	In Care 9/30	
Racial Disparity Index					4.0	Scores near 1.0 are						
Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic White	3.0	-			4.0	indicative of no disparity	-	-	-	-	-	
Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Other Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Two or More	- 5.3	-			- 4.4	between the race or ethnicity shown.	-	-	-	-	-	
Non-Hispanic Black / Hon-Hispanic Black / Hispanic	1.0	-			2.5	ethnicity snown.	-	-	-	1	-	
Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic White	-	_			2.5		_		-	1	_	
Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic Two or More	_								_		_	
Non-Hispanic Other / Hispanic	_				_		_	_	_	_	_	
Non-Hispanic Two or More / Non-Hispanic White	0.6	2.0			0.9		S	S	S		S	
Non-Hispanic Two or More / Hispanic	0.2	0.3			0.6		S	S	S	-	S	
Hispanic / Non-Hispanic White	2.9	6.8			1.6		S	S	S	-	S	
6					Racial [	Disparity Indices,	2022					
5												
4 -												
3												
2												
1												

Other / White

Valid CPS Referrals

Other / Two or More Races

Children with Valid Allegations

Other / Hispanic

Total Served

Two or More Races / White

First Time Entries

Two or More Races /

Hispanic

Re-entries

Exits

0

Black / White

Source: Decennial census, PPC analysis of AFCARS data

Unless noted, all races shown are non-Hispanic. Scores near 1.0 are indicative of no disparity between the race or ethnicity shown.

Black / Other

Black / Two or More Races

Black / Hispanic

CPS Referrals

#### **FOSTER CARE - STABILITY**

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements may struggle to build and maintain healthy relationships and confront academic challenges due to school changes.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*									
Foster Care Placement Stability Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.	34.1%	30.8%	31.8%	39.2%	35.2%	31.1%	s	30.5%	28.4%	s	29.9%	25.6%	46.4%	29.9%	25.2%	36.0%

#### **FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION**

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

Indicator	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*			County % Change
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*									
Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.	26.1%	41.1%	37.3%	32.4%	44.4%	38.7%	<55.7%	45.9%	36.8%	<45.6%	47.8%	36.6%	<55.7%	46.1%	37.8%	<113.5%

#### **FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION**

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

Indicator	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*			County % Change
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	2018 to 2022*									
Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry) Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives. (Data may be overstated.)	0.0%	22.2%	21.4%	20.0%	21.8%	22.3%	0.0%	16.5%	20.2%	0.0%	13.6%	18.1%	<77.0%	14.5%	19.1%	-

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons \* County classifications changed with the 2022 data.



We gratefully acknowledge the support of Alliance for Early Success, The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT Project, The Heinz Endowments and PNC Financial Services Group.



Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children 200 North Third Street, 13th Floor Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1589 papartnerships.org 717.236.5680