Somerset (Rural)

CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports	266	7,317	44,063	276	7,095	42,252	217	5,924	32,919	228	6,701	38,013	259	2,133	39,093	
Total reported incidents of suspected child abuse and neglect through the ChildLine Registry. (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)	19.8	30.1	16.6	20.5	29.2	15.9	16.2	24.3	12.4	17.0	27.5	14.3	19.3	19.6	14.8	-2.6%
Child Abuse and Neglect Substantiations Founded or indicated reports of child abuse and/or neglect.	15.8%	12.2%	11.6%	12.0%	12.1%	11.5%	18.4%	15.3%	14.0%	14.5%	15.3%	13.2%	18.5%	17.0%	12.8%	17.4%
Repeated Child Abuse and Neglect	0.0%	5.1%	5.2%	9.1%	6.3%	5.7%	2.5%	6.9%	6.2%	18.2%	8.6%	6.5%	2.1%	6.1%	6.4%	
Children with another substantiated incident of abuse and/or neglect following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)	0	1 in 20	1 in 19	1 in 11	1 in 16	1 in 18	1 in 40	1 in 14	1 in 16	1 in 6	1 in 12	1 in 15	1 in 48	1 in 16	1 in 16	-
Child A	buse Rep	orts and	Percent	Substant	iated	- 30%		300 T	hild Abu	se Repor	ts and Pe	rcent Re	peated C	hild Abu	se - 30%	
250						- 25% <u>∽</u>		250 -							— 25% esng	
200						- 20% luigi - 15% luigi		200 - ع							20% Pilly Abus	
150		555	• • • • • •	••••	• • •	22		Re ports							- 15% 들	
100						- 10% sqn		100 -							— 10% ebeated — 5% —	
50 —						- 5%		50 -	•••				••••	3	- 5%	
0						- 0%		0 -		,		,			→ 0%	* County classifications
2018 Cource: Annual Child Protective Ser		County 202	0 Col	2021 inty Type	2022*	tate		Source: Annua	2018 County Child Protective	201 Services Report	County 2	020	2021 County Type	2022*	• State	changed with the 2022 data.

GENERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES (GPS)

Reports not alleging abuse of a child are categorized as general protective services (GPS) reports. Addressing concerns related to child safety and well-being before allegations of child abuse or severe neglect are made enables children to remain safely in their own homes while services are provided to the family to mitigate the risk of potential maltreatment.


Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
GPS Reports Total reported concerns of general neglect, not alleging child abuse.	1,200	29,067	169,723	1,181	31,053	178,124	1,036	27,270	152,478	1,059	28,819	161,709	1,005	8,845	165,295	-16.3%
Valid GPS Reports Reports in which the allegations were found to have merit. Includes reports accepted for in-home services and reports referred out to community agencies for services.	30.7%	28.9%	23.6%	38.6%	28.8%	23.5%	45.0%	33.5%	25.6%	48.2%	36.0%	27.1%	45.2%	38.4%	24.2%	47.3%
Children with Valid Allegations Number of children with a valid GPS allegation by valid report. Children may be counted more than once if more than one report was found to have merit.	550	12,422	58,982	681	13,178	60,755	736	13,492	56,999	759	15,143	63,801	694	4,980	57,876	26.2%
		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Unduplicated Number of Children Served Children who received in-home services along with parents to address concerns related to child safety and well-being.	1.461		194,761	3,779	40,247	187,280	,		>181,435		36,548		5,491	16,139	211,150	275.8%

Somerset (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each federal fiscal year.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Unduplicated Number of Children Served																
All Children in Foster Care During the Year	178	3,492	25,441	NA	3,307	24,665	151	2,928	21,689	185	3,077	20,490	185	1,070	19,287	3.9%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	11.4	11.9	8.0	8.8	11.3	7.7	9.7	10.0	6.8	11.8	10.5	6.4	11.8	8.3	6.0	
By Age							1			ı			ĭ			
0-2	20.8%	22.1%	20.2%	28.4%	21.9%	20.2%	23.2%	23.6%	20.9%	22.2%	24.9%	21.5%	16.2%	20.4%	21.1%	-22.0%
3-5	20.8%	19.6%	17.9%	16.1%	19.2%	17.8%	24.5%	19.9%	17.8%	23.8%	19.9%	18.2%	27.6%	19.0%	18.2%	32.6%
6-8	<12.5%	14.1%	13.4%	12.3%	14.5%	13.8%	11.3%	12.9%	13.5%	10.3%	13.6%	13.7%	13.5%	13.3%	13.7%	>8.1%
9-11	11.8%	10.8%	11.8%	7.7%	10.9%	11.7%	<10.0%	10.7%	11.7%	9.2%	10.2%	11.3%	9.2%	9.8%	11.2%	-22.1%
12-14	6.7%	10.9%	11.8%	7.7%	11.8%	12.3%	8.6%	11.6%	12.6%	<16.9%	11.8%	12.6%	<13.6%	12.2%	12.8%	<101.7%
15-17	23.0%	17.7%	18.7%	20.0%	17.7%	18.5%	17.9%	17.5%	17.9%	13.5%	15.3%	16.4%	17.3%	22.0%	18.1%	-24.9%
18-20	S	5.0%	6.3%	7.7%	4.0%	5.6%	S	3.8%	5.6%	S	4.4%	6.4%	S	3.4%	4.9%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	15.7%	13.9%	12.7%	12.3%	13.6%	12.9%	14.6%	15.4%	13.2%	11.9%	16.4%	13.7%	11.9%	13.7%	13.3%	-24.4%
Youth (age 13+)	33.1%	30.0%	33.1%	31.6%	29.8%	32.6%	27.2%	29.3%	32.3%	29.7%	27.8%	31.3%	31.9%	34.5%	32.0%	-3.8%
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	93.8%	73.8%	43.7%	94.8%	73.9%	43.7%	96.0%	74.0%	44.2%	93.5%	71.7%	44.3%	91.9%	85.4%	45.0%	-2.1%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	33.070 S	9.6%	33.9%	1.9%	8.7%	33.0%	50.070 S	8.1%	32.0%	33.370 S	9.2%	30.7%	S S	1.9%	29.8%	-2.170
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.6%	2.3%	0.0%	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	3.0%	3.6%	0.0%	3.6%	4.2%	0.0%	2.9%	4.8%	
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	8.2%	7.1%	2.6%	8.3%	7.7%	S	8.6%	8.0%	S	9.4%	8.4%	S	5.5%	8.2%	_
Hispanic or Latino	S	6.7%	13.0%	0.6%	7.1%	12.9%	S	6.4%	12.3%	S	6.1%	12.3%	S	4.3%	12.2%	-
By Sex										I			I			
Male	50.6%	52.9%	50.8%	54.2%	52.5%	50.8%	57.6%	53.3%	50.6%	56.2%	52.0%	50.5%	60.0%	52.4%	50.3%	18.7%
Female	49.4%	47.1%	49.2%	45.8%	47.5%	49.2%	42.4%	46.7%	49.4%	43.8%	48.0%	49.5%	40.0%	47.6%	49.7%	-19.1%
By Latest Placement Setting										T			T			
Family Setting	>77.5%	80.9%	81.6%	81.9%	81.4%	82.7%	82.1%	82.9%	83.8%	>85.4%	85.7%	84.8%	>83.8%	80.0%	84.9%	
Pre-adoptive Home	S S	1.0%	4.5%	0.6%	1.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.9%	2.9%	S	1.8%	2.3%	S	1.9%	3.5%	-
Foster Family Home – Relative	37.6%	28.6%	37.1%	40.6%	30.0%	38.7%	47.7%	33.5%	40.8%	44.9%	37.4%	42.0%	42.7%	37.8%	42.1%	13.4%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	39.9%	51.3%	40.1%	40.6%	50.4%	40.3%	34.4%	48.5%	40.1%	40.5%	46.5%	40.5%	41.1%	40.4%	39.3%	3.0%
Congregate Care Setting	>20.2%	14.0%	14.0%	14.8%	14.2%	12.7%	>13.9%	13.1%	11.5%	>9.7%	10.2%	10.5%	>11.4%	14.1%	10.4%	3.070
Group Home	20.2%	8.8%	8.5%	12.9%	8.6%	7.7%	13.9%	8.2%	6.7%	9.7%	6.8%	6.4%	11.4%	8.3%	6.3%	-43.9%
Institution	S S	5.2%	5.6%	1.9%	5.7%	5.0%	S	4.9%	4.8%	3.7 % S	3.4%	4.2%	S S	5.8%	4.1%	-40.070
Supervised Independent Living	s	0.8%	1.5%	3.2%	0.9%	1.6%	S	<0.7%	1.3%	s	0.5%	1.2%	S	<1.1%	1.4%	_
Runaway	0.0%	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%	S S	1.9%	0.0%	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%	S	1.5%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	3.8%	1.4%	0.0%	3.0%	1.5%	0.0%	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%	3.3%	1.8%	0.0%	4.8%	1.7%	-
Children Entering Foster Care																
All Entries into Foster Care During the Year	89	1,575	10,095	NA	1,381	9,448	71	1,208	7,286	83	1,386	7,316	61	462	7,307	-31.5%
7 th Entities into 1 oster oare builing the Teal	0.9	1,070			of All Chil				,	•	1,500	7,010			rrently availal	



S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons * County classifications changed with the 2022 data.

2018

Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS data

2019

2020

2021

2022*

Somerset (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past federal fiscal year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

ndicator			2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Chang
indicator		County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
hildren Entering for the Firs	t Time																
First Time Entries	During the Year	74	1,252	7,626	NA	1,101	7,266	48	979	5,540	67	1,121	5,635	42	370	5,394	40.00/
(Rate per 1,000 ch	nildren age 0-20)	4.7	4.3	2.4	2.6	3.7	2.3	3.1	3.3	1.7	4.3	3.8	1.8	2.7	2.9	1.7	-43.2%
First Time Entries as Perc	,	83.1%	79.5%	75.5%	77.6%	79.7%	76.9%	67.6%	81.0%	76.0%	80.7%	80.9%	77.0%	68.9%	80.1%	73.8%	-17.2%
By Age					ı						1						
_,gc	0-2	31.1%	33.1%	34.4%	48.9%	35.1%	35.1%	43.8%	38.3%	38.2%	37.3%	37.5%	38.5%	35.7%	32.4%	36.2%	14.9%
	3-5	14.9%	17.8%	15.4%	11.1%	14.5%	14.8%	S	16.8%	14.5%	S	16.5%	14.7%	S	16.2%	14.2%	-
	6-8	<12.3%	12.1%	11.5%	8.9%	11.4%	11.8%	S	9.2%	11.4%	S	11.5%	11.3%	S	10.3%	11.7%	_
	9-11	16.2%	10.7%	10.3%	8.9%	9.7%	10.7%	S	8.1%	9.7%	S	8.5%	9.3%	S	9.5%	9.5%	_
	12-14	S	12.1%	12.8%	6.7%	13.4%	12.9%	s	12.6%	12.5%	20.9%	12.7%	12.6%	S	12.4%	13.0%	_
	15-20	16.2%	14.1%	15.6%	15.6%	15.9%	14.6%	S	15.1%	13.7%	17.9%	13.4%	13.7%	S	19.2%	15.4%	_
Ini	fants (age 0-1)	25.7%	26.4%	28.0%	37.8%	28.1%	29.1%	35.4%	32.3%	31.7%	23.9%	30.1%	32.3%	31.0%	24.6%	29.7%	20.6%
	outh (age 13+)	25.7%	23.1%	24.7%	17.8%	21.7%	19.5%	S	24.2%	22.6%	34.3%	22.2%	22.5%	35.7%	29.5%	25.2%	39.1%
	cam (ago 10)	2011 70	2070	270	11.070	2,0	10.070		2.1.2,0	22.070	0 1.070	22.270	22.070	00.1.70	20.070	20.270	30.175
By Race and Ethnicity	I::- \A/I-:4-	-0.4.70/	70.00/	40.00/	07.00/	74.00/	47.00/	400.00/	70.00/	40.70/	00.00/	70.00/	50.00/	00.40/	05.40/	FO 40/	- 7.00/
	Hispanic White	<94.7%	73.8%	48.3%	97.8%	74.2%	47.6%	100.0%	72.3%	49.7%	86.6%	70.0%	50.0%	88.1%	85.1%	50.1%	>-7.0%
Non-Hispanic Black or Afr		0.0%	9.7%	29.3%	0.0%	9.4%	28.4%	0.0%	8.3%	25.2%	S 0.00/	11.5%	23.6%	0.0%	S 4.20/	23.9%	-
•	nic Other Race	0.0%	1.9%	2.7%	0.0%	3.2%	3.7%	0.0%	4.5%	5.3%	0.0%	4.5%	5.8%	0.0%	4.3%	6.1%	-
Non-Hispanic Two	panic or Latino	S 0.0%	9.2% 5.4%	7.7%	0.0% 2.2%	6.5% 6.7%	7.7% 12.6%	0.0% 0.0%	7.8%	8.2% 11.6%	S S	7.9% 6.2%	8.4% 12.1%	S S	<5.9% 4.1%	8.0% 11.9%	-
nisį	panic or Launo	0.0%	3.4%	12.0%	2.270	0.7%	12.0%	0.0%	7.2%	11.0%	3	0.2%	12.1%	3	4.170	11.9%	_
By Sex																	
	Male	41.9%	51.7%	50.7%	55.6%	52.5%	50.8%	58.3%	53.8%	50.5%	52.2%	49.3%	49.7%	71.4%	52.2%	50.4%	70.5%
	Female	58.1%	48.3%	49.3%	44.4%	47.5%	49.2%	41.7%	46.2%	49.5%	47.8%	50.7%	50.3%	28.6%	47.8%	49.6%	-50.8%
By First Reported Placemer	nt Setting				I												
	amily Setting	77.0%	83.4%	83.8%	80.0%	81.4%	85.7%	>85.3%	85.5%	>87.5%	80.6%	>88.7%	>87.5%	>54.8%	83.8%	86.9%	>-28.9%
Pre-a	adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	_
Foster Family Ho	•	41.9%	32.3%	39.9%	33.3%	30.6%	41.6%	50.0%	38.3%	44.3%	40.3%	43.1%	45.8%	S	41.4%	46.6%	_
Foster Family Home -		35.1%	51.0%	43.7%	46.7%	50.8%	43.7%	>35.3%	47.2%	43.1%	40.3%	45.6%	41.7%	54.8%	42.4%	40.1%	55.9%
Congrega	te Care Setting	23.0%	14.1%	14.3%	20.0%	17.2%	12.7%	>0.0%	13.2%	10.6%	19.4%	9.5%	10.4%	>26.1%	14.6%	11.1%	>13.6%
5 5	Group Home	23.0%	9.7%	9.4%	15.6%	10.3%	8.5%	s	8.7%	7.2%	19.4%	7.3%	7.4%	>26.1%	8.4%	7.2%	>13.6%
	Institution	0.0%	4.4%	4.9%	4.4%	6.9%	4.2%	0.0%	4.5%	3.4%	0.0%	2.2%	3.0%	0.0%	6.2%	4.0%	-
Supervised Inde	pendent Living	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-
·	Runaway	0.0%	S	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	-
7	Trial Home Visit	0.0%	<2.3%	1.1%	0.0%	<1.4%	0.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%	1.5%	<1.2%	0.0%	S	0.9%	-
		Childre	n Enterin	a Fastar	Cara						First Ti	me Entri	es into F	oster Car	e		NA = Data not curre available
10	00%	Chilare	n Enterin	g roster	care						by Ag	e Group	and Year	r of Entry			S = rate suppressed
									60%		, ,	·					to count less than 1
	80%								50%								persons * County classification
'	80%								30%								changed with the 20
									40%								data.
	60%					Re Re	e-Entries								1	2018	
						- 110	-Littles		30%							2019	
•	40%								30%					_	1	■ 2020	
									20%				_			2021	
	20%						rst Time		10%								
	83%	78%	68%	81%	69		ntries ncluding		10,3							2022*	
	00/	76%	08%	81%	69	(11	.c.uuiiig		0%								

0-2

Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS data

3-5

9-11

12-14

15-20

percent)

Somerset (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES

Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in and out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who re-enter is typically older.

,			J 1											, ,			
Indicator			2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator		County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Re-Entering Fost	er Care				<u> </u>												
•	ries During the Year	15	323	2,469	NA	280	2,182	23	229	1,746	16	265	1,681	19	92	1,913	26.7%
	•	_									-			_	_		
Re-Entries as P	ercent of All Entries	16.9%	20.5%	24.5%	22.4%	20.3%	23.1%	32.4%	19.0%	24.0%	19.3%	19.1%	23.0%	31.1%	19.9%	26.2%	84.8%
												1					
By Age																	
	0-2	S	9.6%	10.8%	7.7%	8.6%	11.9%	S	11.4%	10.5%	0.0%	14.3%	12.3%	S	S	11.9%	-
	3-5	S	13.0%	12.6%	30.8%	19.3%	12.7%	S	10.0%	10.9%	0.0%	15.1%	12.8%	S	12.0%	12.3%	-
	6-8	S	14.9%	12.1%	7.7%	13.6%	11.9%	S	17.0%	11.0%	S	13.6%	10.9%	S	22.8%	12.2%	-
	9-11	0.0%	12.4%	11.5%	0.0%	10.7%	10.4%	S	12.2%	12.0%	S	10.9%	11.0%	0.0%	S	11.0%	-
	12-14	0.0%	18.3%	17.7%	0.0%	20.7%	18.7%	s	16.6%	17.7%	S	17.7%	20.2%	s	14.1%	19.9%	_
	15-20	S	31.9%	35.4%	53.8%	27.1%	34.4%	S	32.8%	37.9%	s	28.3%	32.7%	s	32.6%	32.6%	_
	Infants (age 0-1)	S	5.0%	6.4%	7.7%	5.7%	6.9%	S	8.7%	7.3%	S	S	9.2%	S	S	8.3%	_
	Youth (age 13+)	S	44.3%	48.6%	53.8%	43.2%	48.7%	S	44.1%	51.1%	s	35.5%	47.7%	S	43.5%	47.4%	_
	Toutif (age 151)		44.070	40.070	33.070	40.270	40.170		77.170	31.170		33.370	77.770		40.070	77.770	
By Race and Ethnicity					1			1			1			1			
-		400.00/	74.00/	20.00/	0.4.00/	75 40/	FO 70/	4OF 00/	74 70/	24.20/	-00.00 /	70.40/	40.00/	00.50/	0.4.00/	25 70/	40.50/
	on-Hispanic White	100.0%	74.9%	39.6%	84.6%	75.4%	52.7%	<95.8%	74.7%	31.3%	<93.9%	72.1%	42.8%	89.5%	84.8%	35.7%	-10.5%
Non-Hispanic Black or		0.0%	8.0%	40.5%	0.0%	9.3%	49.0%	0.0%	7.4%	31.0%	0.0%	9.1%	35.2%	0.0%	0.0%	42.6%	-
	panic Other Race	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	S	2.0%	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	S	3.9%	0.0%	S	3.9%	-
•	wo or More Races	0.0%	<9.2%	7.1%	15.4%	9.3%	11.2%	0.0%	9.2%	5.4%	S	<9.3%	6.5%	S	S	7.8%	-
I	Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	7.1%	11.1%	0.0%	S	15.0%	S	S	9.6%	0.0%	7.9%	11.6%	S	S	10.1%	-
By Sex																	
	Male	S	50.5%	49.1%	53.8%	49.3%	51.2%	47.8%	53.7%	49.0%	S	49.4%	48.4%	S	53.3%	46.1%	-
	Female	S	49.5%	50.9%	46.2%	50.7%	48.8%	52.2%	46.3%	51.0%	S	50.6%	51.6%	>63.1%	46.7%	53.9%	-
By Placement Setting at	Re-Entry																
_,	Family Setting	66.7%	70.0%	66.7%	61.5%	68.2%	68.5%	>52.2%	71.6%	>65.0%	>0.0%	77.0%	>71.1%	68.4%	72.8%	74.4%	2.6%
Pı	re-adoptive Home	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	2.070
	/ Home – Relative	S.070	18.3%	28.3%	38.5%	26.4%	32.5%	52.2%	32.8%	34.8%	S.070	31.3%	36.4%	S.070	23.9%	37.1%	
Foster Family Hon	,	S	51.7%	37.9%	23.1%	41.8%	35.3%	S S	38.9%	30.1%	S	45.7%	34.7%	S	48.9%	36.8%	-
,								<u> </u>									- 400 00/
Congre	egate Care Setting	33.3%	26.9%	28.0%	38.5%	27.5%	25.8%	>17.3%	25.3%	27.1%	25.0%	20.4%	21.2%	>0.0%	>18.5%	19.2%	>-100.0%
	Group Home	S	18.6%	18.4%	30.8%	17.9%	16.6%	S	18.3%	16.5%	S	14.7%	13.9%	S	18.5%	12.1%	-
	Institution	S	8.4%	9.6%	7.7%	9.6%	9.2%	S	7.0%	10.6%	S	5.7%	7.3%	0.0%	S	7.2%	-
Supervised II	ndependent Living	0.0%	S	1.8%	0.0%	S	1.6%	0.0%	S	1.4%	S	S	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	<1.2%	-
	Runaway	0.0%	S	2.7%	0.0%	S	3.6%	0.0%	S	5.4%	0.0%	S	4.2%	S	S	4.8%	-
	Trial Home Visit	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	S	<1.0%	0.0%	S	<1.3%	0.0%	S	S	-
		Po_Er	tries into	Eoster (aro					Dor	cent of C	hildren h	v Dlacon	ont Satt	ina		NA = Data not currently
										1 61			•		ш5		available
	60%	oy Age Gi	oup and	Year of I	ke-Entry						at Ke-Er	itry to Fo	oster Car	e, 2022			S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11
																	persons
	50%					_			County								* County classifications
																	changed with the 2022
	40%						2018		1								data.
	_								Rural								
	30%						2019		Nurai								
	200/						2020		-								
	20%																
	10%						2021		State								
	10/0						2022*										
	0%								0%		20%	40%	609	6	80%	100%	
	0-2	3-5	6-8	9-11	12-14	15-20					mily Setting		p Home	■ Instituti			
	Source: PPC's analysis of AFCARS of	ata							Source: PPC's	analysis of AFCAR	, ,		Congreg				
l	222.20.11 C 3 GHOLYSIS OF AT CARSO									. , ,			205, 25				

Somerset (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - PLACEMENT REASONS


County child welfare agencies are required to report the reasons in which a child experiences a placement episode. There are 15 factors defined by the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) technical bulletin issued by the Administration for Children and Families which are federally required data elements that the state must track and report.

A child can have more than one placement reason.

Indicator

Top Five Placement Reasons Of All Children in Foster Care During the Year

	2022	
County	Rural	State
60.0% Parent Drug Abuse	38.8% Parent Drug Abuse	34.9% Parent Drug Abuse
28.1% Neglect	25.0% Neglect	25.6% Neglect
25.4% Inadequate Housing	21.6% Caretaker Inability to Cope	22.5% Caretaker Inability to Cope
18.9% Child's Behavior Problem	17.6% Inadequate Housing	16.2% Inadequate Housing
15.7% Caretaker Inability to Cope	17.3% Child's Behavior Problem	13.6% Child's Behavior Problem











45,2% Parent Drug	Abuse 40.5%	6 Parent Drug Abuse	35.9%	Parent Drug Abuse
33.3% Inadequate H		6 Neglect		Neglect
26.2% Child's Beha	vior Problem 20.0%	6 Caretaker Inability to Cope	22.0%	Caretaker Inability to Cope
S (remaining va	alues suppressed) 15.9%	6 Child's Behavior Problem	17.2%	Inadequate Housing
S (remaining va	alues suppressed) 14.9%	lnadequate Housing	12.6%	Child's Behavior Problem









Top 5 Placement Reasons of First-Time Entries in

Of Re-Entries into Foster Care

57.9%	Child's Behavior Problem	32.6%	Child's Behavior Problem	29.2%	Child's Behavior Problem
S	(remaining values suppressed)	21.7%	Neglect	22.8%	Neglect
S	(remaining values suppressed)	21.7%	Parent Drug Abuse	20.0%	Parent Drug Abuse
S	(remaining values suppressed)	21.7%	Caretaker Inability to Cope	18.2%	Caretaker Inability to Cope
S	(remaining values suppressed)	17.4%	Inadequate Housing	10.8%	Inadequate Housing







Placement reasons include abandonment, caretaker inability to cope due to illness or other reasons, child alcohol abuse, child drug abuse, child drug abuse, child's behavior problem, death of parent(s), incarceration of parent(s), inadequate housing, neglect, parent alcohol abuse, parent drug abuse, physical abuse, relinquishment, sexual abuse.

Somerset (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - GOALS

All children in foster care have a court-ordered permanency goal, which directs the efforts of the child welfare agency toward a certain family outcome for each child. In addition to the court-ordered 'primary' goal, a 'concurrent' goal is put in place to ensure permanency is achieved if for some reason the primary goal cannot be met. This data provides information on the most recent primary goal for every child served in foster care during the federal fiscal year.

, ladiate		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Percent of Children by Case Plan Goal																
Family-Based Permanency G	oals 100.0%	98.2%	95.1%	98.7%	98.1%	95.5%	>95.4%	99.0%	96.4%	>94.6%	99.2%	96.8%	95.7%	94.5%	92.1%	-4.3%
Reunify With Parent(s) or Principal Caretaker	s) 91.0%	73.0%	65.3%	64.5%	66.8%	63.7%	62.3%	68.3%	65.8%	65.9%	70.4%	65.6%	55.7%	70.7%	65.1%	-38.8%
Adopti	on S	18.2%	23.6%	27.7%	23.6%	24.5%	33.1%	24.0%	23.7%	28.6%	21.8%	25.0%	40.0%	22.4%	25.0%	-
Guardiansh	ip S	5.5%	5.1%	6.5%	6.4%	5.9%	S	5.9%	5.4%	S	6.1%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	-
Live with Relative	,	1.6%	1.2%	0.0%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	-
Another Planned Permanent Living Arrangen																_
(APF	,	>1.6%	4.9%	1.3%	1.9%	4.5%	>0.0%	1.0%	3.6%	>0.0%	0.8%	3.2%	0.0%	5.5%	7.9%	
Long Term Foster Ca		S	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	S	1.4%	2.5%	-
Emancipati	on 0.0%	1.6%	4.3%	1.3%	1.9%	4.4%	S	1.0%	3.1%	S	0.8%	2.7%	S	4.1%	5.4%	-
APPLA By Age as % of Age Group Serve	d															
0-		s	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	S	0.1%	_
16-		12.2%	25.1%	5.6%	1.9%	23.5%	S	5.9%	19.6%	S	5.2%	17.5%	S	6.2%	17.5%	_
		ı					•			•						
APPLA By Discharge Reason																
Exit to Permanent Arrangem		>0.0%	19.5%	0.0%	>0.0%	16.4%	0.0%	>0.0%	24.1%	0.0%	>0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	>0.0%	21.3%	-
Reunificati		0.0%	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	0.0%	S	23.0%	0.0%	S	18.5%	0.0%	S	18.3%	-
(number of months until reunification		0.0	18.6	0.0	0.0	19.2	0.0	22.3	25.1	0.0	15.7	34.1	0.0	0.1	42.9	-
Adopti		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months until adoption		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
Guardiansl		0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	-
(number of month until guardiansh Live with Other Relativ		0.0%	25.6 S	0.0%	0.0%	23.8 S	0.0 0.0%	0.0%	32.5 S	0.0 0.0%	0.0%	42.9 S	0.0	0.0 S	24.0 S	-
(number of months until living with relative		0.0%	32.9	0.0%	0.0%	49.6	0.0%	0.0%	61.6	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	7.1	12.0	-
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangem		>97.7%	80.5%	100.0%	>97.8%	83.5%	0.0%	93.8%	75.6%	0.0%	91.7%	80.4%	100.0%	>0.0%	78.8%	_
Emancipati		97.7%	72.1%	100.0%	97.8%	74.3%	0.0%	93.8%	68.0%	0.0%	91.7%	68.2%	S	S S	70.8%	_
(number of months until emancipation		35.3	27.6	34.4	38.6	30.7	0.070	31.8	26.1	0.070	36.2	30.9	46.7	43.4	34.1	_
Transfer to Another Ager		S	5.3%	0.0%	S	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	_
(number of months until transf	,	33.4	19.4	0.0	74.7	17.9	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	7.2	_
Runaw		0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	S	_
(number of months until running awa	,	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	26.9	0.0	0.0	22.8	0.0	0.0	36.1	0.0	0.0	10.4	_
Death of C		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	S	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months until death of a		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	115.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
Chil	dren by Mos	Recent C	ase Plan	Goal					Chil	ldren wit	h APPLA	bv Disch	arge Rea	son		NA = Data not currently available
100%								2022*				,				S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11
90%								2022								persons
80%					— ■ Reui	nification		2021								* County classifications
70%					Ado	ntion		2021								changed with the 2022 data.
60%		_				ption		2020								
50%					N Gua	rdianship		2020								
40%					Live	with		2019								
30%						tive(s)		2019								
20%					■ APP			2018								
10%								2016								
0%			11111111					0%		20%	40%	60%		80%	100%	
2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	*			■ Re	unification		Adoption	1		ardianship		
Source: PPC's analysis of		2020	2021	2022	•			Source: PPC's	e with Othe analysis of AFCA	er Relatives RS data	■ Non-Peri	manency				


Somerset (Rural)


FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the federal fiscal year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to ensure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
mulcator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Exiting Foster Care																
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	84	1,585	10,694	NA	1,608	10,801	45	1,201	8,712	60	1,361	8,439	70	522	8,850	-16.7%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	47.2%	45.4%	42.0%	48.4%	52.3%	43.8%	29.8%	41.0%	40.2%	32.4%	44.2%	41.2%	37.8%	48.8%	45.9%	-19.8%
(number of months until leaving)	7.0	9.3	13.0	14.0	11.3	15.9	11.2	12.2	15.4	7.1	10.5	33.2	24.4	12.1	15.7	250.1%
															,	

By Discharge Reason																
Exit to Permanent Arrangements	94.0%	92.6%	88.6%	89.3%	90.8%	86.6%	82.2%	89.9%	86.2%	95.0%	92.9%	87.1%	90.0%	92.5%	86.3%	-4.3%
Reunification	84.5%	56.0%	52.5%	64.0%	53.3%	49.8%	57.8%	51.7%	50.8%	75.0%	54.7%	49.8%	37.1%	53.3%	50.8%	-56.1%
(number of months until reunification)	6.1	6.2	6.4	8.7	6.6	7.4	6.8	6.6	8.4	5.3	6.6	9.0	7.5	8.2	8.3	21.9%
Adoption	S	16.5%	23.9%	17.3%	21.9%	25.2%	24.4%	24.7%	23.5%	S	22.0%	25.4%	38.6%	20.9%	23.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	33.7	27.1	30.5	38.6	30.9	31.0	31.2	32.0	29.8	28.6	31.0	32.2	33.6	26.0	32.7	-0.3%
Guardianship	S	10.2%	7.5%	6.7%	8.1%	7.6%	0.0%	7.9%	7.7%	S	8.5%	7.6%	S	10.2%	7.8%	-
(number of month until guardianship)	23.7	16.1	19.4	17.1	18.3	22.8	0.0	20.3	22.3	30.2	20.4	23.5	23.5	21.5	23.7	-0.8%
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	9.9%	4.6%	1.3%	7.5%	4.1%	0.0%	5.6%	4.1%	S	7.6%	4.3%	S	8.2%	4.6%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	3.1	2.1	5.1	3.4	2.5	0.0	15.1	2.4	30.7	6.7	2.0	26.4	5.6	4.0	-
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements	6.0%	>7.1%	11.4%	10.7%	9.1%	13.2%	>0.0%	9.7%	13.7%	>0.0%	>7.1%	12.8%	10.0%	>5.7%	13.5%	68.0%
Emancipation	S	5.8%	7.3%	10.7%	8.3%	9.3%	S	8.5%	9.5%	S	6.2%	7.9%	S	5.7%	7.6%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	18.2	25.2	23.7	27.7	23.2	26.2	10.2	18.0	22.9	13.5	24.8	24.3	39.7	25.6	24.1	118.3%
Transfer to Another Agency	S	1.3%	3.5%	0.0%	0.9%	3.5%	0.0%	1.2%	3.7%	0.0%	0.8%	4.1%	S	S	5.3%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.7	13.3	7.9	0.0	4.7	5.5	0.0	10.8	6.6	0.0	5.6	8.0	18.6	10.2	4.2	2472.7%
Runaway	0.0%	S	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	S	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	9.7	14.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	9.1	17.8	0.0	0.0	10.4	-
Death of Child	0.0%	S	S	0.0%	S	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	S	0.2%	-
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	3.8	5.5	0.0	0.2	12.6	0.0	4.6	6.1	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	13.4	15.3	-





NA = Data not currently available

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons


^{*} County classifications changed with the 2022


Somerset (Rural)


FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE

Data on children remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

Indicator		2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		County % Change
indicator	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State	2018 to 2022*
Children Remaining in Foster Care																
Children in Foster Care on Last Day	104	2,038	16,074	NA	1,805	15,082	109	1,793	13,949	133	1,820	13,033	123	576	11,866	18.3%
(number of months children have been in care)	10.7	11.0	14.2	13.0	11.4	14.0	11.5	11.7	16.4	14.2	11.3	16.8	17.1	11.1	15.2	60.1%
By Age										1			I			
0-2	25.0%	22.6%	21.0%	33.7%	24.5%	21.6%	24.8%	26.1%	22.2%	23.3%	26.8%	23.0%	19.5%	21.9%	22.8%	-22.0%
3-5	19.2%	19.5%	17.5%	14.5%	19.9%	17.7%	29.4%	20.1%	18.0%	26.3%	18.8%	17.9%	26.8%	18.4%	17.8%	39.5%
6-8	<10.7%	14.9%	13.6%	10.8%	13.4%	13.8%	11.0%	12.5%	13.9%	10.5%	13.5%	13.6%	15.4%	13.4%	13.4%	>44.4%
9-11	10.6%	11.3%	12.2%	8.4%	11.1%	12.1%	10.1%	10.9%	12.1%	11.3%	10.4%	11.5%	8.9%	9.7%	11.4%	-15.4%
12-14	S	11.4%	12.3%	8.4%	11.9%	13.3%	10.1%	12.3%	13.1%	17.3%	12.5%	13.0%	15.4%	13.7%	13.4%	-
15-20	28.8%	20.3%	18.3%	24.1%	19.1%	17.7%	14.7%	17.8%	17.2%	11.3%	18.0%	16.7%	13.8%	22.9%	17.9%	-52.1%
Infants (age 0-1)	21.2%	14.9%	13.7%	14.5%	15.6%	14.4%	17.4%	18.0%	14.5%	10.5%	18.3%	15.0%	15.4%	15.1%	14.8%	-27.0%
Youth (age 13+)	33.7%	28.3%	31.9%	32.5%	31.0%	34.8%	20.2%	26.0%	29.9%	24.1%	27.0%	29.7%	26.8%	33.0%	30.8%	-20.3%
(3 -)																
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	92.3%	74.2%	42.2%	95.2%	74.6%	42.3%	<97.3%	73.2%	41.8%	93.2%	71.9%	43.1%	92.7%	85.6%	43.5%	0.4%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	S	8.6%	35.4%	1.2%	8.3%	34.4%	0.0%	7.6%	33.7%	S	9.1%	32.2%	S	S	31.2%	-
Non-Hispanic Other Race	0.0%	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%	2.4%	2.6%	0.0%	3.7%	3.7%	0.0%	3.7%	4.5%	0.0%	3.6%	4.9%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	S	8.4%	7.1%	3.6%	8.7%	7.8%	S	9.5%	8.3%	S	9.2%	8.0%	S	<5.9%	8.0%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	7.4%	13.0%	0.0%	6.0%	13.0%	0.0%	6.0%	12.5%	0.0%	6.0%	12.2%	S	3.3%	12.5%	-
By Sex																
Male	53.8%	52.7%	50.9%	59.0%	52.7%	50.6%	59.6%	54.3%	50.8%	57.1%	52.1%	50.2%	62.6%	54.2%	50.7%	16.3%
Female	46.2%	47.3%	49.1%	41.0%	47.3%	49.4%	40.4%	45.7%	49.2%	42.9%	47.9%	49.8%	37.4%	45.8%	49.3%	-19.0%
Temale	TO.2 70	47.070	40.170	71.070	47.070	70.770	40.470	40.1 70	43.Z /0	42.570	47.070	40.070	07.470	40.070	40.070	-10.070
By Latest Placement Setting																
Family Setting	>80.8%	>85.0%	84.0%	84.3%	>84.0%	85.1%	87.2%	>86.3%	86.3%	>87.2%	>86.5%	86.6%	>86.2%	>81.8%	86.4%	-
Pre-adoptive Home	s	S	4.0%	0.0%	S	3.2%	0.0%	S	2.7%	S	S	1.3%	S	S	3.5%	_
Foster Family Home – Relative	46.2%	28.4%	37.8%	42.2%	28.8%	39.4%	49.5%	33.9%	41.5%	49.6%	36.6%	43.0%	36.6%	36.1%	40.7%	-20.7%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	34.6%	56.6%	42.2%	42.2%	55.2%	42.5%	37.6%	52.5%	42.2%	37.6%	49.9%	42.4%	49.6%	45.7%	42.1%	43.3%
Congregate Care Setting	>16.3%	13.0%	13.2%	13.3%	13.6%	11.9%	>10.9%	11.8%	10.7%	8.3%	10.7%	10.4%	>9.8%	13.7%	10.8%	-
Group Home	16.3%	7.9%	7.9%	10.8%	8.0%	7.0%	S	6.9%	5.9%	S	7.3%	6.4%	9.8%	8.2%	6.4%	-40.3%
Institution	S	5.1%	5.3%	2.4%	5.5%	4.9%	S	5.0%	4.8%	S	3.4%	4.1%	S	5.6%	4.4%	-
Supervised Independent Living	S	0.6%	1.5%	2.4%	0.7%	1.3%	S	S	1.0%	S	S	1.2%	0.0%	S	1.1%	-
Runaway	0.0%	S	0.9%	0.0%	S	1.2%	0.0%	S	1.5%	0.0%	S	1.1%	0.0%	S	1.1%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%	2.1%	0.6%	-







Somerset (Rural)

DISPROPORTIONALITY

Disproportionality shows the level at which groups of children are present in the child welfare system at higher or lower rates than in the general population. The index is calculated by taking the proportion of children in foster care for a given race and dividing it by the proportion of the same race group in the child population (age 0-20).

Indicator

CPS/GPS Race and Ethnicity

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

	2022									
CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allegations						
90.8%	80.9%	-	-	93.1%						
0.0%	0.0%	-	-	1.2%						
0.0%	0.0%	-	-	0.3%						
5.2%	10.6%	-	-	4.0%						
4.0%	8.5%	-	-	1.5%						

General Population Age 0-17						
92.2%						
0.5%						
0.6%						
4.5%						
2.2%						

100%	Dispr	oportionality by (CPS and GPS, 2022					
100%	ensi i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		■ CPS Referrals					
80%			Substantiated CPS	Referrals				
			■ Children with Valid	d GPS Allegations				
60%			Expected Rate (Ge	neral Population)				
40%		ba	rs above the expected ra	te				
40%		de	monstrate disproportion	ality				
20%								
0%			7000 BURNES AND	CANAL MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY O				
070	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black or African American	Non-Hispanic Two	Hispanic				

Racial Disproportionality Index. 2022

Indicator

Foster Care Race and Ethnicity

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

2022									
First Total Time Served Entries		Exits	Re- entries	In Care 9/30					
91.9%	88.1%	90.0%	89.5%	92.7%					
S	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	S					
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
S	S	S	S	S					
S	S	S	S	S					

General Population Age 0-20						
92 5%						
0.6%						
0.6%						
4.2%						
2.1%						
	-					

Source: PA DHS

Racial Disproportionality Index

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

1.0 S 0.0 S	1.0 0.0 0.0 S	1.0 0.0 0.0 S	1.0 0.0 0.0 S	1.0 S 0.0 S
S	S	S	S	S


•
Scores less than 1.0 are
indicative of
underrepresentation,
scores of 1.0 indicate no
disproportionality and
scores of 1.1 and greater
indicate
overrepresentation.


	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ar Bisproportion	idinty index	,				
	Overrepresentation							
Hispanic or Latino				■ Total Served				
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	-			■ First Time Ent ■ Exits ■ Re-entries	ries			
Non-Hispanic Other Race				■ In Care 9/30				
Non-Hispanic Black or African American								
Non-Hispanic White								
	0 1	-	2	3	4			
	ensus, PPC analysis of AFCARS d l are indicative of underrepresen i.		o disproportionality and	d scores of 1.1 and greate	r indicate			

Children Remaining in Care By Specific Latest Placement Settings

Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black or African American Non-Hispanic Other Race Non-Hispanic Two or More Races Hispanic or Latino

2022									
Pre- Adoptive Home	Relative Relative Family Family Home Home		Group Home	Institution					
100.0%	95.6%	96.7%	75.0%	33.3%					
0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	33.3%					
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%					
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	33.3%					





DISPARITY

Disparity demonstrates the lack of equality between two racial groups in the child welfare system. The index is expressed as the ratio of one racial group's disproportionality index by another racial group's disproportionality metric. The computed value represents whether children of different racial groups are in the child welfare system at the same rate.


Indicator

Racial Disparity Index

Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Other Non-Hispanic Black / Non-Hispanic Two or More Non-Hispanic Black / Hispanic Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Other / Non-Hispanic Two or More Non-Hispanic Other / Hispanic Non-Hispanic Two or More / Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Two or More / Hispanic Hispanic / Non-Hispanic White

CPS Referrals	Valid CPS Referrals	GPS Reports	Valid GPS Reports	Children with Valid Allega- tions	
- - - - - 1.2 0.6 1.9	2.7 0.6 4.5			0.9	Scores near 1.0 are indicative of no disparii between the race or ethnicity shown.

	2022											
	Total Served	First Time Entries	Exits	Re- entries	In Care 9/30							
ity	0 0 0 0 0 0 0				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							



Somerset (Rural)

FOSTER CARE - STABILITY

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements may struggle to build and maintain healthy relationships and confront academic challenges due to school changes.

Indicator

Foster Care Placement Stability

Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.

	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*	
County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State									
15.9%	30.3%	31.8%	15.9%	27.5%	31.1%	21.1%	27.2%	28.4%	15.2%	25.8%	25.6%	12.5%	24.5%	25.2%

County % Change 2018 to 2022* -21.3%

County % Change

2018 to 2022*

-25.9%

FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

Indicator

Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives

Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State									
41.5%	41.0%	37.3%	52.4%	47.5%	38.7%	36.4%	42.0%	36.8%	31.1%	41.7%	36.6%	30.8%	41.1%	37.8%

FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

Indicator

Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry)

Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives. (Data may be overstated.)

2018			2019			2020			2021			2022*		
County	Rural-Mix	State	County	Rural	State									
27.3%	20.0%	21.4%	16.4%	14.0%	22.3%	<21.8%	16.0%	20.2%	<38.6%	14.9%	18.1%	31.7%	19.7%	19.1%

County % Change 2018 to 2022*

S = rate suppressed due to count less than 11 persons * County classifications changed with the 2022 data.



PPC is part of a network coordinated and supported by the Annie E. Casey We gratefully acknowledge the support of Alliance for Early Success, The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT Project, The Heinz Endowments and PNC Financial Services Group.



Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children 200 North Third Street, 13th Floor Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1589 papartnerships.org 717.236.5680